



TEXT-BOOK

OF

MODERN INDIAN HISTORY

54
Sar

• TEXTBOOK OF •
• - MODERN - •
INDIAN HISTORY
SARMA and DATTA

TEXT-BOOK
OF
MODERN INDIAN HISTORY

(From 1526 to the Present Day)

Vol. II—Part II

S. C. SARKAR, M.A., D.Phil. (Oxon.), Dip. Ed. (Oxon.)

*Head of the Department of History and Professor of
Indian History, Patna College*

AND

K. K. DATTA, M.A., Ph.D. (Cal.).

*Princeton England Scholar, Idarist Medalist, Griffith Prizeman,
Lecturer in History, Patna College*

REPRINTED BY
THE INDIAN PRESS, LTD.

1936

2nd Edition

[Price Rs. 4/-]

Printed and published by
K. Munro, at The Indian Press, Ltd.,
CALCUTTA.

PREFACE

FROM the standpoint of the educator present-day college textbooks of Indian History are not very helpful, even when they are written by scholars of repute. Amongst these defects that can be remedied without much difficulty are : (i) inadequate "documentation" or reference to original sources or other authorities for statements made; (ii) persistence in the same old plan of "funeral" chronological presentation of matter, e.g., reign by reign; (iii) neglect of critical historical judgment and repetition of discarded theories and notions or of the "hermeneutic" of history. Reform of school text-books many in India have heard of and begun, but college text-books are still in their 'Achalayatanam'.

I have attempted in my humble way to draw up a college text-book of Indian History comparatively free from the three defects mentioned above; and in this task my previous acquaintance with the business of training History teachers for high schools as well as the present one with that of training Research scholars in History, have been of some use, for the principles and methods of college teaching and learning, though distinct from those for high schools, are yet the natural sequel and development of them.

Apart from drawing attention to the sources of each important statement in the book, arranging the facts topically whenever suitable, and introducing fresh perspectives, suggestive criticisms and judgment of evidence, the usefulness of the book has been sought to be improved by a bibliography both for junior and advanced students; by illustrative maps selected in a somewhat fresh plan, and by comparative time-charts. The book will appear in two volumes and in several parts.

In comparison with the University courses of study in other histories than in Indian History is decidedly weaker in India — a curious weakness in our system of education. The standard of attainments in the national history at the different examinations should be very much higher than in any other branch of the subject. No modern advanced European or American country fails to emphasise this point, whereas in India, the Indians know not themselves. We have kept this defective notion of standards in view in preparing this textbook for undergraduates: we leave it to the several universities of our country to judge for what class of undergraduates, junior or senior, in our view the book indicates the junior undergraduate standard.

In the work of preparation of this book my former Research student and present colleague Mr. K. K. Datta, M.A., P.R.S., has been in every sense a full collaborator, and more than that as far as the spade work is concerned. Another Research student of mine, Mr. J. N. Sankar, M.A., who has also just become a colleague, and a post-graduate scholar of my department, Mr. J. C. Saha, B.A. (Hons),* both the top men in History in their year, have helped us by looking through the typescript and the proofs, and by occasional suggestions.

While the Third Part of Volume I and the First Part of Volume II were being written and sent to the Press, I had the very great advantage of the advice and suggestions of Sri Jodanath Saha on many points, for which I shall always remain grateful.

I am conscious of a gap left in the plan of the last part of the book — the aspect of economic history from 1793 to 1900 has not been dealt with. This has been due to a number of reasons and circumstances into all of which I need not enter here, but I may mention that recent economic history of India

* Saha has now passed away prematurely in the vigour of an old —
B.C.S.

is perhaps a little too much of a specialised study for inclusion in a general text-book of history of the undergraduate standard, and that even if it is deemed suitable for such inclusion, our criticism will not be a handicap to students, as there are a number of readable books on Indian Economics and Economic History in the market,—though their historical and critical side is capable of improvement. I hope however that it will be possible to remove this defect in the plan of the last part in the next edition.

HISTORY DEPARTMENT,
PATNA COLLEGE, P. U.
May, 1934

S. C. SARKAR

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME II: PART II

14-0000

CHAPTER I—Colonial Office Internal Administration (From 1794)

Section I—Revenue Administration

*Failure of the scheme of Warren Hastings; with of the
settling system, Pitt's India Act sought to be given effect
to in 1784. Settlements to be at first dependent upon payment
of subsidiary Payments Settlement was prevented by
Cornwallis. Annual settlements continued; Enquiries into land
problems by Board. Board-Cornwallis controversy. Board's
Minute of 1791 for Ten Years Settlement, Cornwallis'
Minute in favour of a Permanent Settlement, Board's
rejection 1798, Cornwallis' strong reply 1798. Decennial
Settlement Policy 1798-1801; Permanent Settlement ultimately
decided upon 1798 and proclaimed 1799. Madras and de-
mands "a house and was wanted" or "a real blessing",
later were more, not an intended blessing, sometimes got duty
of revenue but with proprietary rights unknown, immediate
effect disturbance on landlords; revenue heavy, only laws
destroying the old feudal economy. Payment system and
interests ignored, Government's power of Revenue Legislation
not used. Landlords strengthened, Regulation VI of 1793.
Cornwallis' experiments from Zamindars not fulfilled. Pro-
visions of protection to British Crown overriding for revenue.
Extension of Permanent Settlement agreed, but closed 1803.*

Two laws for administering land revenue settlements.
(a) system of justice or justice responsible for payment of
land-revenue. Zamindar Mahaband or Joint Village
Rajahs; (b) Division of Settlements. Payments, Tenure
only. Settlement system may not be permanent. Payment
system may not be temporary; different systems due to
different conditions. Permanent Settlement in Madras.
Payments in Madras due to Sir Thomas Munro, succession
of duty of revenue for Madras reports generally ignored and
Pitt's principle of compensation cancelled. The Revenue
System in Bombay: no heavy and principles. Cull-
Tahsils: proprietary rights. N. B. P. and the Punjab.

Mahabon: C. P. Mahabon, Results of three Settlements (except in Madras and Bombay), accommodation between state and private) set up: varied questions of Tenure rights; Tenancy Acts for building demands of Zamindars and protecting tenants from evictions; 12 years' rule; land clearance; different principles in different provinces.

Attempts to modify the Government's land revenue policy (lines of amendment suggested): F. C. Dutt and others; Dutt's "Open Letter" to Government.

Also: 179. Land Revenue is perennial but not a specialized subject, attempt to have it have executive administration and placing it under legislative control; the Simon Commission studied the question of the situation as substantial change yet; Proposal to make it a specialized subject in the new constitution.

1-12

Section III—Law and Justice

Company's Settlements were not subject to the political and legal systems of the country, but to those of England; by the Charter of 1840, Establishment of Mayor's Courts (1850) in Bombay and Madras, same between Indian trial in summary (1850) Mayor's Courts replaced by Sessions Courts of India (1876) in Madras, by District Courts (1880) in Madras and Bombay, and these by Sessions Courts 1890 and 1900 respectively.

Centralized Judicial System: the basis of modern system; country divided into districts under collectors, judicial powers taken away from the Board of Revenue and Collectors; hierarchy of civil courts: Sadar District, Provincial Courts, District and City Courts, which had jurisdiction over all residents except European British subjects who were under the Colonial Supreme Court; Provisions for assistance for district sessions and criminal business, Party courts and their commissioners: trials to be appointed by Sadar District Judges, hierarchy of Criminal Courts: Sadar District Judges, Provincial court judges made judges of district; old Deputy Magistrate dignified of criminal jurisdiction: Centralized Courts of Magistrates: favorable opinions about the working of new courts are suggested: defects of the new courts.

Changes in the internal from Centralized to Decentralized organization of the Sadar District and District Judges; suggestion: Widespread: Number of judges in the Sadar Court raised and number of district judges to be increased if necessary.

CONTENTS

iii

INDEX

long. More Provincial Courts to work more when judges were not so scarce; changes only palliative; ends still formidable. Some British changes long. Revenue: Collector's position changed.

Judicial Systems of Bombay: Provincial Courts of Appeal abolished. District Judges get additional powers, and some entitled District and Sessions Judges. Collectors get magisterial powers, etcetera.

Attempts for codification, Regulations. Moves to Madras High Court in Bombay, the Act of 1833; Law Member added to Governor-General's Council. Law Commission appointed. Munsif, Panch Codes. Laws passed called Acts not Regulations; commissions of 1833 and 1841; simplification of law by Civil and Criminal Procedure Codes and Penal Code. public opinion in favour of further simplification.

Indian High Courts Act of 1861; High Courts established in Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay; constitution and powers; High Courts for N. W. P. (1864); High Courts Act of 1867. Uniform system established 1860-75. High Courts Act, 1871. High Courts at Poona, Lahore, Bangalore. Question of a Supreme Court of Judicature for India as a whole.

Provincial judicial organization: (a) Civil. (1) High Courts, (2) Subordinate Civil and Criminal Courts, (3) District Judges, (4) Sub-Judges and Munsifs. (b) Small Cause Courts (a) Presidency and important towns, (4) Revenue Courts, (5) Presidency Courts for Indian Defence and City Code Court of Madras; (6) Criminal: (1) Sessions Judges and Assistant Sessions Judges, (2) Courts of Magistrates three classes, (3) Presidency Magistrates and City Magistrates, (4) Munsif Magistrates and Justices of the Peace.

Jury Trial in India. Racial distinction in criminal justice. European British subjects were made amenable to civil courts by Munsif's Bench Act (1834) but in criminal cases they were tried only by European magistrates and judges. Peeps, Rajas the attempt to remove this discrimination (1855) virtually failed owing to European opposition; Penal Code, Criminal Code, 1855. Present position.

Question of Separation of Executive and Judiciary; custody of library; contribution by District Officers; Memorial in 1877 to the Secretary of State, but no substantial steps towards separation taken.

Effect of present political situation on judicial procedure

13-21

Section III—Police and Prison Reform

Origins of indigenous police system in later part of eighteenth century—responsible institutions and popular agencies.

E. I. C.'s reform for administrative and commercial reasons. Warren Hastings' new system abolished in 1781. Zameen-dar abuse of power, Cornwallis' Police Regulations, municipal system disbanded, police force in each town under a charge, to be met by a tax, systematic failure, increase of disorder, corrupt design. Attempts to secure municipal police functions. 1857 separation of duties of Magistrates and Superintendents of Police. Presidency towns. Nagark's plan in Mad, copied in Bombay, the Punjab and Madras. The Enquiry Commission of 1865, its recommendations embodied in the Police Act of 1861, applied in all India except Bombay department for empowering Thug and dacoity. Criminal Investigation Department, 1904 changes in the form of Recruitment. Abuse of the Police System, Enquiry Commission of 1902. Merit for a better system, existing police organization.

State of the early Indian jail system; failure of three Police Commissions, Commission of 1857 and Commission of 1861. Prison Act of 1834 for British India, Fundamental reflected by the Indian Police Commission, heads of jail organization of the Jail Department in each province; Prison, a provincial subject after the Partition, but subject to all-India Legislation. Jail Commission of 1915, Recommendations not fully carried out; Question of a Penal Settlement, decided 1924.

25—34

Section IV—District, District and Village Administration

Change, the case of administrative variations in detail, but general principles same; subdivisions. Collector Magistrate or Deputy Commissioner, his official functions, revenue and criminal; general nature of his duties. Provincial Executive Service. District administration depends on I. C. S. as well as P. C. S. men. Collector's localization. Departmental heads for specialized departments but their policy is influenced by the District Magistrate, general control over all spheres.

Indian village a unit of institutions, its permanency and village officers; localities; members' accounts.

Districtal Commissioners, should they be abolished? Effect of Reforms

35—37

Section F—Public Services

State of public services before Commission: its attempt to improve them; Charter Act, 1833, agreement to its signat; foundation of Fort William College, 1800, East India College, 1813; open competition proposed 1833; Indians handicapped; Act of 1834, not given effect till 1878; Bengali Civil Service, not satisfactory, demand for greater Indianisation by the first Indian National Congress; Archibald Commission, 1894-97 and its recommendations; specialised departments created like Civil Services; failure of the Archibald Commission, Demand for a greater share of Indians in the services, Madras Commission, 1902, M. C. proposals, 1910; Government of India Act of 1917; Principle of progressive Indianisation discarded, raised income, Empowerment (power), Law Commission, 1902 and its recommendations; Simon Commission, the Waley Paper

57-66

Section VI—Growth of Indian Army and Army Administration

E. I. C.'s goods, Mount Lawrence, the father of British Indian Army; Influence of territorial extension and political problems on army; English and European elements; Indian elements. Provincial recruitment and organisation; the Bengal army, the army of the Central Government; Army discipline in 18th century; Bengal army reinforced by irregular cavalry and local troops in different phases; Madras Bengal losses at the disposal of the Government; Causes of lowered efficiency and discipline, Reorganisation after the Mutiny, Balance owing to Central Asia and Afghan dangers, More very modifications in organisation and composition owing to external dangers; Reforms during Canton's minority; Canton-Kitchener controversy, The Great War and survival of the Indian troops, Two classes of Army officers; Sans and Colleges for Indians, Eight Unit Scheme, Organisation of Indian defence forces, Indian Service losses; Indian Air force, Connection between responsible government and defence problems; M. C. Report, Simon Commission's recommendations accepted by the Government; Simon Commission and its views.

66-75

Section VII—Public Works and Communications

Function of Public Works activity: Remains of old works in mid-eighteenth century, Factors influencing Public Works and Communications, Provisional Military Board for Roads, and Buildings; Public Works Department (1854) (Delhi),

Impressment under Railways, Telegraph, Bureau, changes in organisation of Public Works, A condensed subject. Study only on All India concern.

Importance of Impression in India, comparative neglect, failure of P.W. companies, Impression Income and Finance Commission's report, 1900, Impression Commission 1901 and its recommendations, Total savings of impression under Impression after the National type of impression: recent for present work. Royal Commission on Agriculture and its recommendations.

Four periods of Railway history: (1) Government System, its defects; (2) State Agency System, its defects; (3) Old Government System under a new name, its defects; (4) Railway System, later modifications, Railway Commission 1908, Jernett Commission, 1921; State Management; History of Railway administration and history; Separation of Railway and general business.

Post and Telegraph Department

CHAPTER II—THE GROWTH OF AN INDIAN CONSTITUTION AND THE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT OF INDIA

[A]

Indian Constitution

Section I—Growth of Parliamentary Control and the Relations Between Parliament and Secretary of State and the Government of India

Causes and beginning of Parliamentary intervention. Dittell, influence introduction from 1771, French's influence, debate of the Regulating Act, Amending Act of 1781, Question proposed 1780, Pitt's India Act 1784: its practical effect. Dual system of government, the Charter Act of 1793, company's committee's Report, 1802, the Charter Act 1813: its constitutional significance, the Charter Act of 1833. Importance of the President of Board of Control, Director with no real power; the Act of 1854: Reasons for fresh changes; the act of 1858, Assumption of Imperial Title, 1876; the Delhi Durbar 1902. Accession of George V, 1902.

Status and function of the Secretary of State: (A) Relations with Parliament: cabinet ministry, a party leader responsible to Parliament: questions and supplementary ques-

times can be put to him as Parliament, differing with other members: (3) question of his salary before and after 1919 (4) Council of India: suggestions in case of difference with cabinet, two sections, Permanent and Parliamentary Members (Executive) (5) Relations with India Council, the Council originally intended to assist the Secretary, its original composition; gradual reformation of Council as committee process and business procedure (6) composition, 1885-1899; 1902 (7) powers, original financial vote practically rendered useless by Secretary of State's responsibility to Parliament (Secretary's power of overriding the council, but last case excluded) (8) Relations with the Governor-General. By Act of 1898 the Secretary of State to direct and control the Governor-General, but mutual relations conventional: success of increase of importance of the Home Government and Secretary of State, 1898: Ripon's protest against Council and Executive Act, 1901 (9) Ripon's protest against India Office control: Pender's reaction over Council Decree 1901-1902: Factors governing the nature of control: Parliament's interest, personal, explicit, instance of Lord Minto, rearmament of India, the Government of India Act, 1911; enormous legal powers of Secretary of State over India Government. Practical working of the system of Parliamentary control, Buchanan's opinion: Simon Commission

History of the India Council after 1905: agitation for its abolition, Curzon Committee, M. C. Phipps: changes in 1919: creation of the High Commissioner for India: question of the utility of the council after 1919: Simon Commission's Report: Council to be voluntary and reduced and to consist of men of Indian origin; Greater India Council: changes proposed in the White Paper

Section II—Central Government in India

Growth of the Central Government in India: Powers in Parliamentary interference: Regulating Act, according act, 1773: Purna India Act, 1947; Charter Act, 1833; Charter Act, 1858; Act of 1858, Creation of Chief Commissionership: Direct assumption of Government by Queen, 1858: role of Viceroy and local

Position of the Governor-General and Viceroy... prerogatives, irresponsible and irresponsible; non-party official, its relation to Executive Council and the Assembly, its powers: some

Relations between the Executive and the Legislature: articulation of powers necessary for a democratic state: case of England; final goal of British policy in India: no question of parliamentary government in 1857, even by the Act of 1858 the Governor-General in Council is legally and constitutionally responsible to the British Parliament; in practice the Legislature exerts indirect influence over the Executive; but it is uncertain and there is responsible voting; Indian Secretary Committee's influence on the action of legislative influence; its proposals of changes in the Legislature in a Federal India: (a) representation of provinces according to population, proportional representation, (b) Council of State to consist as at present, (c) power of the two chambers to remain as at present; but Federal Assembly to vote certain indirect taxes, White Paper proposals re composition and powers of the Legislature and Governor-General's extraordinary powers: they do not materially modify the status and powers of the Central Legislature, but some Executive Councils are to be chosen from it.

Section II—The Provincial Government

Ministerial system, provincial council, the case of delicate provincial government; its basis, not ethnic, linguistic or cultural, but administrative.

Historical development and the creation of different provinces. Effect of the Act of 1857; abolition of the distinction between Regulated and Non-regulated provinces. Governors of these old provinces appointed from England, in other cases they are appointed by Governor-General with certain from home.

Powers of the Governor: his powers before and after 1857; re the Legislature; appropriation of revenues.

System of Dyarchy, two parts of Provincial Executive, Transferred subjects, Reserved subjects.

History of Provincial Executive Councils, 1844, 1852, 1857, 1858, after 1858, relations as committee of provincial executive council; relations with the Legislative Council, however provided in the Executive Council.

Administration of Transferred subjects, temporary reception or suspension of the transfer of subjects; ministerial responsibility: how far possible in practice: opinion of the Joint Parliamentary Committee; comparison of the provincial executives with the Cabinet.

Theoretical independence of the Reserve and Transfer and Balance but practical overlapping, *directors advisory*; Governor's submission as to *disposal* as their respective *joint decisions*; *equal* use of *joint corporations* not correct

Nature of the system of *dynasty*; an *advance debate*; opinion of the *Refinery Supply Committee*; opinion of the *Statutory Commission*; *unity* preserved through a *practical cabinet*; Governor's special power of *overriding* *intention*; the *White Paper proposals* as the *Executive* are not a *definite* step of *advance* towards establishing a *truly* *responsible* government.

History of Provincial Legislatures: 1870, 1882, 1883, 1884; establishment of Legislative Councils in different provinces; *undue* nature of the councils created by the Act of 1884; Act of 1892, *Montagu-Minto Reforms*, 1899, changes in *constitution*; principle of *universal representation* envisaged; changes in *franchise*; failure of the *History* of 1899, Indian *national aspirations*; the *issue* of the *Great War*; changes in *composition* and *function* of the councils in 1919; *lack* of *enthusiasm*; *general* and *special*, *national* and *regional* *elections* compared to *quits* of their *defects*; *conservation* of *unity*; *backward classes*; *constituencies*, *urban* and *rural* *housing* of *franchise*; *qualification* of a *voter*; *opinion* of *women franchise*; *Functions* of the councils: (a) *legislative*, (b) *financial*; (c) *administrative* in *general*, *power* *franchise*; *Reforms* of 1919 an *important* step in the *growth* of *provincial* *responsible* governments, but *legislative* *control* over *executive* *important*; *opinion* of the *British Commission*; *White Paper proposals*

Relations between the Government of India and Provincial Governments, *History* *India* *Governments*, *responsible* to *Parliament*; *Provincial Governments* for *administrative* *efficiency* are *basically* the *former's* *opinion*, *absence* of *franchise* *law*, 1904. (a) *Financial Relations*: *growth* of *financial* *discentralization* *franchise* *centralized* even after 1883 and 1884; *defence* of the *centralization*; *Montagu's* *scheme* of *decentralization*, 1919; *Lytton's* *scheme*, 1877, and *classification* of *revenue* *lands* in *debates*, *amendments* in 1882, 1887, 1892, 1893; *no* *change* in *principle*; *open* *promissory* *scheme* of 1904; *effect* *direct*; *Decentralization Commission*, 1902, *Provincial Reforms* 1912, *India Government's* *control* over *provincial* *business*. (b) *Legislative Control*: *power* *delegated* to *local* *legislative* *institutions* on *them*; *Provincial* *legislation* *more* *independent* of *executive* *governments*; *the* *legislating*

(a) *Administrative control*: India a single and unified country; administrative frontiers for which India Government is responsible to Parliament, administrative frontiers where power and responsibility are divided between India Government and provincial governments as a varying degree: moral responsibility of India Government, Relations of 1935: a change of emphasis, Devolution Paper, classification of Central and Provincial subjects, underlying principles thereof; Powers of such classification; central control re reserved subjects and law and order, to be relaxed in case of insuperable necessity, Relations re transferred subjects; Legislative devolution after 1935; Pethesis Enquiry Committee's opinion, Financial Devolution after 1935; increasing centralisation; Madras Asahi: Some Consideration re Devolution, realisation of autonomy consistent with common action of India: Proposals of the Indian Central Committee.

Decentralisation: Development of Local Self-government; development of traditional village institutions between 18th and 19th centuries: local self-government, a product of British rule. Failure of British Indian Administration to accept the inspiring local institutions and traditions.

Municipalities in Calcutta, Bombay and Madras 1842, 1772, 1793, 1844, state of municipal organisations before 1842; Acts of 1842 and 1852, scheme of Decentralisation, 1870; Acts between 1871-74, Ripon's Resolution, 1882; Acts of 1881-83. Resolution of 1893, establishment of Local Boards re rural affairs after 1896 and 1902, realisation of Ripon's plan, old Board systems transferred, 1883-1911, working in different local conditions, village panchayats, the basis of district local self-government: Improvements and Part Times. Decentralisation Commission of 1907, Advisory principles of 1913, Resolution of 1915.

Local Self-government after 1915, a transferred subject, policy of local legislative councils, unsatisfactory work of local bodies: causes of defective organisation.

(B)

Political Development and Indian Institutions (1858-1935)

Nature of the political development of India; a part of the nineteenth century Indian Renaissance, and a result of an historical reaction, animated with native consciousness; movements: Factors contributing to produce an Indian National Movement: (a) British imperialism (b) influence of the West.

(i) advance of science, arts and religious reforms
(ii) Indian Press and Literature, English and Vernacular
(iii) national documents, economic troubles among masses and middle classes, idea of economy, slavery, technology and early educational system; growing difference between Indians and Englishmen in India, (iv) improvements in modern communications and transport, Indian national movement anteposed to early national history

Seven periods of National Development: Contribution of Pan-Burmese Day and of the contemporary programme and discipline of the Rule, contribution of the Bengalis to the movement, entry, the Mutiny, a turning-point in the history of Indian Nationalism, Reaction on Indian mind, Response, India suffering for the folly of despotic medieval India, birth of Indian Nationalism; Madras Association, Mahadev Govind Ranade, National sentiment, stirred by a host of events, local associations to advance Indian views at Lahore, Poona, British Indian Association at Calcutta, contribution of Sir Surendra Moho Banerjee, Indian Association, absorbing the Indian League, Question of age limit at the I.C.A., Poona under necessary government of Lytton, distance reference by Sir John's administration, e.g. Local Self-government (Bill), repeal of Vernacular Press Act, controversy over the (Hart Bill) its principles discarded, effect on national movement, Mysore Representative Assembly, 1881, Bengal agitation for a National Conference, 1883, Home's reply letter to Calcutta protestants, 1885, Thompson's Society meeting at Adyar, Indian National Congress, (Provisional Conference for Bengal, 1884, Session of Home, Review of the history of the Congress, only reference with Government recalled, Home and Dufferin's change in government attitude, 1887-1888-1889, Congress, its Opposition to Government; Madras activists towards Congress, Madras student Association of the Elipud Street, but the whole Madras community was not opposed to Congress; British Committee of the Indian National Congress, aims and objects of the Congress as first constitutional, influence of its activities on Government policy, 1892, a turning point in history of Indian Nationalism, Growth of Congress and Nationalism, names leading in their time added, methods of Congress showed significant, first leader of the Congress, R. C. Dhill, factors which helped the progress; Poona members, 1892, circumstances leading to Tilak's arrest, outbreak of revolutionary activities elsewhere, Bengal, Congress of 1895, Curzon's administrative efficiency regarded as responsible for lack of special violence; Poona and Bengal;

CONTENTS

(xv)

PAGES

effect on Indian national feeling; Boycott campaign, 1905; Swadeshi movement sponsored by the Congress; Importance of the Congress of 1905; *But Congress-folk Tired*; League for Boycott Pkt. Title's *Passive Resistance*; impending rupture between Moderates and Extremists, 1906; Muzumdar's idea of foreign affairs management by moderates and extremists; Ambedkar's contribution; Bhabha Chaudhri's national song 'Bande Mataram'; Division of parties; Congress of 1907; All-India Congress constituting sentiment of self-government by constitutional means; Revolutionary party in Bengal; Bhabha, Ghosh, Bipin Chandra, critics in other countries; Attitude of Government towards Congress; repression and its failure; execution of 1912; Madras attitude; All-India Muslim League, 1906; the Aga Khan as permanent President; its objects; its functions; Government's effort to conciliate the moderates in both communities; Reception of the Madras-Muslim Conference; disappointment with it.

Influence of external factors on Nationalism; Discontent caused by treatment of Indians in South African Union and other British colonies; Effect of World War; material and psychological effect on division of parties; Moderates and Extremists; effect on Muslim opinion; But for Hindu-Muslim unity; change in the conception and ideal of Muslim League; Lucknow Conference; Karachi Congress, 1931; Joint Hindu-Muslim Scheme of the Congress and the League, 1940; Congress under Nehruism, 1936-1938; Extremists re-entered Congress, 1946; Mrs. Annie Besant and her contributions; Muslim discontent caused by external factors; need of a declaration of policy, 1947; Report of the Montagu-Chelmsford Commission, 1918; Declaration of August 20, 1917; Montagu Mission to India in 1917; Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms Scheme and Report, 1918; denounced by Extremists; accepted by the Moderates and Moderate Formulation of the Indian National Liberal Federation; union of political parties broken up.

Circumstances at the inception of the Reforms, 1902, made their nature doubtful: (1) Economic: taxation, rise in prices, agrarian unrest; (2) Natural: Plague and influenza; (3) Political: Rowlatt Act; the Ambedkar and Revolutionary Congress Act; Jallianwalla (Amritsar) Tragedy; Martial Law in the Punjab; Khilafat question; Hindu-Muslim unity through Gandhi and the A. B. Scheme; Non-cooperation movement, the nature and course of post-war politics; unity of political leaders not lasting; Swarajya party and its influence on Indian politics, the disintegrating factor of Communalism, as real reason, revival of the Muslim League by Jinnah, communal riots;

Atish movement, state of affairs, 1921, new factors in 1922
 influencing politics partly external, partly internal: Anglo-
 Russian rupture, loss of Afghanistan to India; Caste Tame
 Party; establishment of the League; Shree Committee's plan of
 complete independence of the Army; appointment of the
 Simon Commission, evolution of Indian Legislative Assembly's
 Resolutions;—the Indian Control Committee; All-India
 All-Party Conference and the Poona Report; Gandhian
 Movement advanced, supported by the Madras League, and
 by the Independence League of the Congress; influence of
 Gandhi, Rayagada and co-operative campaign at Bankehi, influence
 of Indian government on the Round Table Conference
 Delhi Manifesto and League progress, opposition in
 England, re-emergence of a militant spirit in Congress, 1929
 goal of complete independence; Civil Disobedience Movement
 continued; conversion by a section of Indian political opinion
 into campaign Government Ordinance; failure of peace
 negotiations; the Round Table Conference; Poona's state
 issue; change of policy; Simon-Gandhi Pact at Delhi, not
 treated well by Extremists on either side; Gandhi's partici-
 pation in the R. T. C. as the sole delegate of Congress, his
 impressions and disquiet in the country, question of econo-
 mical and depressed class representation, the Poona's
 Communist Agenda; Poona Pact accepted by Government; the
 year 1931 era of comparative peace, protest against the
 White Paper, passed issue of non-cooperation, Government
 measures against Congress activities; Congress in provincial
 elections; Bihar conference, movement in Bengal, new policies
 in sight.

11.—17

CHAPTER II.—RELIGION, SOCIETY AND CULTURE

Section I.—Religious Reformers

Revival of the Indian Renaissance in the nineteenth
 century, eighteenth century, a dark period, Raja Ram Mohan
 Roy the spiritual father of Modern Indian Renaissance; his
 Universalism, his efforts to revive the idea of Unity of
 Godhead.

Foundation of the Brahmo Samaj in Bengal, orthodox
 opposition, Thakur Chandra Chatterjee's opposition; the
 Brahmo movement and its; Summary of his life's work;
 condition of the Brahmo Samaj after 1830; revival, by
 Brahmacharya Tattva and Keshu Chandra Sen; Keshu as a
 reformer; the Brahmo Samaj 1840.

CONTENTS

xi

Page

Thanks information in Maharashtra, 1849; Panunshama Jaisa and Parthana Sanyal, social reform; contribution of R. C. Bhambhani and Justice Ramola, foundation of the Distant Education Society, 1888; Gokuldas Jeyants of India Society, 1902; an attempt: Manoranjan Malhotra and the Bombay Social Service League, 1911; All-India Trade Union Congress, 1920; India, as leader of Indian Labour movement; Annual Trade Union Congress, 1929, and Moscow affiliation. Mr. Kanani, Vice-President of the Congress of India Society, and the All-India Free Trade Union, 1934, and its effect on the Soviet Association. Indian branch of the Congress of India Society: Bhul Sanyal, 1932. Movement for uplift of women; Devadas, President of Congress of India Society; Karna and Indian Women's University; Purna Sanyal and its branches.

Theosophical Society, 1879, Adyar, headquarters in India, 1881; as a force in Indian history; Mrs. Fawcett; Beacon Light School and University.

Ram Krishna Mission and Arya Samaj, Ram Krishna Paramahansa and Vivekananda.

Arya Samaj, Dayananda Saraswati, Kashiwan, Bombay, the Punjab and the United Provinces, his aim; the revival of Indian life and the Vedic model; as a social reformer, the uplift; Aho matras of Dayananda, Late Harnay, Pundit Gora Sanyal, Late Lajpat Rai, foundation of G.A.V. College of Lahore, split of the Arya Samaj into two parties; Late Harnay as Mohan Ram (Sanyal Brahmanand); Gora Sanyal near Hindustan, 1902.

Consideration of the Bengal Revolutionary movement with the ideal of return to Hinduism.

Reformation in the Purna community; Harnay, Wicks and the Religious Reform Association, Camaj Mahabharat; the Zoroastrian Conference of 1910.

Reformation among the Sikhs, Khalsa College, Chid Khalsa Deras with branches.

Islamic revival; as the permanent but reactionary movement; subsequent Islamic contribution of Sir Syed Ahmed Khan, founder of the Islamic Aliyah University; Literary contribution; as a social reformer; emergence of New Islam spirit of reform in Indian Muslim women. Alimudhya movement split in (a) the Lajpat party and the Qadiri party.

Influence of Christianity on Indian cultural history, progress in first half of the nineteenth century, 1843, 1850,

Pymony movement; *Indapras*, movement in Christianity among high caste men; education and literacy movement; change in attitude about the *Malis*, movement of low caste men; *dharm* effect, seen in the growth of Indian Christian community; reform effect; reorganisation and reformation of Indian religions, growing change of attitude of main Hindus in the depressed classes; cause of education ignored.

135-136

Section E—Social Progress

Connection between Religion and Society

Uplift of women; abolition of the *Sati*; Rammohan's ideas and contributions; abolition operation of *Pradubanta*, *Doh*, *taluk*, *polygamy*; Rammohan's efforts; child marriage; Marriage Act of 1829; Kauri Sen's efforts, his *ananda math* 1829; *Arya Samaj*; Parnik agitation; Age of Consent Act 1891, *Barda Act* 1890; Age of Consent Committee 1928; *Sarda Act* 1930; influence of economic factors and education; Widow Remarriage movement; opposed by orthodox party since the mid-eighteenth century; Hindu widow re-marriages permitted by *Pandits*; *Pandit* *Isvarachandra Vidyasagar*; creation of widows; legislation of widow remarriage and legitimacy of the issue of married widows, 1856, efforts of the *Brahma Samaj*; *Sanskrit* Remarriage; widow re-marriages movement in Maharashtra; from 1840 onwards, in *Gujarat*; *Poonja*; Movement for general uplift of Hindu widows; female education, first All-India Women's Conference, 1928; Medical training for women, Poonja Sen; Indian Government of India following the lead of the Committee of Doctors, Association for supplying medical aid by women to Indian women; Lady Hardinge Medical College, at Delhi, non-official organisations, spread literacy and Child Welfare Society, Chittaranjan Sen; Indian Women's League movement and entry of women into the political field.

Elevation of depressed classes; *Prantikshara* movement and Christian movement; *Arya Samaj* and the *Sadhis*; Depressed Classes Mission Society of India in Bombay; Hindu Mahasabha movement for admitting untouchables to ordinary Hindu privileges; Gandhi's efforts; organized collaboration of the depressed classes themselves; Government's efforts.

Covering Society of caste system.

Slavery; evidence of the Williams Jones, 1795, 1807, 1811, 1837, 1859, 1869, improving measures of slavery and prostitution's efforts to end such things.

Indians in Western and Central India.

136-138

CONTENTS

viii

PAGES

Section III—Education, Literature and Art

Education and nineteenth century Reformations, various low middle state of education in the second half of eighteenth and early nineteenth century, widespread illiteracy and higher education, E. I. C. did not recognise the promotion of education as a duty. These forces working for the cause of a New Education in India: (1) Company's civil officers, Hastings founded the Calcutta Madrasah (1784), Jonathan Duncan and the Scottish College at Benares (1791); Sir William Jones and the Bengal Asiatic Society, 1784. Sir Charles Grant's advocacy of English education for Indians, 1791. (2) British Missionaries: Carey, Thomas, Bartholomew, Ward, and their efforts in spite of dedicated hostility of the Directors and opposition from home, paper manufacturing and press at Serampore, translation of the Bible in 26 languages; David Hare, Bishop's College, 1800; (3) public-spirited Indians: Ramchandra Roy, Radhakanta Deb, Mahendra Tapa-chandra of Burdwan, Jayraman Chatterjee, school at Benares; Hanks Nidhi Vidyapeya, 1815, the first Presidency College; Ramchandra's free English School at Calcutta, 1814-17; Englishmen urging the introduction of English education, Minutes of 1811, 1813; Act for the first legislative admission of the right of education to share in public revenues; Hastings' Minute 1813; educational societies and grants after 1820, Hume's Mysore Willam, Duffield's school the nucleus of instruction and type of education; Ramchandra's advocacy of English education, *Orations to Anglians*; Macleay's Minute 1825; an enlightened and sensible, Arden's acquisition was independent Bengal Education, 1818, Scotland's Foundation of 1820, advocating English education, Auckland's modification and grant to the Asiatic Society of Bengal, *Education India Series*, Council of Education, 1862, Thomson's scheme the first school system, its features, lack of a unified educational policy, Imperial Government institutions in nineteenth century, Medical College at Calcutta, Engineering College at Fort, Madras University High School, Educational Dec 1846, 1854, its significance, educational Department in Presidency, the new system at work from the end of 1850, *Deans of Public Instruction in each province*; official character of the Department Education, a matter of administration and routine, significant participation of Universities in the days of the Bishop, 1857, Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, Lahore 1842 Allahabad 1867, their constitution, *High's Education Commission*, 1882, its objects and significance.

Educational developments after 1893, changes in education laws, Census, need of re-organisation and control of educational institutions. Singh Conference, Universities Commission of 1902. Universities Act of 1904 tend to replace government control, constitution of Senate of Hyderabad; various results of new Parliament, protest against affiliation fees; Sir Shibabhai Malabar and the working University of Calcutta, Transfer of control of education from Home Department to a new Education Department, 1905. Revolution of 1910 and plans for teaching and residential institutions; local and regional universities and establishment of new universities, Indian Women's University, the Viswavidyalaya and the metropolitan outlook; Calcutta University (Jadav) Commission. Revolution of 1920, results, Singh Universities Conference, 1920, Hyderabad establishing an Inter-University Board; Federal University Idea, Education a national subject since the Reforms, Review of the state of education by the Khair Committee, 1922.

Female Education in modern India, the surviving tradition, Ashut's survey 1880-81, sources of female education at that time. Raja Raghobadao Dal's evidence, new turn in female education by nineteenth century practitioners, Work of missionaries like Miss Cole and philanthropists like Ramkrishna, missionary societies become confined to lower ranks, no encouragement of female education by Government before middle of nineteenth century. Hindu Vidya Vidyalaya (1849) and its great supporters, Balaram Vidyalaya, and others. Datta's Memoir, 1858, many girls in visiting girls' schools, additional references only in Wood's Dispatch of 1859, but government support given, Gait's evidence appended after the Khair, circular of 1867 on facilities in founding new schools, but encouragement of existing schools by grants, expenditure on girls' schools, Education under Royce and Education Commission, 1882; more of Government grants and management. Activities of various reformal social groups and associations, girls' schools of the Arya Samaj, personal services of men and women of the Brahmo Samaj, meeting of journals for women's cause by members of Brahmo Samaj, the first woman to enter the Universities. 1878. The Deccan Education Society and Kashi's Women's University. Estimate of progress, women press, etc., institutions of progress of female education.

Further advancing growth of Literature and Arts, character of literary development as in the previous century, more, as in that of nineteenth century, the emphasis for

literature came from social and religious reform movements, influence of British education and western education; Swastika movement trying to create something like a pure literature in Bengal; Ramchandra's vigorous prose style and lyrics; Jyotsnabala Gupta, Akshay Kumar Das; Jyotsnabala Vidyasagar: writers of the English Series; Devendranath Tagore, Kuntal Dasgupta, Srinath, Bhadrachandra Mahipatra; Preeti Chandra Mitra, Preeti Chandra Ghose, Kalpraman Das; first domestic, Ram Narayan Tattvacharya, Michael Madhusudan Dutta: his plays, which were in epic poetry; Hans Chandra Banerjee, Nalin Chandra Sen, Gurus after Michael Devendranath Mitra; Girish Chandra Ghosh; Ananta Lal Basu, D. L. Ray, Firoze; English Chandra Chatterjee and his novels, Srinath and Michael as publishers; Sarkin's appeal to Western readers, Ramachandra Das; Surprakash Choudhury; Tarku Choudhury; Srinath, Sankardev, Santibhadra, the recent writers called Bengalis; Kalpraman Ghosh and others; Bhagyachari; Nageshchandra Chatterjee, Jagadishchandra Sen and others; Anandashyama, Michael Devendranath; Rabindranath: Bengali writers of English literary works; Ramprasad, Madhusudan, Tarku Das and other members of the Ramprasad Das family; Sarada Naidu, an; Rabindranath's position in Bengali and Indian literature.

Hindi: Lalit Lal, Sanku Mitra, High Hindi; Hindi translations of Christian scriptures; Printing of Hindi books; passage to Hindi writers of native country; Hindi works in different branches; translations from Bengali, signs of a new line of development; writers of the nineteenth century and their important works: Anandashyama.

Urdu: poetry and prose; poets: Zaki, Ananta, Akbar Hussain Ali, were some given by Iqbal, Urdu prose and the influence of Christian missionaries, Sir Syed Ahmad and others; Foreign influence and different channels of Urdu prose; Urdu drama.

Literary Relationships in Western and Southern India: Varanasi, Ananta's Krishna; Devanur, Nagesh Mahapatra; Kishore Choudhury; Tarku; influence of Bengali literary trends on Gujarat and Marathi, and translations of Bengali works; South India: Chandra Mahan, Mrs. Sankardev.

Gujarat: influence of Bengali revolutionaries; exposure of new Gujarati literature; Kishore Choudhury, Madhusudan Sen, Fakir Mohan Senapati.

Nature of the literary movement, a general awakening, a revival and adaptation of past traditions, fusion of the old and the new.

Spirit of Enquiry into History and Antiquities of India. Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1824; Researches of European scholars, Indian scholars and institutions, Calcutta University; the Varendra, General Institute at Lahore, Bhandarkar Oriental Institute at Poona; Asiatic Society of Bengal; the Government of India Archaeological Department; Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, Native States' efforts.

Philosophy and Science: Sent. Tagore, Radhakrishnan; MacCarty; Ben. Ray, Purnan, Saha; Scientific Surveys; Geological, Zoological, Botanical; Training of general students.

Architecture: relief from decadence from the late seventeenth century onwards in the younger systems; eighteenth century a period of artistic decay, old styles or imitated conditions in old cities; examples of varied taste and imitation of western styles; nature of the recent revival—a desire to give up imitating and introduce a typical Indian style; mixture of western and eastern ideas and models, adaptations of western systems and examples to Indian conditions and needs, New Delhi and India House Workers, Calcutta Civil Engineers.

Painting and Sculpture: traces of the Renaissance, Bengali artists: the Tagores and the Gangulis, Dr. A. N. Tagore, N. L. Bose, A. K. Chakravarti, pupils of Dr. Sircar; Bombay school applying western methods in Indian conditions, forcing artists from traditionalism: E. B. Havell.

Dr. A. K. Chatterjee: Revival of Sculpture, its revival at Calcutta and Bombay Art Schools, Younger Art Schools in Lahore, Jaipur, Lucknow, pointing of an India-wide Art movement.

Music: Connection with modern Bengali drama and Indian drama; contribution of the Tagore family: Schools and Academies of music at Calcutta, Bombay, Poona, Baroda, and new tendencies: Revival school of Indian Dhrupad; new tendencies.

Text-Book of Modern Indian History

Vol. II: Part II

CHAPTER I

INDO-BRITISH INTERNAL ADMINISTRATION

(From 1758)

SECTION I

REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

The reforms of Warren Hastings could not produce any satisfactory settlement of the revenue system. The system of farming revenues to the highest bidder for a short term produced serious evils. Having no permanent interest in the soil, the new leaseholders tried to screw out of the cultivators as much as they could within the specified time, and thus committed various oppressions on them. This affected agriculture and diminished the value of the land, the area under cultivation, and the yield. The Government had to depend mainly on the land revenue, but the irresponsible speculators who, out of competition, often made high bids could not make good their contracts at the time of payment. Lord Cornwallis wrote to the Government in England: "I am sorry to be obliged to say that agriculture and landed commerce have, for many years, been gradually declining; and that at present, excepting the few of shroffs and banyans who reside almost entirely in great towns, the inhabitants of these provinces are advancing hardly to a general state of poverty and wretchedness."¹

The adjustment of the land revenue had, of course, moved to be a difficult affair since 1765. Pitt's India Act of

¹ Quoted in Hill, *Op. cit.*, Vol. V, p. 465

1764 directed that the Court of Directors shall forthwith "give orders to the several Governments and Proclamations in India, for effectually redressing, in such a manner as shall be consistent with justice and the laws and customs of the country, all injuries and wrongs which the poor, particulars, and other native landholders may have sustained, and for the settling upon principles of moderation and justice, according to the laws and constitution of India, the permanent rules by which their tributes, rents and services shall be in future rendered and paid to the Company." Two years later, on 12th April, 1766, the Court of Directors, wrote to Cornwallis for giving effect to what it termed 'the true spirit' and 'the humane intentions of the Act.' The Court recommended a settlement with the zamindars, at first for ten years, to be made permanent if it proved satisfactory. Regarding the amount of assessment, the Directors were of opinion that the information already obtained might be sufficient to enable their government in Bengal to fix it, without having recourse to minute local statistics; and they suggested "the average of former years' collections to be the guide on the present occasion."¹ Thus the Permanent Settlement was "no product of any preconception of Lord Cornwallis in favour of the landlord system of England."

Cornwallis did not, however, proceed hurriedly to effect "so important a step as the conclusion of a settlement of land revenues, for a period of ten years, with a view to perpetuity constituting as it did the principal financial resource of government."² He commuted the annual settlements of the revenues by the collection during the years 1767 and 1768, while John Shore (afterwards Sir John Shore and Lord Teignmouth) an experienced member of the Bengal administration since 1769, engaged himself in making enquiries into the questions of crops, taxes and rents. On 15th June, 1769 Shore issued his famous Minute regarding the permanent settlement of the lands

¹ Fifth Report.

² *Ibid.*

in the Bengal Provinces, wherein he held that the settlement was "to be made for a period of ten years certain, but with a view to permanency."¹ Cornwallis gave his reply in a Minute dated 16th September, 1789, wherein he affirmed that the Court of Directors would never have held out "the flattering hopes of a permanent settlement, which alone, in my judgment can make the country flourish, and secure happiness to the body of inhabitants, unless they had been predetermined to confirm the perpetuity, if they found that these servants here had not failed in their duty, or betrayed the important trust that had been reposed on them."² In another Minute of 21st December, 1789, Shore again expressed his opinion against giving any definite undertaking to make the settlement permanent. Cornwallis put in a strong reply in his Minute dated 2nd February, 1790, which he concluded by recommending that "the Board of Revenue be directed to notify to the landholders that the settlement, if approved by the Court of Directors, will become permanent, and no alteration take place at the expiration of the ten years."³ Rules for the Decennial Settlement of Bengal were issued on 16th February, 1790, but it was not until November, 1791, that a complete code of regulations for it was promulgated by the Bengal Government.⁴ When the question of permanent settlements came up before the Court of Directors, some members objected to it, but the measure was strongly supported by Dundas, the President of the Board of Control, and by Pitt, the Prime Minister, and the Court of Directors finally decided in its favour.⁵ They sent their orders to Bengal through their letter dated 19th September, 1792, on the basis

¹ R. C. Dutt: *India Under Early British Rule*, pp. 26-27.

² *Forrest, Cornwallis*, Vol. II, pp. 72-83.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 115.

⁴ Land revenue realized in 1791 from Bengal and Bihar together with Orissa amounted to 3,02,34,543 sicca rupees. *MSB, Op. cit.*, Vol. VI, p. 419.

⁵ *File copy* of Dundas to Lord Cornwallis dated 19th September 1792 quoted in *Forrest, Cornwallis*, Vol. I, p. 204.

of which a proclamation was issued on 22nd March, 1793 which declared the decennial settlement of Bengal and Bihar to be permanent.⁹

Writers on Indian History and Economics have passed diametrically opposite opinions on the merits and demerits of the Permanent Settlement.¹⁰ According to Matheson, "it was a bold, brave and wise measure. Under the great influence of this territorial charter which for the first time created indefeasible rights and interest in the soil, population has increased, cultivation has extended, and a gradual improvement has become visible in the habits and conduct of the people."¹¹ The Fifth Report, after pointing out certain defects in the revenue administration after the Permanent Settlement, holds that "the measure was a success and that the Government had taken every step in its power to remove the minor defects, which are not infrequent in all important legislation of a revolutionary nature." On the other hand, Holmes has written that "the Permanent Settlement was a sad blunder. The inferior tenants derived from it no benefit whatever. The Zamindars again and again failed to pay their rent charges, and their estates were sold for the benefit of the Government."¹² Mill has also used strong strictures against the settlement,¹³ and Thomson has remarked that the "permanent settlement of Lord Cornwallis was concluded under the influence of an extraordinary degree of ignorance. Little was known of the tenure by which the lands were held; little of the various rights connected with them; little of their value or capability of improvement. The effects were in many cases such as might have reasonably been expected, though widely different from those which Lord Cornwallis contemplated."¹⁴

⁹ For name of the proclamation, vide *Ibid.*, p. 26 and Fifth Report issued by Fortaque, Vol. I, pp. 25-26.

¹⁰ For details, vide Thomson, *Op. cit.* Vol. II, pp. 52-53, footnote.

¹¹ *History of India (SPE)*, Vol. II, p. 25.

¹² *History of the Indian History*, p. 12.

¹³ *Op. cit.*, Vol. VI, pp. 307-32.

¹⁴ *Op. cit.* Vol. II, p. 52.

One has to admit that the latter view is nearer the truth and that the Permanent Settlement was not an unqualified blessing. It is of course true¹² that the settlement ultimately gave safety of tenure to the Zamindars (a class hitherto in a state of continuous flux and change in composition) so long as they paid the fixed dues to the state, and that some members of this class had also done much for the moral and material improvement of the province. But the Permanent Settlement by recognising the proprietary rights of the Zamindars in the lands introduced new "rights hitherto unknown and unenjoyed in that country"¹³. The Zamindars or the Tahsildars had been formerly mere revenue-farmers, who paid to the government nine-tenths of the whole collection and took the rest as remuneration. They had never any proprietary or even really hereditary rights in the soil, but during the decay of Mughal central authority they had considerably increased their power¹⁴ and stability—which, however, again became seriously affected by the land-revenue administration and policies under the Bengal Nawabs and their successors the East India Company (Murshid Quli to Hastings). As Mr. Roberts has remarked, the "Zamindari system which was originally a hereditary contract agency for the collection of revenue became something resembling a landlord state." But Cornwallis recognised these contractors and agents as "lords of the soil" and "owners of the land," and his measure was intended to improve the status of the Zamindars,

¹² White, about ten decades after the passage of Bengal gradually returned to normal state of agriculture after the economic evolution, modernisation and colonisation of the latter half of the eighteenth century. For the view of the Permanent Settlement enquiry showed that one-third of the cultivable land of Bengal was abandoned, so that though at first the Zamindars found it difficult to pay according to the new rates of settlement ultimately their profits from land grew to be so much in excess of the state demand that they did not take the required interest in agricultural development, and gradually became absentee landlords.

¹³ *Pills Report*.

¹⁴ Babur Pirsai, *Land Systems of British India*, Vol. I, pp. 280-81.

according to the contemporary British conception of the landed aristocracy.

Strangely enough, the immediate effects of the Permanent Settlement upon the Zamindars were disastrous. The revenues, though permanently fixed, were not at that stage very high,¹¹ and many of the Zamindars being unable to pay the stipulated revenues were deprived of their properties by the sale laws, ordinarily spoken of as the "Surrey law."¹² The rigours of the sale laws¹³ "gave the last blow to the old aristocracy of Bengal,"¹⁴ that is, to the remnants of it.

The rights and interests of the rajputs were ignored. Finally, they had to sacrifice their proprietary rights, and secondly, nothing was done to safeguard their customary rights but they were left entirely at the mercy of the landlords.¹⁵ Rightly did Sir Charles Metcalfe remark: "We destroyed all the existing property in land, by creating a class of proprietors to whom we suddenly made over the property of others."¹⁶ "A very great blunder as well as great injustice was committed," writes Mr. Beveridge, "when a settlement was made with the Zamindars alone, and rights of property every whit as good as theirs were completely ignored."¹⁷ Sir Edward Colebrooke, an advocate for some sort of permanent settlement, remarked in a minute recorded in the Bengal Consultations, July 1820: "The effect of the Permanent Settlement was twofold: first, in the sacrifice of what may be denominated the peasantry, by merging all village rights, whether of property or of occupancy in the all-

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 285. (Vide note 15 ante.)

¹² *Ibid.*

¹³ "At first the Zamindars were sold out to the districts in which they belonged but in Calcutta at the office of the Board of Revenue."
J. C. Baker, *Revenue Administration of Bengal*, p. 121.

¹⁴ *Ibid.*

¹⁵ *Minutes of Local Meetings on the Revenue Appendix to the Report of the House of Commons, 1870.*

¹⁶ *Calcutta Review*, August 1820.

¹⁷ *Comprehensive History of India*, Vol. II, p. 424.

devouring recognition of the Zamindar's permanent property in the soil and actually, in the sacrifice of the peasantry by one sweeping enactment, which left the Zamindar to make his settlement with them on such terms as he might choose to require. Government indeed reserved to itself the power of legislating in favour of the tenants, but no such legislation has ever taken place, on the contrary, every subsequent enactment has been founded on the declared object of strengthening the Zamindar's hands.¹⁹ Regulation VII of 1879 empowered the Zamindars to dispossess the property of their tenants for ever without sending notice to any court of justice. The village record of rights ceased to be kept and the office of the Kanungo was abolished throughout Bengal and Bihar. Cornwallis hoped that the new landlord would "be known as the benevolent landlord of a covenanted tenantry" was disappointed. "The Zamindars, as a class, did nothing for the tenants but enrich themselves, or hand them over to 'pettyholders' or rent-farmers, who did so still more."²⁰ The tenants could get protection at the British Courts. But "the Courts of Justice could proceed only by regular suit on the complaint of the injured party. The poverty of the people, their passive character, and the extreme difficulty of proving by legal evidence before a distant tribunal what was customary have rendered this protection illusory; the rights of the Bengali ryot have passed away not alone, and they have become to all intents and purposes, tenants at will."²¹

It may be mentioned in this connection that later on, for two generations, some feeling exists in the Permanent Settlement, urged for its extension in other provinces. But the question was closed by the Secretary of State's declaration dated 28th March, 1863,—though the late Mr. R. C. Dutt revived the plea for this extension.

In India there have been usually two bases of differentiating land revenue settlements,—(i) the position of the person or

¹⁹ Quaint in Thornton, Op. cit. Vol. II, p. 120.

²⁰ India-Pencil, Op. cit. Vol. I, p. 160.

²¹ Forest, Cornwallis, Vol. I, p. 307.

persons responsible for the payment of the land revenue (2) the duration of the settlement. On the first basis, there have arisen three main types of land tenure—(a) *Zamindari*: when the revenue is "assessed on an individual or a community owning an estate and occupying a position, identical with or analogous to that of a landlord," (b) *Jamī village or Mahajan*: where there are "village estates which are held by collective bodies or village communities the members of which are treated as jointly and severally liable for the land revenue," (c) *Rajastani*: where the actual occupants and cultivators of smaller holdings pay the revenue directly to the officers of the state.¹⁷ On the second basis the settlements may be (A) permanent: that is, the amount of the share demanded by the State may be fixed for ever (e.g., in Bengal), and (B) temporary: when the State demand is fixed for a short or fairly long definite period and not in perpetuity, the ordinary period being thirty years in Bombay, Madras and the United Provinces and twenty years in the Central Provinces and the Punjab. A Zamindari settlement may not always be permanent nor is it true to say that all Rajastani settlements must be temporary and unstable. The Zamindari settlements in Agra, the Punjab or the Central Provinces, for instance, are not permanent.

With the gradual expansion of the British dominion in India, different systems of land tenure and revenue assessments were established throughout the country according to the varying circumstances in different areas. Benares in 1796, and some of the northern districts of the Madras Presidency in 1852 were permanently settled.¹⁸ But the permanent settlements in these Madras districts became unpopular and were not supported for other parts of the Presidency, and so the Rajastani system was introduced there through the efforts of Sir Thomas Munro—who having been connected with the affairs of Southern

¹⁷ These, however, do not exhaust the types of land settlements in India. There are many other varieties in different various parts of India.

¹⁸ *Dist. India Under Early British Rule*, pp. 125-26, Indian Councils' Civ. vol. III, Part I.

India for many years before 1820, was ultimately appointed Governor of Madras (1820—1827)¹⁰ The modern system in Madras dates from 1855.¹¹ During the years 1815—1862 the Madras Government made declarations that the Madras ryots could not be ejected by Government so long as they paid the fixed assessment and that "the Government demand on the land is fixed for ever."¹² But the late Mr. R. C. Dutt has pointed out that "these repeated assurances" have been in actual practice "ignored and set aside" and the Madras ryots continued to suffer from the evils of an "uncertain State demand." Lord Ripon (1880—1884) suggested that in districts, which had once been surveyed and settled, the land tax should not be enhanced except on the ground of a rise in prices. "This compromise," remarks Mr. Roberts, "would have happily combined the ideal of comparative permanency with that of a certain incidence, while leaving to the Government an open door for an increase of revenue if there was a general rise in prosperity."¹³ But this rule was unfortunately cancelled by the Secretary of State.

The Bombay Presidency has also developed the Ryotwari Settlement. The results of the settlement operations there, which commenced from 1855, were gathered up and formulated as rules for future guidance in what is known as the Joint Report dated 2nd August, 1867, signed by H. E. Goldsmid, Capt Wingate, and Capt Davidson. The Report laid down the following principles of the Bombay settlement: (1) 'it was based on the assessment of each field separately,' (2) 'it granted

¹⁰ Sir Thomas Munro's name is as famous for his land settlement of Madras as that of Cornwallis for Bengal. Perhaps these names stand a European more commonly associated," says Mr. Cunningham, "with the character, habits, manners and institutions of the natives of India, because their names lived in European who at once possessed better representation of anything such knowledge and made better use of them.

¹¹ Indian Revenue, Op. cit., Vol. II, pp. 55—61.

¹² Dutt, Op. cit., p. 307.

¹³ Op. cit., p. 404.

long lease of thirty years," and (2) "it abandoned the basis of (actual) produce estimates, and substituted the basis of the (potential) value of lands for distributing the assessment."¹¹ In Oudh, the Talukdars got full proprietary rights. Mahalwari settlements or settlements with village proprietors were adopted in the North-Western Provinces (Agra, etc.) between the years 1812-1842, and in the Punjab after its annexation. After the formation of the Central Provinces in 1861, the Malguzari settlement was adopted there, by which the revenues were framed in the Malguzars or the old revenue collectors and payars, and their proprietary rights were also recognised.

Thus these settlements created, except in Madras and Bombay Presidencies, a class of intermediaries such as the Zamindars, Talukdars, Malguzars, Khots, Isamdars, or other tenant holders, between the State on the one hand and the actual peasants and cultivators on the other. The question of tenant rights, especially in Bengal, the United Provinces and the Central Provinces, has been a very vexed one. The Government has passed during the last sixty-five years various Tenancy Acts,¹² for protecting the interests of the tenants by limiting the demands of the Zamindars and protecting the tenants from venal and oppressive landlords. It has been an established rule in Bengal and the Agra Province that "any one who can prove that he has been tilling land in the village for twelve years without a break acquires the status of an occupancy tenant and cannot be evicted as long as he pays the rent." This twelve years' rule is not in force in the Punjab and Oudh, and is applicable to a limited extent in the Central Provinces. But the interests of the tenants in these parts have been safeguarded there in other ways by local Tenancy Acts,¹³ e.g., in Oudh, the Ryot Act, XIX of 1869, in the Central Provinces, Acts XVIII of 1851

¹¹ *India in the Victorian Age*, p. 42.

¹² Bengal Tenancy Act II of 1879, VII of 1891, XI of 1900, I of 1902, and the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act of 1906 are important. For S. C. Ray, *Land Revenue Administration*, pp. 114-20.

¹³ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, pp. 278-88.

and XII of 1856, and in the Punjab, the Tenancy Acts XXXIII of 1866, XXXIII of 1871 and XVII of 1887. The Punjab Land Alienation Act of 1881 was passed to prevent unnecessary and frequent alienations of land from the hands of the old agricultural classes to those of the moneyed and speculating classes.

The terrible distresses and sufferings due to the famines which visited India during the close of the nineteenth century drew attention of some old and experienced officers of the Government to its Land Revenue policy. The late Mr. R. C. Dutt, along with several other retired European members of the Indian Civil Service, presented in 1893 a memorial on the subject to the Secretary of State for India.¹⁷ Shortly before this Mr. Dutt had addressed his Open Letter to Lord Curzon, and the Government Resolution of 1892 declared by way of reply that the "Legislature has created a strong body of company tenants in Bengal, has secured the tenantry of Oudh against enhancement at ever frequent intervals and in disproportionate proportions, and has practically given to every tenant in the Central Provinces safety of tenure at a fair rent."¹⁸

After the reforms of 1919, land revenue has been made a provincial subject and is one of the main sources of income for the Provincial Government. But it was not made a transferred subject, and the Government of India still retained a large control over its administration. For a recent time there have been movements in different provinces for freeing the land revenue administration from 'executive arbitrariness' and for placing it under the control of the Legislature by special statutes like the Bombay Land Revenue Code. The Indian Statutory Commission remarked: "With the considerable widening of the franchise, agricultural interests have dominated the provincial legislatures, and land revenue has excited more interest and criticism than almost any other sphere of administration. Now the controversy has been confined to the arena of legislative

¹⁷ *India in the Nineteenth Age*, p. 306.

¹⁸ *Kail: Indian Administration*, pp. 198-99.

councils. In at least two cases (the agrarian disturbances in the United Provinces in 1931 and the Bardoli Campaign in Bombay in 1928) opposition to land revenue policy has expressed itself in a form that threatened a complete breakdown of authority."⁴⁹ But no substantial change has yet been made in the system of land revenue administration, it has been however proposed to make it definitely a transferred subject in the forthcoming new constitution of India.

SECTION II

LAW AND JUSTICE

Though, except in Bombay, the English East India Company established its settlements in other parts of India with the permission of the indigenous rulers, yet they did not become subject to their political authority and the custom laws of this country. By the Royal Charter Act of Charles II of the year 1661, the Governor and Council of the several places belonging to the Company in the East Indies were given the power "to judge all persons belonging to the said Governor and Company or that should live under them, in all causes, whether civil or criminal, according to the laws of the Kingdom (England) or to execute justice accordingly." In 1726 the Crown of England by Letters Patent established Mayor's Courts at Madras, Bombay, and Calcutta, each consisting of a Mayor and nine Aldermen, seven of whom, with the Mayor, were to be natural born British subjects.⁵⁰ At first the Company tried to apply its laws to all Europeans as well as Indians who resided within the Company's area in the three Presidencies, but by the Charter Act of 1793 all suits and actions between Indians purely were

⁴⁹ Report, Vol. I, pp. 161-64.

⁵⁰ Fifth Report issued by Privy Council, Introduction, Chapter V. Many original records about the Mayor's Courts in Calcutta have been published in *Bengal: Past and Present*.

excepted from the jurisdiction of the Mayor's Courts. In Bengal the Supreme Court of Judicature took the place of the Mayor's Court in 1774; at Madras and Bombay they remained undisturbed till 1787 when they were superseded by Recorder's Courts, which again were replaced by Supreme Courts on the Bengal model established at Madras and Bombay in 1800 and 1823 respectively.

We have already noted the paralysed state of Bengal judicial administration during the period of confusion following the battle of Plassey, and also the judicial reforms of Warren Hastings. Further efforts to reform the judicial system were made by Lord Cornwallis. For convenience of administration he divided the country into districts each of which as a separate unit was placed under the charge of a Collector who was a member of the Company's civil service. He overhauled the machinery of justice and laid the main foundations of the existing system. One of his most important changes in this direction was that he took away from "the Board of Revenue and the Collectors all judicial powers, thereby confining their duties and functions to the mere collection of the public dues, and to transfer the cognisance of the causes hitherto tried in these courts to the Courts of Justice."⁴¹ For the administration of civil justice a regular hierarchy of courts was constituted. At the top was the Sadar Dewani Adalat or the Chief Civil Court, consisting of the Governor-General and Members of his Council in Calcutta and assisted by the *Kari-ul-Kanun* or the Chief Kazi of the state, two *Mutis* and two *Pandits*.⁴² It was to "hear appeals and control the exercise of powers of the judicial system." No appeal could be made to this court unless the subject of dispute was valued at Rs. 1,000 or more.⁴³ Below this court were provincial courts, established at the chief cities of Patna, Dacca, Murshidabad and Calcutta. They were "courts of revision and appeal with relation to causes below

⁴¹ *Essays: Cornwallis*, Vol. 1, p. 200; *Eight Reports*, pp. 14-15.

⁴² A curious mixture of experience of Hindu, Islamic and English law was yet negatively compounded into an "Indian State Tribunal."

⁴³ *Thomson, Op. cit.*, Vol. II, p. 508.

but they were also to a certain extent, courts of primary jurisdiction.⁴¹ Each of these courts was placed under three European judges, all nominated servants of the Company; and three assessors, a Kazi and a Mufti for Mohammedan Law and a Pandit for Hindu Law.⁴² The decisions of these courts could be final for suits not exceeding Rs. 1,000 in value.⁴³ In the next grade were the sibs or district courts, established in each of the newly-formed districts and City Courts in "considerable towns or cities" under a British judge, who was to be a servant of the Company higher in rank than a Collector and who was assisted by native assessors. A judge of such a court was "to have cognisance over all civil causes of all descriptions that may arise in his jurisdiction, whether of the nature of those termed revenue causes, and heretofore tried in the Revenue Courts, or of the description of those which have been cognisable in the courts of the Dewany Adalat."⁴⁴ All classes of persons within these district or city areas were amenable to the jurisdiction of these courts, except the 'Europeans and British subjects' who were under the control of the Supreme Court of Judicature in Calcutta.⁴⁵ The executive and ministerial business of the courts then constituted were transacted by registrars or more assistants appointed from the junior branch of the European commissioned service. At the bottom of the organisation stood the petty courts, in the interior of the country presided over by native commissioners, who were authorised to "hear and decide, in the first instance, on suits of personal property not exceeding the value of fifty rupees." These commissioners were of three descriptions: *amils* or referees, *shikhs* or arbitrators and *muftis* or native judges.⁴⁶

⁴¹ *Ibid.*, p. 40.

⁴² *Forrest, Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 379; *MdL, Op. cit.*, Vol. V, p. 581.

⁴³ *Thomson, Op. cit.*, p. 528.

⁴⁴ *Forrest, Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 378.

⁴⁵ *MdL, Op. cit.*, Vol. V, p. 405; *Fifth Report*, p. 25.

⁴⁶ *Fifth Report*, p. 25.

They were paid no salary, nor did they get any establishment, but they received as "remuneration a fine of one anna per rupan, or a commutation of somewhat more than six per cent upon all suits litigated before them." Another change of importance in the administration of civil justice was that Cornwallis placed the appointment of *maliks*, or agents employed in suits, in the hands of the Sadar Dewani Adalat. This was intended to "introduce a better class of valuers by ensuring the possession of some measure of qualification for the office which they undertook, and by subjecting them to due control."⁴⁰

A parallel organization of courts was set up for the administration of Criminal Justice with the Sadar Nizamat Adalat in Calcutta as the highest Court of Appeal. The judges of the Provincial Courts were made judges of circuit in their respective divisions; it was provided that "the senior judge will go on the circuit of one-half of the stations within their jurisdiction, whilst the other two perform the circuit of the remainder."⁴¹ The Deputy Nawab of the old order, Mahammad Raza Khan, was deprived of his criminal jurisdiction, and the Nizamat Adalat was transferred from Murshidabad to Calcutta. It was to meet at least once a week and was to "take cognizance of all matters relating to the administration of justice in criminal cases, and submit such propositions as may appear to them calculated for the better regulation of the same, for the consideration and sanction of the Governor-General-in-Council."⁴² Besides changing the instruments of judicature Cornwallis made a few alterations in the criminal law administered,⁴³ and an elaborate Code of Regulations known as the Cornwallis Code of 1793 was published for the guidance of the officers of the new judicial system.⁴⁴ Cornwallis held a favourable opinion of the work of

⁴⁰ *Thomson, Op. cit.*, Vol. II, p. 342. This may be taken as the beginning of the British Indian legal profession of to-day.

⁴¹ *Forrest, Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 205.

⁴² *Quoted in Aspinwall, Cornwallis in Bengal*, pp. 74-75.

⁴³ *Ibid.*, pp. 74-75. *Thomson, Op. cit.*, Vol. II, pp. 342-43.

⁴⁴ *Aspinwall, Op. cit.*, pp. 75-76. *Now, Cornwallis Correspondence*, Vol. II, p. 158.

of the new Courts of Justice. He declared in February, 1793, that the "most happy effects have already been felt from the system."⁸¹ "The present system," said one of the then Patna Judges, "must in our opinion be considered by the natives as a blessing to their country, particularly when compared to the former defective and weak state of the criminal jurisdiction; they are now not only sure of speedy justice, but an impartial administration equally to all ranks, and the indigent are now as certain of justice as the opulent and of no distinction being made in inflicting of punishment when either are proved to be deserving of it."⁸² But this was clearly an exaggerated estimate about the working of the new system, it might have removed some abuses of the old order but it "was yet by no means perfect."⁸³ Mill, a hostile critic, has made violent remarks⁸⁴ against the system, some of which are, however, justified. Thornton thinks that the "judicial changes could scarcely appreciate the evils previously existing, but they had perhaps little effect in abating them."⁸⁵ Justice did not at once become cheap and easy, on the other hand, the immediate effects were visible in the multiplication of suits⁸⁶ and in the growth of huge files of pending cases.⁸⁷ "The courts were greatly infested with technicalities of procedure calculated both to defeat justice and obstruct the access to it by needless delay and expense."⁸⁸ Experience soon revealed many defects of the new system. "Yours after yours," writes Thornton, "some change was made in the system established by Lord Cornwallis, experiment succeeded experiment, each tending to confirm a truth of which European innovations have so often been forgetful, that it is impossible by a stroke of the pen to change the character of a

⁸¹ *Report*, Op. cit. p. 70.

⁸² *Quoted in ibid.*

⁸³ *Ibid.*, p. 77.

⁸⁴ *Op. cit.*, Vol. V, pp. 323-26.

⁸⁵ *Op. cit.*, Vol. II, p. 547.

⁸⁶ *Ibid.*

⁸⁷ *Mill*, *Op. cit.*, p. 347, *Fifth Report*, p. 113.

⁸⁸ *Forster*, *Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 288.

people, as to render either useful or popular, institutions not framed with due regard to the national habits and peculiarities."¹²

Certain changes were indeed introduced within a few years of Cornwallis' departure, before we come to the time of Blackstone, which forms another landmark in the history of modern judicial administration. Various regulations were passed between the years 1793-1802 for the limitation and proper enlargement of suits, e.g., reimposition of a fee upon registering a suit and increase in the number of courts. In the time of Wellesley the Sadar Dewani and Nazam Adalat of Calcutta were remodelled; instead of consisting of the Governor-General-and-Council they came to be henceforth composed of three judges selected from among the commissioned servants of the Company; they remained thus until merged in the High Court in 1862. In the time of Lord Minto, in 1807, the number of judges in the Sadar Court was raised to four, and in 1811 it was laid down that the number of district judges should be increased as circumstances required. According to the regulations of 1793 the provincial courts used to function when their judges went on circuit. A regulation of 1796 and another of 1797, provided for the unbroken session of these courts even when their judges were not on circuit.

"None of the changes, however, did more than palliate the evils of the system. These evils were still formidable when they were subjected to the clear scrutiny of the next five years."¹³ Further changes were therefore introduced during the governor-generalship of Lord Hastings. The Indian manuscript and such evils had their powers in civil justice defined in 1814 and extended in 1821. A regulation of 1814 fixed the procedure in appeal, and various measures were adopted for removing the pressure of work in the higher courts; a separate court was established for the Western Provinces, the number of

¹² *Op. cit.*, Vol. II, p. 168.

¹³ *Cambridge History*, Vol. V, p. 40.

judges in the Court of Appeal in Calcutta was reduced to five, and the work was systematically divided among the judges. In order to give relief to the lower courts special commissioners were established for administering justice, the number of civil judges was increased and certain judicial functions were re-transferred to the revenue authorities. The Collector's position was thus once more changed.

Lord William Bentinck's reforming spirit affected the sphere of judicial administration as well. He established the Provincial Court of Appeal in civil cases, conferred full criminal jurisdiction upon District Civil Judges, newly designated District and Sessions Judges, and transferred to the Collectors the magisterial powers formerly exercised by the civil judges. This has been regarded as a reactionary measure as it was opposed to the principle of separation of executive and judicial functions. We have seen that Lord Cornwallis had created Courts of Native Commissioners outside the Presidency towns, Lord William Bentinck in 1831 formed a new grade known as Principal Sadar Amree whose jurisdiction afterwards became unlimited in respect of value. In 1865 these were transformed into Subordinate Judges under the District and Sessions Judges.

It was about this time that we find the beginning of attempts for codification. Herbert's nothing except certain 'Regulations' with which the names of Munro in Madras and of Elphinstone in Bombay are associated, had been achieved in this direction. By the Parliamentary Act of 1833 a Law Member was added to the Governor-General's Council and a Law Commission was appointed to sit in Calcutta for preparing a law code and for standardising judicial procedure. Lord Macaulay took a large part in the labours of this Commission, and a Penal Code was proposed which became law in 1860⁴⁵. The laws made since 1819 are known as Acts, not Regulations⁴⁶. In 1853 a new Commission was appointed in England which was followed by

⁴⁵ See John Stanley, *India: Its Administration and Progress 1760-1900*, p. 104.

⁴⁶ P. Malhotra, *Congressional Documents*, Vol. I, p. viii.

another in 1861, "for the purpose of preparing a body of substantive law for India."¹⁷ The complexities and nature of the law were greatly simplified by the passing of the Civil Procedure and the Criminal Procedure Codes, as also by the abridged Penal Code. It is to be noted that the process of simplification of the law has not been carried much further since then; at the present time there is a general public opinion in favour of considerable simplification of procedure and cheapening of justice.¹⁸

Within a few years of the direct assumption of sovereignty by the Crown, an important change was effected in the judicial organisation of India. By the Indian High Courts Act of 1861¹⁹ the Crown of England was empowered by Letters Patent to establish High Courts in Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, in which the Supreme Courts and the Sadar Adalat Courts were merged. Each of these High Courts was "to consist of a chief justice and not more than fifteen judges, of whom not less than one-third including the Chief Justice were to be members of the English Bar and not less than one-third were to be members of the constituted Civil Service. All the judges were to be appointed by and to hold office during the pleasure of the Crown. The High Courts were expressly given superintendence over and power to frame rules of practice for all the courts subject to their appellate jurisdiction."²⁰ Power was given by the Act to establish subsequently another similar High Court for the North-Western Provinces, and this was done in the year 1866. The Indian High Courts Act of 1865²¹ empowered the Governor-General-in-Council to pass orders altering the limits of the jurisdiction of the several Chartered High Courts and enabling them to exercise their jurisdiction over native and Christian

¹⁷ Strachey, *Op. cit.*, p. 15.

¹⁸ E.g., a Bihar Museum created a Scholarship Fund, out of which assistance may be given to law students who can show means for such judicial reform.

¹⁹ McHenry, *Op. cit.*, Vol. I, pp. 285-291.

²⁰ *Ibid.*, Historical Introduction, p. 166.

subjects of Her Majesty resident in Native States."¹¹ Between 1863 and 1875 a generally uniform system was established in each of the two provinces by the Civil Courts Acts. The constitution of the Criminal Courts has been made uniform throughout the country by the regulations of the Criminal Procedure Code of 1872. The Indian High Courts Act of 1861 raised the maximum number of judges of an Indian High Court to twenty-five, gave power to establish new High Courts from time to time as occasions may require, and to make consequential changes in the jurisdiction of the courts, and made it lawful for the Government-General-in-Council to appoint from time to time persons to act as additional judges of any High Court for such period not exceeding two years as may be required.¹² On the basis of the powers under this Act, High Courts were established at Poona, Lahore and Rangoon.

The inauguration of the proposed Federation has necessitated some changes in the judiciary of our country. Section 200 of the Government of India Act, 1935, provides that there shall be a Federal Court consisting of a Chief Justice and not more than six other judges. They are to be appointed by the Crown and are to hold office till the age of sixty-five. The Federal Court is to have both original and appellate jurisdiction. The Act confirms the status of High Court to the existing courts at Calcutta, Bombay, Allahabad, Lahore, Madras, Poona, as well as to the Chief Court in Oudh, the Judicial Commissioner's Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar, the North-West Frontier Province and Sindh, and "any other court constituted or reconstituted as a high court, or any comparable court which may be declared a High Court for purposes of the Act by the Crown in Council." Delhi has become the seat of this new Federal Court, with Sir Maurice Gwyer as the first Chief Justice of India and Sir B. L. Mitter as the first Advocate-General of India.

¹¹ *Ibid.*

¹² Mukherjee, *Op. cit.*, pp. 474-75.

Below the High Courts, there are subordinate courts both civil and criminal, with some differences in nomenclature, but in other respects the essentials of the inferior courts in different provinces are almost the same. In each district there is a District Judge, who has control over all the courts in that district, and his court has the authority to receive appeals from all of them. Below the District Judge there are Subordinate Judges with smaller jurisdiction, and *Munsifs* (or Subordinate Judges of the second class) stand below them. There are also what are called *Small Cause Courts* exercising local jurisdiction in petty cases in the Presidency towns and other places of importance, e.g. at Poona, Ahmedabad, and Surat in the Bombay Presidency. There are also side by side with the Civil Courts what are known as *Revenue Courts*, presided over by officers charged with the duty of settling and collecting the land revenue. The Presidency towns have also *Magistrate defendants' courts* since 1848. The Madras City Civil Court Act of 1892 established a City Civil Court having powers to deal with suits of a value not exceeding Rs. 25,000 "with strictly limited powers of attachment of property in execution of decrees."

For the administration of criminal justice, a province is divided into sessions divisions each of which is usually equal to the area of a district and has a Court of Sessions presided over by a Sessions Judge who is generally the District Judge himself exercising his criminal jurisdiction and who may be assisted by additional, joint or assistant Sessions Judges. The Sessions Courts can try all criminal cases committed to them by magistrates' courts and can inflict any punishment authorised by law, subject to the confirmation of the High Courts in cases of capital sentences. Below the Sessions Courts are courts of magistrates of three classes. A first class Magistrate's Court can fine up to Rs. 1,000 and can pass a sentence of two years' rigorous imprisonment; a second class Magistrate's Court can pass a sentence of six months' rigorous imprisonment and of a fine up to Rs. 200; a third class Magistrate's Court can inflict a fine up to Rs. 50 and rigorous imprisonment for a month.

There are Presidency Magistrates in Presidency towns and in big cities, City Magistrates to try criminal cases and to commit the more important ones to the Sessions or to the High Court. Honorary Magistrates and Justices of the Peace are also sometimes appointed in big towns.

Trial by Jury is one of the important privileges gradually secured by the people of England. In pursuance of the principles of English Law, in India also criminal cases, of certain classes and in certain areas, are tried with the help of jurors or assessors. In trials before High Courts the jury consists of nine persons, and in those before a Court of Sessions, there are jurors or assessors of an uneven number prescribed by the local governments, who assist and give advice but whose opinions are not binding on the judges, cases of disagreement being referred to High Court. Appeals are allowed both in civil and criminal cases, firstly, from a subordinate court to the next higher court secondly, to a High Court, and, lastly, under certain circumstances to the Privy Council in London, not on points of fact but on points of law, with the permission of the High Court.

Racial distinction in the administration of criminal justice has been one of the most burning topics in the modern history of India. Until 1836 "European British subjects"¹³ were in civil and criminal matters under the control of the Supreme Courts alone. But by Act XI of 1836, commonly known as Macaulay's Black Act, they were brought under the jurisdiction of the Company's civil courts and thus distinctions of race were abolished in the civil courts throughout India.¹⁴ But so far as

¹³ The Code of Criminal Procedure defined a "European British subject" thus:—"(1) Any subject of Her Majesty born or naturalised or domiciled in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland or in any of the European, American, Australian Colonies or possessions of Her Majesty; or in the colony of New Zealand or in the colony of Cape of Good Hope in Natal; (2) Any child or grandchild of such person by legitimate descent."

¹⁴ Macaulay, *Op. cit.*, pp. 108-09; *Macaulay, Life and Letters of Macaulay*, Chap. II, pp. 262-66.

the administration of criminal justice was concerned the European British subjects could be tried only by European magistrates and judges. This was at once an injustice and a disgrace, and it was true that the Indigo planters in spite of their expressions on the issue escaped from proper punishment. In 1854 Lord Ripon's Government sought to remove this discrimination by investing Indian magistrates and judges with the power of trying European British subjects. Mr. (afterwards Sir) C. P. Ilbert prepared a Bill to this effect, but it encountered a storm of opposition from the members of the European community before which the Government had to bend. The result was a compromise which practically meant a "virtual though not avowed abandonment of the measure proposed by the Government."¹¹ Act III of 1854 laid down that European subjects might be tried by District Magistrates or Sessions Judges, whether European or Indian, but they could in every case, however tried, also be tried by a jury of which not less than half the number shall be Europeans or Americans. As the Indians could make no such claim, the Act did not diminish "the privileges of European British subjects charged with offences, and it left their position as exceptional as before."¹² Eminent Indians like Mr. Krishna Das Pal, Syed Amir Ali, and Raja Shree Prasad pointed out in course of their debates that this would result in nothing but a failure of justice. Sir John Stansby remarked in 1905, "It may be feared that the result of all this has been that we must leave to a distant future the hope that the Government of India will be able to place the law regarding jurisdiction over European British subjects on a satisfactory footing."¹³

The attention of the reformed Central Legislature was drawn towards this question in 1921 and the Government of India appointed the Racial Discriminations Committee to enquire

¹¹ Stansby, *Op. cit.*, p. 193.

¹² *Ibid.*

¹³ *Ibid.*, p. 194.

into the whole matter. The Convention recommended the removal of some of these distinctions. The Act which embodied its proposals, "with some modifications, gives all first class magistrates power to try European British subjects. All restrictions regarding the jurisdiction over them of Additional and Assistant Sessions Judges, are abolished. Indians and Europeans are placed on a footing of equality in the matter of trial by jury, and a similar measure of equality is allowed in the exercise of the right of appeal."¹⁹ But the privilege of being tried by a European jury may still be claimed.

The proper articulation of governmental powers through the three organs of a state, the Executive, the Legislature and the Judiciary is an essential condition for civil liberty. No fair justice is possible if the Judiciary is subject to interference from the Executive or if the executive and judicial powers are combined in the same hands. In India, however, some officers like the Collector-Magistrates of Districts or Deputy Commissioners combine the two functions; they collect the revenue, control the police, institute prosecutions, and at the same time, exercise large judicial powers. Public opinion in India as well as some British officials and high judicial authorities have raised their voice against this anomaly; its defects were ably pointed out in a memorial submitted to the Secretary of State for India in 1899 by such eminent persons as Lord Hobhouse, Sir Richard Carriv, Sir Charles Sargent and seven others. But various suggestions have been advanced from the other side and no sufficient steps have yet been taken to effect this separation completely, which is indispensable for civil liberty.

In recent years the normal judicial procedure and administration has been modified to meet the exigencies of the present abnormal civil life of India, characterised by passive resistance to law and by acts of political violence or terrorism, criminal law and procedure has been amended, the Governor General's instructions to meet the new situation have been made into

¹⁹ *I.C.S.*, Op. cit., p. 481.

Legislative Acts, and special courts and powers have been created to deal with new and peculiar offences.

SECTION III

POLICE AND PRISON REFORM

Law and order are the two most essential elements of a happy civic life. During the period of confusion in the latter half of the eighteenth century, like every other branch of administration the indigenous police system consisting of village watch and ward for the countryside¹¹ and *Kotwalis* for the large towns¹² had become paralysed. While the nominal native rulers' corrupt and inefficient executives gradually dropped its policing functions, the *zamindars*, who were the depositories of the State in the matter of maintaining order within their manikdars, did not also discharge their responsibility;¹³ on the other hand, these pykies and bands of followers, like the retainers of the feudal barons of Europe in later Medieval periods, oppressed the people, sometimes even by joining with the robbers and marauders.¹⁴

For administrative as well as commercial considerations, the East India Company's officers tried to re-establish law and order in the land. Warren Hastings tried a new state police system consisting of the *faujdars* and *thanasdars*, but it proved unsatisfactory and was abolished in 1781. Thus the police duties remained practically in the hands of the *Zamindars*, who

¹¹ (D. J. McNair's *Report on the Village Panch in the Lower Provinces of Bengal*, Calcutta, 1866.

¹² For functions of the *Kotwalis*, vide Sir J. H. Baines's *Sketches of Administration*, we find also descriptions in *Bengal Literature of nineteenth century*, vol. 1, in *Ramprasad's Vilpamandari*, pp. 22-28 ff. (S. S. Bhattacharya's *Annals of Bengal*, pp. 56-58; Jayramprasad Sen's *Wardha*, pp. 43-45.

¹³ *Bengal Revenue Commissioners*, 206, June, 1782.

¹⁴ Asquith, *Comacalis in Bengal*, p. 127.

"reassumed the authority confided to them as officers of police."⁴² Lord Cornwallis took strong measures to reform the police system in Calcutta⁴³ as well as in the rest of the country. He introduced a new system by the Police Regulations of 7th December, 1792 contained in the Code of May, 1793. The Zamindars were compelled to disband their pykhs or armed constabulary, and a police force was established in each thana under a daroga or superintendent, who was to be appointed by and under the control of the District Magistrate and was to receive a commission of ten per cent on the value of all stolen property recovered by him and tax reports from the Government on the conviction of every dacoit or gang robber.⁴⁴ The cost of maintaining the police, amounting to Rs. 3,19,443,⁴⁵ "was to be met by a small tax on the warehouses and shops in the chief towns and markets."⁴⁶

The system established by Cornwallis "proved to be an expensive failure,"⁴⁷ and could not ensure order and peace. When one daroga with fifteen to twenty constables was expected to look after an area of four hundred square miles,⁴⁸ dacoities and disorders could not be effectively dealt with, and in fact increased. The Fifth Report has noted that the daroghs of the new system were "not less corrupt than the zamindars, their predecessors, and they themselves and the inferior officers acting under them, with as much inclination to do evil, have less ability to do good than the subsidiary servants employed before them."⁴⁹ An attempt was made in Bengal by Regula-

⁴² J. C. Sinha, *Economic Annals of Bengal*, p. 202.

⁴³ *Asquith, Op. cit.*, p. 364.

⁴⁴ *Fifth Report*, Vol. I, p. 79. It is well known how even along a country where the old system in villages knew of no position of higher emboldenment and power than that of the daroga, and their blottings were the law "could be a daroga."

⁴⁵ *Asquith, Op. cit.*, p. 115.

⁴⁶ *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, p. 286.

⁴⁷ *Fifth Report*, Vol. I, p. 108.

⁴⁸ *Ibid.*

⁴⁹ *Ibid.*

was XII of the year 1807 to restore the police functions of the Zamindars who were asked to act as officers or commissioners of police.¹⁰ But owing to the general dissolution of the old order of things the scheme of 1807 did not meet with great success. According to the orders of the Court of Directors issued in 1814, the establishment of darogas and their subordinates was abolished in all other possessions of the Company (Madras, 1814; Bombay, 1827) except in Bengal, and there the decayed indigenous system of the village watchmen was ordered to continue.

In 1808 a Superintendent of Police with criminal jurisdiction was appointed for Calcutta, his jurisdiction, up to 1827, extending over a large part of the surrounding country. He was to work with *ghosees* or spies who were to trace out the criminals and *gabars* or messengers who were to apprehend them. This measure had some early success but soon the *ghosees* in concert with the *gabars* actually committed "depredations on the peaceable inhabitants, of the same nature as those practised by the dacoits whom they were employed to suppress."¹¹ The spirit of lawlessness had continued to be fed for several decades by unemployment and the inefficiency and corruption of the custodians of order went on increasing. The principal defects of the existing system were that the police force was "imperfectly organized," and the Magistrate-Collector, who was also the head of the Police, became overburdened with duties. Though Commissions were appointed to make enquiries yet no definite steps were taken for many years. It was first in the Presidency towns that the duties of the Magistrate and the Police Superintendent were separated. In the countryside, Sir Charles Napier in 1843 made an attempt at improvement in Sindh, by drawing up a plan on the model of the Irish Constabulary, the "main characteristics of which were separate organization, severance of police and judicial functions

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 131.

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 135.

and a reasonable degree of discipline." The system was copied in Bombay in 1855, in the Punjab after the Mutiny and in Madras in 1859.

In 1860 the Government of India appointed a Commission to enquire into the whole subject of police administration; it recommended the "establishment of a well-organised and partly civil constabulary, supervised by European officers, and capable of carrying out all ordinary civil duties, including the provision of guards and escorts. The village police should be retained on their existing footing, being brought, however, into direct relationship with the General Constabulary."⁴¹ These recommendations were embodied in the Police Act of 1862. The provisions of this Act were followed in organising the Police system in different parts of India, excepting Bombay, where under the local District Police Act, the District Superintendent and his staff were placed under the control of the District Magistrate. Besides this general organisation there had also grown a special department for the suppression of Thugs (1800), which was also entrusted with the function of suppressing dacoity in 1809. This branch was abolished in 1904, when under the recommendation of the Police Commission of 1902, a new branch known as the Criminal Investigations Department (C. I. D.) was started. For many years the officers in the Indian Police Service were recruited from the commissioned ranks of the Native Army, and were afterwards appointed by nomination alone. The system of appointment by open competition was introduced in England and in India in 1895.

But in spite of all these, the police system remained honey-combed with abuses, chiefly because the responsibility for law and order was entrusted to rather untrained and uneducated and consequently irresponsible, people and officers, who "have been allowed from various causes to get out of acquaintance and sympathy with the people and out of touch even with their subordinates." The Government of India, with the approval

⁴¹ *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, p. 380.

of the Secretary of State, appointed a Commission in 1902 to enquire into the state of police administration. The recommendations of the Commission covered different branches of the subject and many of these have been given effect to in almost all the provinces, resulting in the improvement of pay and prospects of the police officers and in the growth of their numbers. But the system requires more wholesale reforms: to make it more efficient and conducive to the real interests of the people, the officers and their subordinates should be better trained and more educated, with efficiency and literacy the police force "is naturally subjected to a great handicap in the discharge of its duties."¹⁴ The controlling system should be also more Indianised in order to create a spirit of trust and co-operation between the public and the police. "The straight road," remarks Mr. Radhakrishna-Williams, "towards winning an increased measure of public appreciation would seem to lie in so raising the morale and the intelligence of the force that its members may be trusted in all circumstances to use their authority with discretion."¹⁵ In recent years there has been a growth of literacy among the police constables, largely owing to unemployment among semi-educated middle classes. "Between 1920 and 1925 the percentage of literate constables rose from 27 to 40 per cent. and in 1929, for the first time in history, more than half the constables,—indeed as many as 57 per cent. were literate."¹⁶

Under the existing police organisation in India the administrative head of the police in each province is the Inspector-General, who has under him a number of Deputy Inspector-Generals, one for each division or circle. Each district police force is under a Superintendent of Police, who is a member of the Indian Police Service. Every district is divided into several charges or thans, each under an Inspector of Police.

¹⁴ *India in 1929-30*, p. 32.

¹⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 32.

¹⁶ *India in 1929-30*, p. 33.

assisted by Sub-Inspectors and Constables. The institution of the village watchmen has survived almost everywhere throughout India.

Jails

"The early Indian jail system was like its English prototype, unsanitary, degrading and non-deterrent."¹ The earliest attempt towards reform was inaugurated at the instigation of Lord Macaulay during the years 1836-38; the Report of the First Prisons Committee submitted to the Government of India in 1836 did not recommend any very advanced methods. The two other Committees of 1864 and 1867 were both infructuous. In 1869 the Government of India appointed a Committee consisting of two officers whose report dealt with prison administration in all aspects. Its work was supplemented by a conference of experts on the subject of prison reform in 1892. A General Prisons Act was then passed in 1894 for British India, and rules were issued under it by the Government of India and the Local Governments, according to which the jail administration in India has since been regulated.

The punishments authorised by the Indian Penal Code for convicted offenders include transportation, penal servitude, rigorous imprisonment and simple imprisonment. Civil and industrial prisoners are also accommodated in jails. The jails are of three kinds: (1) Central, (2) District, and (3) Subsidiary. In each province the Jail Department is under the control of an Inspector-General of Prisons, who is generally a member of the Indian Medical Service, and the Central Jails are under Superintendents, also drawn from the same ranks and assisted by Deputy superintendents in large Central jails and by subordinate medical officers. District jails remain under the charge of the district civil surgeons. The executive officers are jailers, deputy and assistant jailers, and wardens. The reformatory

schools for juvenile convicts have been administered since 1899 by the Education Department.

Since the introduction of the Reforms in 1921, the "maintenance of the Indian Prison falls within the sphere of provincial governments. It is, however, subject to all-India legislation." The advisability of having general principles governing the treatment of criminals led to the appointment of a Jails Committee in 1919. Besides other recommendations, this Committee laid stress upon the "reformative side of the prison work." It emphasised the "necessity of improving and increasing solitary jail accommodations, of recruiting a better class of wardens, of providing education for prisoners, and of developing prison industries so as to meet the needs of the consuming Department of Government."¹⁷ Attempts have been made to give effect to these recommendations in the different provinces, but these have been greatly hampered by financial stringency. According to the Committee's recommendation, the abandonment of Port Blair as a penal settlement has been considered by the Government of India since 1921. It was finally decided in 1926 that "hereafter only those convicts should normally be sent to the Andamans who volunteered to come, that the old restrictions on life in the settlement should be sensibly relaxed, that the convicts should be encouraged to settle on the land, that in certain conditions they should be entitled to release, to obtain occupancy rights over the land which they had cultivated, and that the reputation of service and luncheon should be encouraged."¹⁸

SECTION IV

DIVISION, DISTRICT AND VILLAGE ADMINISTRATION

A district is the principal unit of administration in British India. Though there are differences in the details of district

¹⁷ *Jails in INDIA*, p. 181.

organisation,⁴⁴ the general principles on which the administrative system is based are much the same everywhere. There are some 271 districts in British India, each has its separate organisation and is divided into smaller units called subdivisions. The average area of a district is 4,450 square miles and the average population about 9,00,000, but in actual districts the area varies from two to two thousand square miles and the population from one to three millions.

Each district is placed in charge of an officer called Collector-Magistrate or simply Collector, or Deputy Commissioner in Non-Regulation areas. As his title implies, this officer has two-fold functions. As a Collector he is the principal revenue officer of the district and as a Magistrate he supervises the criminal courts (also civil courts in some non-regulated areas) and the police work, and also maintains peace and order in the district area. As a first class Magistrate he can inflict two years' imprisonment and fine up to 1,000 rupees. The Collectorate organisation is 'so close knit, so well established, and so thoroughly understood that it simultaneously discharges an immense number of other duties with ease and efficiency. Registration, alienation and partition of holdings, management of indebted estates, loans to agriculturists, settlement of disputes, and above all famine relief, are all matters which are dealt with by this agency.'⁴⁵ An Indian Collector, remarks Sir William Hunter, 'is a strongly individualised worker in every department of rural well-being with a large measure of local independence of individual initiative.'⁴⁶ The efficiency of administration in a district depends much on the strength of his personality. Sir W. Hunter says that he is 'the representative of a paternal

⁴⁴ For details about administration of the different districts, see *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, (especially Chaps. II and XIII) (Standard) Chaps IV and XIV, (Medical) Chaps II and XV, R.P., C.R., and the French) Chaps V and XVI.

⁴⁵ Sir W. Hunter, *The Indian Empire*, p. 313.

and not a constitutional government.¹⁰⁰ Police, jails, education, municipalities, roads, sanitation, dispensaries, the local taxation, and the temporal concerns of his district are to him matters of daily concern. He is expected to make himself acquainted with every phase of the social life of the natives, and with each natural aspect of the country. He should be a lawyer, an accountant, and financier, and a ready writer of state papers. He ought also to possess no mean knowledge of agricultural, political economy and engineering.¹⁰¹

The Collector-Magistrates are assisted in all the multifarious work by members of the Provincial Executive Service (senior and junior branches), who have to possess and develop similar qualifications. These officers train up the fresh recruits to the I.C.S., who in time become their superior controlling officers. The success of a district administration depends as much on the personality of the I.C.S. district officer as upon the service and assistance he can get from these able and hard-worked officers. In recent times many of them have themselves been selected to manage districts and even divisions. The functions of the officers in charge of sub-divisions of the districts vary in different provinces. Except in Bengal (and Assam and B. & O.), there are smaller sub-district units styled *taluk* and *taluka* administered by *Talukdars*, or *Munsifs* as they are called in Bombay proper, and *Mulkyashams* in Sind.¹⁰²

A Collector's headquarters is stationed ordinarily in the chief town of the district, where various other specialised departments, such as, the establishments for irrigation, roads and buildings, agriculture, industries, forests, cooperative

¹⁰⁰ Since the reforms of 1919 the character of the district administration is slowly being changed from the paternal to the constitutional type, with, however, some temporary loss of efficiency during the transition. The District Officer's magistracy was taken on him in accordance with the advice and opinion of various public bodies as various officers, and some of his functions are now made over to public bodies.

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.*, *Compare* a similar description in *M. C. Report*, para 103.

¹⁰² *Kale Op. cit.* p. 259.

credit and medical relief also function. These are "controlled not by the district officer but by their own departmental heads; they may be regarded as a different set of strings connecting the Government with the people. But in varying degrees the district officer influences the policy in all these matters, and he is always there in the background to lend his support, or if need be, to mediate between a specialised service and the people."¹¹¹ Till recently he used to preside over the District Boards, and thus administer matters like rural education, dispensaries, sanitation, country roads, bridges, water-supply, drainage, tree-planting, veterinary work, ponds, fairs, fairs, fairs and the like. In short, even after the Reforms of 1919, "on every one of the innumerable matters which may require the orders, assistance, advice or interference of Government it is to the District Officer that the non-official President as member of a local body, the Commissioner or Minister, as well as the ordinary citizen, will look."¹¹²

At the bottom of the system of district administration lies the Indian village,—an old institution, which has in a way summed the changes of many centuries in many parts of the country, and is now being adjusted to the changed conditions of these times. Mr. Elphinstone in his "Report on the territories conquered from the Pindars," submitted to the Governor-General in 1819 stated that the most striking feature of the Deccan was its division into villages or townships and that these communities contained "in miniature all the materials of a state within themselves." Referring to the village communities in 1830, Sir Charles (afterwards Lord) Metcalfe wrote: "They seem to last where nothing else lasts. Dynasty after dynasty rises and falls; revolution succeeds revolution; Hindu, Pathan, Mughal, Maratha, Sikh, English are all masters in turn, but the village communities remain the same.... The union of the village communities each one forming a separate little state is real"

¹¹¹ *Id.* C. Report, para 173.

¹¹² *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 200.

has, I conceive, contributed more than any other cause to the preservation of the people of India through all the revolutions and changes which they have suffered, and in a high degree conducive to their happiness, and to the enjoyment of a great portion of freedom and independence."¹²⁰ Whenever there has been a period of political flux and transition, involving successive collapses and struggles of states in India, the protective mechanism of the village community has become prominent helpfully giving some stability to society, to civil and economic life.

The chief functionaries for village administration have traditionally been the headman, the accountant, the watchman (*Chaudhri*). The village headman occupies the most important position in Madras, Bombay and Burma. "In the first province, besides being responsible for the collection of revenues and its remittance to the taluk treasury, he acts as village magistrate by petty cases, and must maintain law and order in his village, applying for assistance to higher authorities if necessary, and reporting to them the occurrence of crimes and the movement of criminal gangs, while as Village Headman he acts as a petty civil court."¹²¹ In Bombay, the *malik* or headman has similar duties to perform, while in the Punjab and the United Provinces the *landholders* or representatives of the landlords of the village have to report about crimes. Village watchmen entrusted with duties by Government do not exist in Bengal. In Assam, meetings of householders called *mal* elect headmen, who being recognised by the Government are expected to help the police but are not charged with the work of revenue collection. In the Central Provinces the *masquaddars* or headmen are elected representatives of the landlords. The village watchmen or *chakradars* are also expected to discharge useful functions in protecting the villages from theft, robbery, etc., and their importance has been all along recognised. Lord

120 *Diplomatic History of India*, Book II, Chap. 2.

121 *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, p. 261.

Hastings in 1845 described them as "the foundation of all possible police in the country."¹⁴¹ and the Police Commission of 1902-03 also emphasised the necessity and importance of the village watch in the village system. A village accountant keeps village accounts and records of land revenue, in those parts of India where the village headman still has some revenue functions.

In all Major provinces except Madras there are Commissioners in charge of Divisions, which are usually groups of four to eight districts. A Commissioner of a division has 'specific statutory powers' of his own, has the general superintendence over it and sits in it as a court of appeal in revenue cases. Since the time of late Mr. Gokhale there has been a persistent demand for the abolition of Commissionerships as "an unnecessary link in the administrative chain." But the Indian Statutory Commission continually reminded that "the [Disputed] Commissioners' elimination would involve the provincial governments not only in the loss of expert advice, but in the necessity of direct communication with a large number of heads of districts and in interference in matters which at present need not come to headquarters at all."¹⁴² The question of financial stability makes this a point for serious consideration. In all the provinces except Bombay, there exists between the Commissioner and the Provincial Government a Board of Revenue or its equivalent a 'Financial Commissioner.' "In all their administrative capacity," remarked the Montagu-Chelmsford Report, "these constitute the chief revenue authority of the province, and relieve the provincial government of much detailed work which would otherwise come to it, while in their judicial capacity they form an appellate court for the increasing volume of revenue and often rent suits."¹⁴³ But recently "the approach to responsible government has naturally led to the transfer to

¹⁴¹ *Madras Village Government in British India*, p. 141.

¹⁴² *Report*, Vol. I, p. 282.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.* p. 322.

the provincial governments of some of the independent powers with which Boards of Revenue were endowed."¹⁰

SECTION V

PUBLIC SERVICES

During the early days of the East India Company, merchants, factors and writers of the Company discharged commercial as well as administrative duties, but they were hopelessly corrupt and inefficient. It was Lord Cornwallis who for the first time tried to organise the different branches of public service, and the Company's Charter Act of 1793 provided that "all vacancies happening in any of the offices, places or employments in the civil line of the Company's service in India should, subject to certain specified restrictions, be filled from among the Company's civil servants." The civil servants were required to sign a covenant not to carry on private trade, and not to receive presents; provision was made for paying them fixed salaries, and to reserve for them the superior grades and offices. In 1800 Wellesley founded the Fort William College in Calcutta for instructing the young civil servants in literature, science and oriental languages, this institution survived as a language school for Bengal civil servants till 1834. In 1806 the Company established an "East India College" at Haileybury¹¹ for imparting two years' training to young officers nominated for service in the East. Up to the year 1853 appointments were made by the Court of Directors. In the Charter Act of that year, Sir Charles Wood, President of the Board of Control, proposed the introduction of the principle of open competition as a "great experiment which would justify itself by securing intellectual superiority while affording as good a chance as there existed of obtaining its successful candidates those qualities which no examination can test."¹² Being

¹⁰ Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. I, p. 265.

¹¹ That college was closed on 31st January, 1880.

¹² Quoted in Cambridge History, Vol. VI, p. 358.

strongly supported by Macaulay, this proposal of Sir Charles Wood received the sanction of the Parliament, it was reaffirmed after the formal transformation of the Government from the Company to the Crown, and by Section 32 of the Government of India Act of 1858 the Secretary of State in Council received the power of making appointments to the Indian Civil Service with the advice and help of His Majesty's Civil Service Commissioners.

In spite of the introduction of the principle of open competition, the Indians remained handicapped by various disadvantages and the British competitors greatly outnumbered them. In 1869 three Bengalis, Sir Surendranath Banerjee, Mr. Rambeh Chandra Dutt, and Mr. Bihari Lal Gupta became successful,¹⁰⁰ but in 1870 out of seven Indian competitors only one was successful. Section 6 of the Government of India Act of 1870, however, provided for the appointment of a native of India to "office, place and employment in the unreserved civil service, . . . although such a native should not have been admitted to the Civil Service in the manner already prescribed by law." But the rules for giving effect to this Act were not passed till nine years later, when in a resolution, dated 24th December, 1879, the Government of India declared that appointments under the rules would be limited to "youngmen of good family and social position, possessed of fair abilities and education, to whom the office which were open to them in the unreserved service had not proved sufficient inducement to come forward for employment." It was also laid down that one-sixth of the posts reserved for the members of the Unreserved Civil Service should be filled by Indians through nominations by the Local Governments subject to the sanction of the Government of India and the Secretary of State. Thus came into existence what was called the "Statutory Civil Service." But this system did not produce satisfactory results: during the seven years after its introduction

¹⁰⁰ Banerjee, *A Nation in the Making*, p. 10.

city appointments were made under it. In the face of persistent agitation in the country for greater Indianisation of the services and the demand made by the first Indian National Congress meeting at Bombay, in December 1885, for holding simultaneous examinations in India and England, the whole question was reconsidered by Lord Dufferin's Government. The scheme of 1879 was given up in favour of one brought forward by the Public Service Commission which sat in 1886-87 under the presidency of Sir Charles Aitchison, the then Lieutenant-Governor of the Punjab. Under the recommendations of this Commission the general Civil Service was divided into three classes: (1) the Indian Civil Service, recruited in England; (2) the Provincial Civil Service, and (3) the Subordinate Service; the two later being recruited from among the Indians according to the rules framed by the Provincial Governments and approved by the Government of India, either by nomination or examination.

Owing to the growth of complexity in administration, new services had by this time gradually developed to manage the numerous specialised departments, such as those of the Public Works, Survey of India, Agriculture, Posts and Telegraphs, Education, Police, Salt, Public Health, Jails and Civil Hospitals. These services were also divided on the analogy of the Civil Services, into three grades, Imperial, Provincial and Subordinate.

The reforms recommended by the Aitchison Commission failed, however, to satisfy the aspirations of the politically-minded and educated Indians. "The inferiority of status and social position which had always been attached to the provincial services aggravated to some extent by subsequent changes, had been felt by the Indian public as a real grievance, particularly in the case of the more important services such as the civil, education and public works."¹ The resolution of the House of Commons for the holding of simultaneous examinations

in England and India for admission to the Indian Civil Service, passed on 2nd June, 1893, was not given effect to, and the agitation of the Indians for a greater share in the public services came to a head in a debate introduced by a non-official member on 17th March, 1911, in the Imperial Legislative Council. Lord Hardinge's Government thereupon secured the appointment of a Royal Commission on Public Services under the presidency of Lord Selkirk, on 5th September, 1912. The British members of the Commission were Mr. Ramsey MacDonald, Lord Rosalindisley and Sir Valentine Chirol; the Indian members were Mr. Gokhale and Mr. Justice (afterwards Sir) Abdur Rahman. The report of the Commission was ready in 1913 but its publication was deferred because of the Great War till 1917 when it "was criticised as wholly disappointing." "In view of the altered circumstances," the framers of the Reform Scheme of 1913, Mr. Montagu and Lord Chelmsford, thought it necessary to proceed in the direction of Indianisation of the Services far beyond the proposals of the Selkirk Commission. They were convinced "that recruitment of a largely increased proportion of Indians should be begun at once. The personnel of a service cannot be altered in a day—it must be a long and steady process; if, therefore, the services are to be substantially Indian in personnel by the time that India is ripe for responsible government, no time should be lost in increasing the proportion of Indian recruits."¹² In order to give effect to this policy, they definitely recommended that (1) all racial distinctions in the matter of appointments should be removed, (2) that "for all the public services, for which there is recruitment in England open to Europeans and Indians alike, there must be a system of appointment in India," (3) that "25 per cent of the superior posts should be reserved for in India, and that this percentage should be increased by 1½ per cent annually" until the situation is again revised by a Commission, (4) that improvements in the rates of pay and increments

¹² M. C. Report, para 312.

and less scale and greater elasticity in leave and pension rules should be effected, and lastly (3) that "any public servant whatever the Government under which he is employed shall be properly supported and protected in the legitimate exercise of his functions," and that any rights and privileges guaranteed or implied in the conditions of his appointment shall be secured to him. No changes that will occur can be allowed to impair the power of the Government of India or of the Governor-in-Council to secure these essential requirements." The authors of the Reform Scheme, however, believed that "to make the Indian people self-governing," the "continued presence of the English official is vital."

The Government of India Act of 1919 considered separately the question of the Civil Services in India. According to Section 94D of it, "subject to the provisions made by statute or statutory rule, any person in the Civil Service of the Crown in India is declared to hold office during His Majesty's pleasure, and may be employed in any manner required by a proper authority within the scope of his duty. But he may not be dismissed by any authority subordinate to that by which he was appointed, and may, if dismissed, be reinstated by the Secretary of State in Council. If a person appointed by the Secretary of State in Council thinks himself wronged by an order of an officer superior to him in a Governor's province, he has a statutory right to complain to the Governor, who is directed to examine the complaint and require such action to be taken therein as may appear to him to be just and equitable. By the same section the Secretary of State in Council is empowered to make rules for regulating the classification of the civil services in India, the methods of their recruitment, their conditions of service, pay and allowances, and discipline and conduct."¹⁴⁰

The principle of progressive Indianization cannot directly conflict among the vested interests in the services, on some occasions frictions naturally arose between the Indian ministers

¹⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, *Statutory Introduction*, p. 120.

on the one hand and the officers of the All-India Services working in the transferred departments. According to the recommendation of the Joint Select Committee on the Government of India Bill the Secretary of State in Council introduced a scheme under which All-India Officers, selected for appointment before 1st January, 1920, and holding no permanent appointment under the Government of India, were permitted to retire on a pension proportionate to the length of their service before completing the usual normal period.

These difficulties led to the appointment, in June 1923, of the Royal Commission on the Superior Civil Services in India under the chairmanship of Lord Lee of Farnham, in the teeth of a violent opposition from the Legislative Assembly. The Lee Commission made detailed recommendations in 1924, most of which have since been put into force. As contemplated in the Government of India Act, 1919, the Commission recommended the immediate establishment of a Public Service Commission in India consisting of five members for regulating and exercising disciplinary control over the services. It was provided that the Secretary of State in Council should continue to appoint and control the All-India Officers operating in the reserved part of the provincial administrations (the Indian Civil Service, the Indian Police Service, the Irrigation Branch of the Indian Service of Engineers and the Indian Forest Service outside Bombay and Burma), while as regards services like the Indian Educational, Agricultural, Veterinary, Forest and Engineering (Roads and Buildings Branch), the control of the Ministers "should be made more complete by doing the recruitment for them on an All-India basis." But about the Indian Medical Service, which falls with the field transferred to the Ministers, the Commission, for special reasons, recommended that every province should appoint in its civil medical department a certain number of officers lent from the medical department of the Army in India. It fixed the rate of Indianisation of services and made several important recommendations regulating leave, pensions, and other privileges and providing

safeguard for an official career in India. The India Statutory Commission recommended that the "security services (i.e., the Indian Civil Service and the Indian Police Service) should continue to be recruited upon an All-India basis by the Secretary of State who should have power . . . to require provincial governments to employ these services in such numbers and in such appointments as he thinks necessary The quota of All-India Officers would vary according to the circumstances of each province and might eventually disappear." But the Commission thought that the present numbers should not be "changed materially for some time to come, and the rights and prospects of officers now in the services should be carefully safeguarded."¹¹⁷ It endorsed the opinion of the Lee Commission that "special additions to the standard positions should be given to officers who have borne the heavy strain of Governmentship,"¹¹⁸ and also recognised the value of Central Public Service Commission and Provincial Service Commissions.¹¹⁹ It further suggested that in the recruitment for all the central services, some special consideration should be shown to the Anglo-Indians. The White Paper's recommendations were made on the same lines. Part X of the Government of India Act elucidates and defines the rights of the Civil and Military Services in the Indian Federation and also in the provinces. Their existing privileges in the matter of pay, promotion, leave, pension, etc., are guaranteed by it. The Secretary of State is to continue to make appointments to the Indian Civil Service, the Indian Medical Service (Civil) and the Indian Police. These are placed beyond the purview of the legislature, and their interests would be safeguarded by the Governor General and the Governors. This act also provides for the establishment of a Federal Public Services Commission and for provincial commissions, "but two or more provinces may

¹¹⁷ Vol. II, p. 248.

¹¹⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 253.

¹¹⁹ Public Service Commission Act was passed in 1925.

upon that one examination shall serve a group or that all the provinces shall use one curriculum."

SECTION VI

GROWTH OF INDIAN ARMY AND ARMY ADMINISTRATION

During the first half of the seventeenth century the East India Company employed guards for the protection of its factories, but as time went on "their military character became slightly more marked."¹²⁹ However, the first establishment of the Company's Indian Army may be accurately dated from the year 1748, when Major Stringer Lawrence, 'father of the (British) Indian army,' organised a body of Sepoys at Madras after the example set by the French Governors, Marin and Dupleix.

The gradual extension of the East India Company's possessions in India and the numerous political complications of the time led to the development of an army consisting of Indian recruits, European recruits and Royal regiments—the 19th Foot being the first to arrive at Madras in 1754 A.D., so that in the year 1794, in the three Presidencies of Bengal, Madras and Bombay, 'the total strength of the Indian armies, including (British) royal troops, was 70,000 of which 13,500 were Europeans.'¹³⁰ The nucleus of the European army in India lay in detachments of soldiers coming from England and being reinforced by European 'marooners, deserters and prisoners of war from other foreign settlements and sailors from the Company's ships.'¹³¹ The Indian section of the Army consisted of Pathans, Kohlis, Rajputs, Arabs and Abyssinian adventurers. The Madras and Bombay armies were recruited locally and the Bengal Presidency was supplied with soldiers from

¹²⁹ Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, p. 328.

¹³⁰ Clive's Indian Policy, Second edition, p. 166.

¹³¹ Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, p. 332.

Oudh and the country between the Ganges and the Gogra in Behar¹¹¹. The three Presidency armies were under three separate Commanders-in-Chief. In course of time though the Madras and the Bombay armies remained under the management of their local Governments, the Bengal Army became the army of the Central Government and the Commander-in-Chief of the Bengal Army became the head of the military establishments in British India.

Though often manifesting courage and military skill, the general discipline and morale of the Indian army remained for a long time utterly deplorable in spite of Lord Clive's reforms in 1765¹¹² and the reorganisation of 1796 and 1824¹¹³. Referring to the condition at the end of the eighteenth century, Mr. Greeney has justly remarked that "in all matters connected with pay, equipment and the audit of accounts the army administered a pastiche of the general luxury and disorder which up to that time had pervaded every branch of the Company's Government in India, the major part of the officers' emoluments being derived from irregular perquisites, while, as to the supposed superior morale and discipline of the early Company's army, the history of those days records a succession of mutinies, in which the black and white troops were alternately employed to murder each other."¹¹⁴

With the further expansion of the East India Company's dominions a force of eighteen regiments termed the 'Irregular Infantry' was attached to the Bengal Army, and local corps were raised in the different regions (e.g., 'the Punjab Irregular

¹¹¹ Bala Singh who served as munshidman during the Mughal period and also as the native regiments of the East India Company. Many of them served the Bengal Zamindars during the eighteenth century as officers as we have from a reference in the 'Amraharangah' of the contemporary Bengali poet Bharatendu.

¹¹² For details on this period reference may be made to Captain Arthur Percival's *Rise and Progress of the Bengal Army*, Vol. I, pp. 359-402.

¹¹³ *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, pp. 231-42.

¹¹⁴ *Op. cit.*, p. 282.

Feroz on annexation of the Punjab in 1849, one raised after the annexation of Nagpur in 1854, and the *'Gadh Brigade Force'* after the annexation of Gadh, 1854). Besides these many of the Native States, in accordance with treaties, raised contingents which were practically at the disposal of the British Government (e.g., the Hyderabad Contingent).

Various causes combined gradually to sap the morale and reduce the efficiency and discipline of the army. "The best British officers were alienated from regimental duty by the prospect of political employ ment, in consequence of the centralisation of military authority, commanders were deprived of powers which they had exercised in the days of *Mutakils*."¹⁰⁷ There was also growing up a glaring disproportion between the numbers of the European and the Indian troops. "These evils," writes Mr. Chatterjee, "had existed for many years, and were perceived and fruitlessly deplored by all who thought about the matter. They reached a culminating point during the administration of Sir Charles Napier, after which there remained the mere appearance of regimental discipline throughout the Bengal army."¹⁰⁸

The Mutiny of 1857-59, which clearly revealed the defects of the system, was followed by a reorganisation of it. The Company's European troops then numbering above 15,000 were transferred to the service of the Crown, and they "became liable to serve wherever ordered." These loyal European troops strongly protested against this order, and their action was at that time aptly called the *'White Mutiny'*, "much discontent, a good deal of open insubordination, and even more covert disaffection, were manifested, and 1,000 men took their discharge."¹⁰⁹ The native armys were reorganised and the British element was increased, so that in the year 1864 in the aggregate strength of 205,000 men, 65,000 were British.

¹⁰⁷ Cambridge History, Vol. VI, p. 371.

¹⁰⁸ *Op. cit.* pp. 294-95.

¹⁰⁹ Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, p. 382.

A Royal Commission, appointed to consider the question of Army reorganisation, recommended that 'native regiments should be formed by a general mixture of all classes and castes'¹⁴⁸ but this salutary and progressive suggestion was not adopted, and the native army remained a loose heterogeneous body. It was arranged in 1881 that the British officers in the native regiments should be regarded as holding a 'staff' appointment and should draw allowances as well as pay of rank. They were henceforth 'graded in a Staff Corps, recruited from the Company's and the Queen's services'¹⁴⁹. During the ensuing and the few years after it many changes occurred in dress, equipment and armament, in common with the changing British army organisation in Europe after the Crimean War.

The fear of Russian advance in Central Asia and the troubles in Afghanistan brought the question of army reform to the forefront. The Afghan War of 1878-80 'involving the employment of a considerable army and a strain upon the military resources, was fruitful of lessons in every branch of the art of war and of army organisation'¹⁵⁰. It revealed many defects, and several reforms were carried out according to the Army Organisation Commission of 1879. Then, the Ferozshah crisis, the troubles in the North-West Frontier and the third Burmese War created grave anxieties for the Government of India and led to the increase in the number of both British and native troops bringing the strength of the former to 73,500 and of the latter to 254,000.

The pressure of external dangers henceforward made the British Government particularly careful about army organisation and efficiency. The composition of the army was considerably modified, since 1875 the more warlike races of the outer circle, like the Gurkhas, the Pathans and the Sikhs took the place of

¹⁴⁸ *Ibid.*

¹⁴⁹ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 378, *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, pp. 34-41; *Chatterji*, *Op. cit.*, pp. 226-228.

¹⁵⁰ *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, p. 38.

the old interior Highlands and Southernmost. After the third Burmese War eight Madras regiments were converted into Burma regiments being recruited from the many warlike races of North West India and stationed permanently in Burma. Recruitment of Telugu was stopped in 1895, and between 1902 and 1904 two of the Madras regiments were 'converted into battalions of Mughals, one into a Gurkha corps and nine into battalions of the Punjab.'¹³¹ Various reforms were also introduced for improving the organisation, the most important ones of which may be mentioned here. In 1891 the Staff Corps of the three Presidencies were amalgamated into the Indian Staff Corps, which was renamed the Indian Army in 1903. By an Act of the year 1893, which came into force since April 1895, the office of the Commander-in-Chief in Madras and Bombay were abolished and the control of the local governments there over the two armies was withdrawn. In 1895 the old presidency armies were organised into four territorial commands, each under a lieutenant-general. This measure removed, no doubt, the weaknesses of the absence of the Commander-in-Chief's direct control over great bodies of troops in India and dissolved the Bengal Army, which "had become unwieldy for a single command and was in danger of becoming homogeneous,"¹³² but it "still left the organisation in view of peace confined to the organisation in time of war."¹³³ A further reorganisation took place under Lord Kitchener when the Indian troops were formed into three army corps, northern, western, eastern (corresponding to the Punjab, Bombay and Bengal commands) and ten divisional commands including Burma. But the command system was abolished in 1907 and India was divided into spheres of two Armies—the Northern and Southern. The Great War revealed the defects of this system and a radical reorganisation of

¹³¹ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 328.

¹³² *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, p. 333.

¹³³ *Deshbandhu, Sketch of the History of India*, p. 55.

the army took place after the War was over. The whole of India was divided into fourteen districts grouped into four commands, each district containing a certain number of brigade commands.

Lord Curzon's viceroyalty witnessed many reforms in 'armament, equipment, mobilisation and defence'.¹⁰¹ An important change also took place in the sphere of army administration. Since 1861 the Military Member of the Viceroy's Council was the officer through whom the supreme control of the Government of India over the troops was exercised. He was an officer of the British or Indian Army, posted over the Military Department, and "was the constitutional adviser of the Viceroy on questions relating to the Army."¹⁰² But the Commander-in-Chief, who was "responsible for promotion and discipline and for all movements of troops, was appointed as an Extraordinary Member of Council and occupied a rank next to that of the Viceroy and thus superior to that of the Military Member." Under this somewhat anomalous system, the works of the Military Secretariat and the Army Headquarters often collided; and besides that the Commander-in-Chief had to bring his proposals and schemes to the notice of the Viceroy in Council through the Military Member, an officer of lower rank than him. Lord Kitchener, after his arrival in India as the Commander-in-Chief in November 1901, strongly attacked this "system as a military scheme involving, moreover, great expense and delay."¹⁰³ But Lord Curzon, being supported by the ordinary members of his Council, refused to accept it on the ground that the "tendency of the scheme was to concentrate military authority in the hands of the Commander-in-Chief, and to subvert the supremacy of the civil power by depriving it of independent military advice,"¹⁰⁴ and that it would produce too much centralisation

¹⁰¹ See Thomas Raleigh, *Lord Curzon in India*, Introduction, p. 10.

¹⁰² Dalrymple, *Op. cit.*, p. 17.

¹⁰³ Raleigh, *Op. cit.*, 1.

and would overrule the *Comandante-Chief* with too many duties. The Secretary of State made an amendment by which certain branches of Army administration, such as the supply and storage of provisions, clothing, ordnance, medical stores and horses, together with matters connected with important army contracts, were made over to the Department of Military Supply especially constituted for the purpose and placed under the control of a military officer who was to be an ordinary member of Council. Lord Curzon agreed to this but the appointment of the officer created difficulties which led him to tender resignation in August, 1905; he left India in the following November.

During the last Great War Indian troops of all classes rendered valuable services. In 1918 an Indian army corps fought in France in the midst of a severe winter; Indian troops fought in Flanders, in East Africa, in Turkey on the Egyptian frontier, in Palestine, in Mesopotamia and preserved peace in Southern Persia. During the war over 680,000 combatants and 400,000 non-combatants were raised by the Government of India on a voluntary basis, more than 1,215,000 officers and men served overseas and the Indian casualties amounted to 101,000.

There are two main categories of officers in the Indian Army, holding the King's Commission and the Viceroy's Commission respectively. Since 1918 the Indians have been eligible to hold the King's Commission in the army and they can enter in it in three ways—(1) by qualifying themselves as cadets in the Royal Military College, Sandhurst, or the Royal Military Academy, Woolwich, (2) by the selection of capable Indian officers or promotion of non-commissioned officers of experience from the ranks, and (3) by the grant of honorary King's Commissions to "officers whose age or lack of education preclude them from holding the full commission in the ordinary sense."¹²⁹ Until 1931 three vacancies at Woolwich and ten at

Sandhurst were reserved annually for Indian cadets.¹⁴⁶ To enable the Indians to obtain a preliminary training for admission into the Royal Military College, Sandhurst, or the Royal Military Academy, Woolwich, the Government of India established the Prince of Wales Royal Indian Military College at Dehra Dun, which has a normal course of six years' education and has accommodation for a maximum of seventy students at any one time.¹⁴⁷ Besides these, the Government of India, at the suggestion of Lord Rardinsson, the Commander-in-Chief in India, decided in February, 1932, for the Indianisation of eight units of the Indian Army; a further step in this direction is marked by the announcement of 1932 for Indianising a Division of all Arms and a Cavalry Brigade.¹⁴⁸ In the month of October, 1932, was opened the Indian Military Academy at Dehra Dun with the object of training officers of the Indian Army of the future.¹⁴⁹

The defence forces of India consist of the Regular Army which includes units from the British Army, the Auxiliary Force recruited solely from Europeans and Anglo-Indians, the Territorial Force composed purely of Indians and consisting various provincial units, the University Training Corps and the Medical Branch, the Royal Air Force and the Royal Indian Marine,—all under the authority of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, who is also the Army Member of the Central Executive Council. Besides these, there are forces under the Rulers of the Indian States the actual strength of which amount to about 44,000. The Indian Air Force came into existence on 6th October, 1932, when the first batch of Indian cadets, after having obtained training at Cranwell, received commissions as pilot officers.

¹⁴⁶ *The Indian Year Book*, 1932, p. 265.

¹⁴⁷ *Ibid.*

¹⁴⁸ For further details vide *Indian Recorder*, July–September, 1932, p. 428.

¹⁴⁹ *Ibid.*

The problem of national defence has been for some time one of the most burning topics of Indian politics and it is intimately connected with the evolution of responsible government in India. Since the inauguration of the Indian Nationalist Movement in India, there has been expressed a genuine aspiration for placing the defence of the country in the hands of her people.¹⁴⁴ This has taken a more intense form in the post-war period in two concrete forms,—firstly “for the rapid Indianisation of the commissioned ranks of the regular Army” and, secondly for the “extension of the facilities which already exist for training Indians in the Territorial Force.” There has been also an insistent complaint that the army expenditure is a heavy burden on the revenues of India and the greater portion of the revenues should be spent for ‘nation-building’ purposes.

The Montagu-Chelmsford Report after admiring the “brilliant and devoted services of the Indian Army in the various theatres of war” emphasised the “necessity of grappling with the problem.” The authors of the Nehru Report recommended that the control over the Indian Army should be transferred to the Ministers. The Simon Committee, which was appointed in June 1928 under the presidency of Major-General (laterwards General) Sir Andrew Simon, then chief of staff of the army in India, and which is commonly known as the ‘Indian Sandhurst Committee,’ recommended the abolition of the “eight caste scheme” of 1923 and the establishment of an Indian ‘Sandhurst’ by 1932. The rejection of these recommendations by the Government of India was “widely represented in India as a refusal to adopt a more liberal treatment of the problem of Indianisation.”¹⁴⁵ The problem of Indian defence did not escape the attention of the Indian Statutory Commission. It remarked that “Indian nation-

¹⁴⁴H. C. E. Zacharias, *Revolution in India*, pp. 186-191.

¹⁴⁵For an exposition of this, see Chapter VI of Sir P. C. Bhattacharya, *Aspects of Indian Constitutional Problems*, 1933.

which are perfectly right in attaching great importance to Army questions in India in relation to India's constitutional development. It is essential to the honour of Britain, in relation to the assurances which have been given that we are sincerely giving of the attainment of self government in India, that the transformation should be given a fair chance. The change is bound to be slow, and it is much more likely to come about smoothly and successfully, if the difficulties are honestly placed on both sides in a spirit of complete goodwill.¹⁶⁶ In the second volume of its report, the Commission considered the 'cardinal problem' of national defence from different standpoints and stated two propositions—(1) "Control of an Army including a British element cannot be made over to an Indian Legislature," (2) "the evolution of an entirely Indian military force capable of undertaking unaided the tasks now discharged by the Army in India, must be a very slow process indeed."¹⁶⁷ It urged the necessity of a British element in Indian Army for three reasons—(1) frontier defence, (2) internal security, (3) obligation to the Indian States. The Government of India Act 1935 makes no 'substantial change' in the question of defence.

SECTION VII

Public Works and Communications

The promotion of Public Works has formed a traditional activity of the State in the country of *Asoka* and *Shiv Shah*. The tradition did not die out altogether, though as far as was greatly lost, owing to numerous political convulsions, when the East India Company began building up again an administrative system in India. A study of the East India Company's early surveys undertaken by Martin in 1750 or by Rennell in 1765—66

¹⁶⁶ Vol. I, pp. 102—103.

¹⁶⁷ Vol. II, p. 179.

and of some old accounts and traditions like those of Balthasar and others clearly shows that the country had not even in the latter half of the eighteenth century totally lost what had been built up in the past in the shape of communications, and facilities for travel and transport. Indeed it was in the closing decade of the eighteenth and the opening decade of the nineteenth century that communications in the major part of India were at their worst. Political or more properly strategic, administrative and economic conditions of a country are very intimately related to the organisation of Public Works and Communications. Thus during the first decades of the nineteenth century, the East India Company's attention was mainly occupied with the reconstruction and repair, of barracks and other military buildings and of some military roads.¹⁴¹ Lord William Bentinck is given the credit of having perceived the importance of a new trunk road connecting Calcutta with the Upper Provinces¹⁴² and this project was carried out vigorously by Mr. Thomason, Lieutenant-Governor of the North-West Provinces from 1848-55, and by Lord Dalhousie.

During the first half of the nineteenth century, a Military Board in each Presidency was the recognised authority for construction of Roads and Buildings. But in 1854, during the Governor-Generalship of Lord Dalhousie, a special department of public works in the Government of India was constituted with subordinate departments for Madras and Bombay. Irrigation works, 'which paid, and more than paid, for the very commencement,'¹⁴³ had attracted the East India Company's attention during the early years of the nineteenth century. Its work in this branch was pushed on vigorously in the time of

¹⁴¹ Cf. W. MacGregor, *Roads and Works in India* (1924) Chap. II.

¹⁴² *The Grand Trunk Road: Its Condition* published in Calcutta Review, No. 221, Vol. XXII, pp. 139-158. It should be noted in this connection that Bernal in his *Description of Roads in Bengal and Bihar* (1795) describes a high road from Calcutta to Benares, evidently part of an old grand trunk road to the West.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.*, India in the Nineteenth Age, p. 175.

Lord Dalhousie. The Ganges Canal, designed and completed by Sir Proby Cautley, was opened by Mr Colvin, the Lieutenant-Governor of Agra, in March 1854. The Suez Canal followed, and in Madras the great aqueduct across the Godavari was executed by Sir Arthur Cotton and his successors. Railways were first constructed during the Governor-Generalship of Lord Dalhousie, and soon Railway companies like the East Indian, the Great Indian Peninsula, the Bombay Baroda and Central Indian and the South Indian, were started. This period was also marked by the opening of electric telegraph due to the enterprise of Mr. (afterwards Sir) William G. Shaughnessy.

In recent times, growing decentralisation and gradual development of local self-government have led to changes in the organisation of Public Works. As regards Buildings and Roads powers were delegated to the provincial governments and local bodies even before 1929; the Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms have made the construction and upkeep of roads a provincial and, except in Assam, a "transferred" subject. But owing to the growth of motor transport, the "maintenance and construction" of the most important roads are now becoming a matter of national or "All-India" concern, which the provincial governments "have not the financial capacity to deal with unaided"¹⁰¹. A special Road Development Committee was appointed, the recommendations of which were embodied in a resolution passed by the Legislature during the 1930 Delhi Session. A two-anna surcharge on petrol was imposed in March 1929, the Standing Committee for Roads became established by the following April, Road Conferences began to be held from time to time and the Road Development Account began to be "administered by the Governor-General-in-Council in accordance with the Committee's advice"¹⁰².

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.* in 1930-31, p. 274.

¹⁰² *Ibid.*, p. 284.

The importance of irrigation works in an agricultural country like India, where rainfall is characterised by "its unequal distribution throughout the seasons and its liability to failure or serious deficiency," can hardly be exaggerated. We have already noted the earlier attempts of the Company which were more or less successful and paucal, but during the middle of the nineteenth century when railway construction was pushed on vigorously, "irrigation works suffered from comparative neglect."¹⁴⁷ Two private companies took up the work of canal building,—the Madras Irrigation Company to execute Mr. Cotton's Pongabhadra project and the East India Irrigation and Canal Company to carry out his Orissa Canal Scheme, but their attempts proved financially unsuccess and therefore failed.¹⁴⁸ The frequent visitations of famine during the last few decades of the nineteenth century caused public opinion to the importance of sounder irrigation works in India. "Among the means," remarked the Famine Commission of 1880, "that may be adopted for giving India direct protection from famine arising from drought, the first place must unquestionably be assigned to works of irrigation." An Irrigation Commission was appointed by Lord Curzon in 1901 which submitted its report in 1905. The Commission expressed the opinion "that the field for the construction of new works of any magnitude on which the net revenue would exceed the interest charges was restricted to the Punjab, Sind, and parts of Madras and that the tracts in which most of such works could be constructed were not liable to famine. Where protective irrigation was most urgently required in the Deccan districts of Bombay and Madras, the Central Provinces and Bundelkhand, the Commission found that there was no prospect of new irrigation works on any considerable scale proving directly remunerative, but it recommended that works should

¹⁴⁷ Kish, *Indian Administration*, p. 353.

¹⁴⁸ Kishore, *The Economic Development of the British Overseas Empire*, pp. 375-74.

be undertaken in those tracts with a view to reducing the cost and mitigating the intensity of future famines. The Commission reviewed all the irrigation works of importance in every province of India, pointed to a great number of suggested projects and recommended a thorough investigation of the irrigation capabilities of every part of India. It sketched out a rough programme of works for the next twenty years, and added 5½ million acres to be irrigated at an estimated cost of nearly £30,000,000.¹¹⁰ The report of the Commission forms the basis of the Government of India's irrigation policy and since 1906 irrigation statistics are being systematically recorded. "During the year 1928-29 the total acreage irrigated by Government works in British India alone was 1,000,000 in excess of the previous record figure of 30,700,000 acres attained in 1908-09, and represented 12.7 per cent of the cropped area."¹¹¹ Since then, stupendous projects of barrages and irrigation have been carried out in the Sutlej and Indus Valleys—further adding to this percentage, and transforming and wasting erstwhile arid fields.

Irrigation has become a provincial subject after the Reforms of 1919, and the local governments are responsible for constructing and maintaining irrigation works.¹¹² Various types of irrigation works have been in use in India and a few more have been introduced, e.g., canals, reservoirs, wells, tube wells, lift-irrigation from rivers or temporary dams for holding up flood water, of which canals are by far the most important. Some of the important irrigation works undertaken during recent years are the Sukkur (or Lloyd) Barrage in Sindh, the Sutlej Valley Project mainly affecting Bahawalpur, the Sarda River irrigation works in the United Provinces.

¹¹⁰ *Moral and Material Progress Report, 1901-02*.

¹¹¹ *India in 1929-31*, p. 229.

¹¹² But recently the growth of new provinces by provincial splits, fusion or cession, has made it necessary for either the Central Government or two or more local governments jointly, to manage certain large irrigation works extending over or benefiting more than one such province.

the Koller Reservoir in the Madras Presidency, and in the Deccan "the Bhamburda Dam, which is the highest in India, and the Lloyd Dam at Bhutgar, which is the largest mass of masonry in the world.

The Royal Commission on Agriculture in India also considered the question of Irrigation Works and made certain important recommendations. It recommended the maintenance of closer relations between the agricultural and irrigation departments. In order that the cultivators "should have a more direct avenue of approach to responsible officers of the department and to Government," the Commission suggested "the creation, in those provinces in which irrigation is of importance, of an organisation on the analogy of the local railway advisory committees composed of representatives of the irrigation, revenue and agricultural departments, with a majority of non-official members who should, if possible, be cultivators. The main duty of this advisory committee would be to deal with complaints from cultivators or associations of cultivators in regard to irrigation matters." In addition to the Central Irrigation Board already constituted by the Government of India, the Commission proposed the "establishment of a Central Bureau of Information for Irrigation which might suitably be placed in charge of the Consulting Engineer to the Government of India with its headquarters at Delhi. The main functions of the Bureau would be to establish and maintain a comprehensive library of irrigation publications and to act as a clearing-house of information needed by provincial officers. It should endeavour to keep agricultural interests and the public generally in touch with irrigation developments in India and abroad." The Commission further pointed out the necessity for research on irrigation problems.

The history of railway construction in India may be studied under four periods. During the first period coming up to 1889 the lines were constructed by joint-stock companies on a Government guarantee of five per cent annual interest on all share capital raised by them together with a free grant of lands

secured. In return the companies were required to pay to the State, when they earned more than five per cent, half the surplus in every half year, the Government were to exercise control over the construction, working and management of the lines and it retained the right to purchase the railways at a fixed rate after twenty-five years. But the guarantee system produced evils rather than any benefit to the country or the Government.¹⁰⁰ The lines were planned on a "needless scale of solidity, on absurd gauges, with unnecessary conveniences needless for either safety or efficiency."¹⁰¹ The companies being assured of five per cent on every farthing spent had no motive for economy or incentive for speedy or convenient work. The system therefore entailed a heavy drain upon Indian resources, by 1869 the deficit on railway budget amounted to Rs 166½ lakhs. As a witness before the Parliamentary Committee of 1873, Lord Lawrence condemned railway extravagance in India in the following terms: "I think it is notorious in India among almost every class that even honest talk on the subject, that the railways have been extravagantly made; that they have cost a great deal more than they are worth, or ought to have cost."¹⁰² The guarantee system was, therefore, abandoned and the state decided to construct railways through its own agency with borrowed capital. The India Valley, Punjab Northern, Rajasthan, Malwa, North Bengal were the lines directly constructed by State agency between 1868-80. But the outbreak of terrible famines between 1874-79 and the Afghan War of 1879-1880 by upsetting Indian Finance 'dealt the coup-de-grace to the policy of pure State construction and management'¹⁰³ In England also "a feeling of hostility towards State enterprise was again

¹⁰⁰ For details, vide R. C. Dutt, *India in the Victorian Age*, pp. 251-62.

¹⁰¹ Dadwell, *A Sketch of the History of India*, p. 34.

¹⁰² Quoted in R. C. Dutt, *Op. cit.*, p. 256.

¹⁰³ M. B. Mehta, *Indian Railways* (London, 1922), p. 28.

saying up." In reply to the letter from Lord Lytton's Council sent in June 1879 the Secretary of State, Lord Harrington, wrote: "In the case of railways, I do not doubt that your Excellency will bear constantly in mind the importance, whenever possible, of securing their construction by private capital, either local or European."¹⁴¹ The Government therefore took recourse to the old guarantee system on easier terms than before and under a new name—the State loans worked by Companies. Railway lines of the total strength of over 4,000 miles were opened under this system. At the same time the state also remained concerned with the construction of some lines chiefly from strategic considerations. Being financially embarrassed, the Government further tried to enlist "unaided private enterprise." Four companies were thus started: the Nilgiri, the Delhi Ambala-Kalka, the Bengal Central, and the Bengal North-Western.¹⁴² This experiment did not, however, prove successful. The Native States were also now invited to undertake railway construction in their own territories. In 1882 was introduced the system of subsidies, which meant that "instead of a gold subsidy, companies were offered a rebate on the gross earnings of the traffic interchanged with the main line, so that the dividend might rise to four per cent, but the rebate was limited to twenty per cent of the gross produce." The rebate system did not, however, prove sufficiently attractive and in 1896 the "branch line company was offered an absolute guarantee of three per cent with a share of surplus profits, or a rebate to the full extent of the main line's earnings in supplement of their own, the total being limited to 5½ per cent, on the capital outlay."¹⁴³ But even these terms came to be regarded in course of a few years as unsatisfactory and owing to the objections of Mr. T. Robertson in 1903 and the Mackay Committee in 1907-8, the Government revised them in 1913 and

¹⁴¹ See Sakshe C. P. Tripathi, *Indian Railways*, p. 251.

¹⁴² *Ibid.*, Op. cit., p. 41.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.*, Op. cit., p. 42.

19. has been substantiated an increase " in the rate of guarantee from 3 to 3½ per cent. and of rebate from 3½ to 5 per cent. with equal division of surplus profits over five per cent. in both cases." In 1905 the Mackay Committee laid down a vast programme for railway extension, but the changed conditions during and after the War accentuated the problem of railway construction. The Acworth Commission in 1921 considered a suitable policy for further development in future. But its members were not unanimous: the majority including the President himself were in favour of abolishing the system of Company management. They recommended that the State should undertake the direct management of railways after the expiry of the contracts with the companies. The Minority Report was not accepted by the Government of India as final, but a great deal of public dissatisfaction and clamour began to appear against the system of management by the Companies, who carried away profits to the amount of one crore a year out of India and whose Boards in London did not sympathetically consider the grievances of the poor passengers or struggling producers, manufacturers and traders who paid for the railways, and their profits. Under the pressure of this national demand the Government has recently taken over under its direct management the East Indian Railway (1st January, 1924), the Great Indian Peninsula Railway (30th June, 1924), the Burma Railway (1st January, 1929) and the Southern Punjab Railway (1st January, 1930).

A few words may be said here in brief description of the railway administration and finance. For many years the railways were controlled by a branch of the Public Works Secretariat with several consulting engineers under it. In 1879 a single Director-General of Railways, exercising powers over both State and Company lines was appointed, he remained, however, included in the Secretariat as Deputy Secretary for Railways. But owing to the rapid expansion of railways necessitating management by practical businessmen on commercial lines, the Railway branch of the Secretariat was abolished and

its place was taken by a Railway Board consisting of a chairman and two members and placed directly under the newly constituted Department of Commerce and Industry. Administrative duties were delegated to the Board and the Government of India reserved to itself the "final decision as regards to the preparation of the railway programme and the larger question of railway policy and finance which affect all lines."¹⁴¹ The Board was further reconstituted on the lines of the recommendations of the Asworth Committee (1930-21) and as at present constituted, it consists of the Chief Commissioner, a Financial Commissioner and three members and is assisted by five directors "expert in civil engineering, mechanical engineering, traffic, finance, and establishments and labour, whose functions are to relieve the Board staff of routine work by disposing of all detailed or technical matters themselves, and thus to enable it to concentrate its attention on the larger questions of policy."¹⁴² Besides the Railway Board, there are the Rates Advisory Committee constituted in 1936 and the Central Publicity Bureau of the Railway Board inaugurated on 1st April, 1937. The railway services have also been recently reorganised and are being gradually Indianised. The Government of India Act, 1935, provides for the creation of the Federal Railway Authority and vests in it all powers regarding the regulation, construction, maintenance and operation of railways.

During the nineteenth century the Railways entailed a heavy burden on the resources of the country and was not a paying concern to the Government. From the beginning of the twentieth century, the system began to yield a clear profit. The Asworth Committee recommended the separation of railway finances from the general finances and it has been given effect to by a resolution of the Assembly, dated 20th September, 1924.

The Posts and Telegraphs Department renders numerous services to the State as well as to the people. Up to 1912 the telegraph service in India was a separate department administered by an officer named Director-General of Telegraphs, working in subordination to the Government of India in the Department of Commerce and Industry. In 1914 the Secretary of State sanctioned the amalgamation of the Posts and Telegraphs Departments. The new arrangement being introduced from 1st April, 1914 was completed in March, 1919. The control of the Posts and Telegraphs is now in the hands of the Director-General of Posts and Telegraphs working in subordination to the Government of India in the Department of Industries and Labour. A special feature of the Department's work in recent times has been the "extension of postal and telegraphic facilities in rural areas." Apart from purely postal activities and telegraph department, other activities of the department like telephones, wireless, radio and aerial post are also making rapid progress, thus bringing India abreast of the times.

CHAPTER II

THE GROWTH OF AN INDIAN CONSTITUTION AND THE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT OF INDIA

A—INDIAN CONSTITUTION

SECTION I

GROWTH OF PARLIAMENTARY CONTROL, AND THE RELATIONS BETWEEN PARLIAMENT AND SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

THE administrative scandals in Bengal during the second dynasty initiated by Lord Clive and the greed of the Directors in England (who regarded the Dividend as "marking" the beginning of a golden millennium) and thus raised the rate of dividend to 10 and 12 per cent drew the attention and intervention of the British Parliament to and in Indian affairs even from 1766, when Parliamentary Committees were appointed to enquire into these, next year the Parliament required the Company to pay an annual tribute to the Home government for their new and considerable acquisitions. Parliamentary control of a more effective nature is however to be traced from the year 1773. The Company's servants were arrogant and opulent, but the Company itself was struggling with impending bankruptcy. So when the Company approached the Parliament in 1773 for financial assistance, Lord North's Government took this opportunity to make alterations in the system of administration of the Company's Indian possessions by passing two Acts. By the first Act the Company was granted a loan of £1,80,000 at 4 per cent and its power of declaring large dividends was somewhat restricted. The second Act was the Charter Regulating Act of 1773 the provisions of which have been already noted.¹ But this Act did not remove the evils

¹ Vol. I, Part II, pp. 148-50.

and it has been justly condemned "as violating the first principles of administrative mechanism. It created a Governor-General who was powerless before his council, and an executive that was powerless before a supreme court, itself immune from all responsibility for the peace and welfare of the country."¹ The Amending Act of 1780-81 removed some of its anomalies and settled the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. But the whole question was re-opened in 1783 when, in the month of March, the East India Company was again obliged to petition for financial help. "The relief and reformation of the Company" remained Burke, "was go together. The Company had flown in the face of Parliament."² Three proposals came forward, those namely of Dundas, Fox and Younger Pitt. The first two were rejected after bitter and vehement criticism, but the third was carried through the Parliament in August 1784. Pitt's India Act³ set up six "Commissioners for the Affairs of India," known more generally as the Board of Control, consisting of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, a Secretary of State and four (five as modified by a subsequent Act) Privy Counsellors appointed by the King and holding office during his pleasure. The Commissioners were unpaid and had no patronage. They were invested with the "Superintendence and Control over all the British Territorial Possessions in the East Indies and over the Affairs of the United Company of Merchants." Members of the Board were to have access to and to be furnished with all papers belonging to the Company. The Directors of the Company had "to pay obedience to, and had to be bound by the orders of the Board, which might modify any communications or despatches issued by them." The secret or urgent orders of the Board might be sent to India through a Committee of Secretaries of the Directors, consisting of the Chairman, the Deputy Chairman, and the Senior Director; and the Court of Proprietors was deprived of any power to

¹M. C. Apper, *passim*.

²Cambridge History, Vol. V, p. 191.

³P. Maitland, *Op. cit.*, Vol. I, pp. 25-26.

[B. 5.]

resposed or acted resolutions of the Directors approved by the Board. Thus the Court of Proprietors was reduced to an insignificant position and the Board became practically the marrow of the Company. The Secretary of State, in his absence the Chancellor of the Exchequer or in the absence of both of them, the most senior of the other Commissioners was to be the President of the Board, having a casting vote in matters of difference. The Councils of the Governor-General of Fort William in Bengal and of the Governors of Fort St. George and Fort St. David were to consist of three instead of four members, the Commander-in-Chief being one of them, the Governor-General or Governors were to have casting votes. The Governor-General and Council was to exercise control in certain matters over all the other Governments belonging to the Company. It was also provided that the Governor-General and Council should not declare war against any Indian Power without the permission of the Court of Directors.

Thus was "introduced the dual system of government by the Company and by a Parliamentary Board which endured till after the Mutiny. From Comendillo's time onwards we may take it that all administrative acts of the Governor-General in Council, including annexations of territory were done with the sanction of the National Government."¹

The constitution of the Board was remodelled by an Act of 1793 which provided that two Commissioners might be appointed from outside the Privy Council and that the members and staff of the Board were to be paid out of the Indian revenues. From this time the First Commissioner was called the President of the Board of Control, and "under this change the collective action of the Board became a mere fiction, and all power came to reside in the hands of the President who derived additional importance from his being a member of the Cabinet."² Very few enactments of constitutional importance

¹ *Id.* C. Report, Para. 31.

² *Ibid.* Indian Administration, pp. 38-39.

were passed during the period from 1793 to 1813.¹ The various considerations, political as well as financial, led to the appointment of a committee of the House of Commons, which made a searching inquiry into the affairs of the Company in India and submitted the famous *Fifth Report*, which was published in July 1812. The Parliament then passed the Charter Act of 1813 in the teeth of vigorous opposition from the Company, and this Act granted the Indian possessions and revenues to the Company for a further term of twenty years, reserved to them for the same time the China trade and the tea trade, but threw open the general Indian trade subject to certain conditions. The constitutional significance of the Act was that "it asserted the sovereignty of the Crown over "the territories of the Company. A further step in this direction was marked by the Charter Act of 1833, which in several ways introduced "important changes into the constitution of the East India Company and the system of Indian administration."² The Company was allowed to retain its territorial possessions for another term of twenty years, but it was to hold these "in trust for her majesty, her heirs and successors, for the service of the Government of India." The Company had to close its commercial business, and its administrative affairs were henceforth to be conducted by the Court of Directors under the control of the Board as representing the Parliament. By the Charter Act of 1853 the Charter of the Company was renewed but not for any definite number of years. It was provided that the "Indian territories should remain under the government of the Company, in trust for the Crown, until Parliament should otherwise direct." The Act further reduced the number of Directors from twenty-four to eighteen, out of whom six were to be appointed by the Crown. In the same year the "right of patronage was taken from the Directors and exercised under

¹ *Ibid.*, *Historical Introduction*, p. 71.

² *Id.*, *C. Report*, para. 36.

³ *Ibid.*, *Op. cit.*, p. 41.

rules made by the parliamentary Board of Control¹⁰ It should not, of course, be thought that "the supremacy of the President of the Board of Control left the Directors with no real power. Their position was still a strong one; the right of initiative still rested exclusively with them; they were still the main repository of knowledge; and though the legal responsibility lay with Government, they exercised to the last a substantial influence upon details of administration." Nevertheless we should not lose sight of the fact that in reality the Government was already in the hands of the Crown through the President of the Board of Control, even before the Mutiny, and that the transfer of 1858 marked a formal rather than any substantial change. The Government of India Act of 1854 empowered the Governor-General of India in Council, with the sanction of the Court of Directors and the Board of Control, "to take by proclamation under his immediate authority and management any part of the territories for the time being in possession or under the government of the East India Company and thereupon to give all necessary orders and directions respecting the administration of the Part, or otherwise provide for its administration."

The double government of the Court and the Board "with its division of powers and responsibilities" gradually proved to be cumbersome, unwieldy and unsuited to the changed conditions, and the Mutiny gave it the death-blow. The Act of 1858 for the better government of India¹¹ which received the Royal Assent on the 2nd August of that year, provided that India should be governed "directly by and in the name of the Crown, acting through a Secretary of State, to whom were to be transferred the powers formerly exercised either by the Court of Directors or by the Board of Control." The Secretary of State was to be assisted by a Council of fifteen members, of whom eight were to be appointed by the Crown and

¹⁰ *M. C. Report*, para 31.

¹¹ *P. Maitland Op. cit.*, Vol. I, pp. 124-25.

senior were to be elected by the Court of Directors from among themselves.

Eighteen years after the transformation of the Indian Government to the Crown, Queen Victoria assumed the title of Empress of India by the Royal Titles Act of 1876. Her successor, Edward VII, was proclaimed King-Emperor at the Second Delhi Durbar on January 1, 1900; and after him George V ascended in 1911 to the title, which has come to be regarded as "the symbol of unity of the British Empire," and which "represents in a special way the sovereignty of the British nation in this Dependency."

The status and functions of the Secretary of State may be discussed from different points of view, such as (a) his relations with the Parliament, (b) his relations with the India Council, and (c) his relations with the Government of India.

(a) The Secretary of State for India is one of the principal Secretaries of State of His Majesty, and as such he is one of the Ministers of Cabinet rank, a member of one or the other House of the Parliament and also a party leader with some influence. His tenure of office is linked with the rise and fall of the party to which he belongs and like other members of the Cabinet he is responsible to the Parliament for his policy and actions. The members of the Parliament may put to him questions and supplementary questions regarding his department and may disapprove of his actions by passing a vote of censure. Thus the Secretary of State for India is thoroughly subordinate to the Parliament. Before the Reforms of 1919 his salary was not voted from the British Exchequer and as such the Parliament had no opportunity of criticising the policy of the India Office and the Secretary of State at the time of voting their expenditure. But this was changed by the Government of India Act, 1919, which laid down that "the salary of the Secretary of State shall be paid out of moneys provided by Parliament, and the salaries of his under-secretaries and any other expenses of his department may be paid out of the reve-

ness of India or out of groups provided by Parliament." As a member of the Cabinet, the Secretary of State for India is primarily responsible to this body, and in case of disagreement with the Prime Minister and his colleagues he has no alternative but to resign. Though such contingencies have been rare, a notable case occurred a few years back when Mr. Montagu, the Secretary of State for India and a member of the Cabinet, had to resign owing to differences with his chief Lloyd George and his colleagues in the Cabinet. The Secretary of State has two assistants, the Permanent Under-Secretary and the Parliamentary Under-Secretary. The former being a member of the British Civil Service is no party official or member of the Parliament and he enjoys a permanent tenure. He is the executive head of the India Office bureaucracy. The latter belongs to the party in power, is a member of the Ministry though not of the Cabinet, and he vacates his executive office with the fall of his party.

(i) The Council of India was originally instituted by the India Act of 1858 to restrain and limit the action of one man, the Secretary of State. As provided by the Act, the Council was to consist of fifteen members, of whom eight were to be appointed by the Crown and seven were to be elected by the Directors of the East India Company. The majority of the councillors were to be men who had served or resided in India for ten years at least, and had not left the country more than ten years before their appointment. Thus it was thought that the Council would exercise "moral control." Among other clauses of the Act was one which provided that "orders of the Secretary of State relating to expenditure and loans required the concurrence of a majority of the Council of India." But gradually the Council has been reduced to a subordinate position in three ways:—(a) in its composition; (b) in its powers; and (c) in the method of transmitting business. The Government of India Act of 1858 "vested in the Secretary of State the right of filling all vacancies in the Council of India, and changed the tenure of members of the Council from a

tenure during good behaviour to a term of ten years."²² The Act elevated the Secretary of State's position at the cost of the Council's independence. As Sir Charles Dilke commented in the House of Commons: "At the time the Council was appointed, the idea was to curb the power of the Secretary of State, that feeling had passed away, and it was now recognized on all hands that the Council should be a consultative and not a controlling body."²³ By the Council of India Reduction Act of 1885 the Secretary of State was allowed to "abstain from filling vacancies until the number of members could be reduced to ten." By the Council of India Act of 1907, the Council was made to consist "of such number of members not more than fourteen, as the Secretary of State may, from time to time, determine," and the tenure of the members was reduced to seven years, which could for special reasons be extended by five years more. Lord Morley, the then Secretary of State added in that year two Indian gentlemen to his Council. It is true that the council retained its power of 'Financial Veto' by a provision of the Act of 1858, according to which "no grant or appropriation of any part of (the Indian) revenues or of any property coming into the possession of the Secretary of State by virtue of the Government of India Act, 1858, may be made without the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India." But the responsibility of the Secretary of State 'for questions of high policy' to the Parliament and not to his Council rendered this constraint ineffective in actual practice. "It was in fact," remarks Prof. Dadwell "too great a power for so small and unrepresentative a body as the Council of India to assert effectively."²⁴ Besides these, the Secretary of State's extraordinary power of overruling his Council and his special privileges in matters of urgency and secrecy have increased the subordination of the Council

²² *Ibid.*, *Historical Introduction*, p. 105.

²³ Dadwell, *Sketch of the History of India*, p. 28.

²⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 28.

Lastly, the method of transacting business in the India Office and in the Council of India through Committees has also tended to produce the same result.¹² In short, instead of being a check on the power of the Secretary of State (as intended by the Statute of 1858) the Council has been reduced to the position of his dependent tool.

(c) In studying the relations of the Secretary of State with the Governor-General and the Government of India we should note that so far as statutory positions are concerned the former is authorised by the Act of 1858 to "direct and control the latter." But great controversies have raged round the question of their mutual relations. All believed in 1858 that the executive power lay with the Government of India. Even later on Northcote described the Government as set up in 1858 as "an executive machinery in India subject to a controlling machinery in England."¹³ In the early sixties Burke Fergus pointed out that the Secretary of State should act as the "representative and colleague of the Viceroy in the Cabinet and Parliament, and as the exponent of the Viceroy's measures to the English Parliament and people."¹⁴ Vansay critics increased the importance of the Home Government and contributed "to fortify the position of the Secretary of State vis-à-vis the Government of India." Even the impediment of geographical isolation and distance was annihilated in 1870 by the completion of a direct telegraph line between India and England by submarine cable through the Red Sea. The Secretary of State "could thus less than ever be constrained with accomplished fact;" he wanted to exercise greater control over the Government of India by issuing detailed and positive orders, and frictions naturally arose between the two.

When in 1873 Lord Mayo's Government "as a whole protested at being required to pass the Bills which bestowed the

¹² *Report Indian Administration*, p. 181.

¹³ *Quoted in Dalwell Op. cit.*, p. 26.

¹⁴ *Ibid.*

Contract Act and the Evidence Act in the shape in which the Secretary of State on the report of the Indian Law Council seemed approved of them, on the ground that such a course deprived the legislative councils of all liberty of action, the Home Government proceeded to assert their rights of control in the most emphatic manner."¹⁴ The tension came to a head during the Viceroyalty of Lord Northbrook, and the Secretaryship of Lord Salisbury in the Danish administration. Lord Northbrook "recognised the subordinate position of the Viceroy, but he held that Parliament had conferred certain rights, not only on the Viceroy, but on his Council, which differentiated them in a very notable degree from subordinate officials."¹⁵ He used to assert the independence of his Government in fiscal matters, but Mr. Darnley's Government were equally decided in affirming their constitutional rights:—"It is not open to question that Her Majesty's Government are as much responsible to Parliament for the Government of India as they are for any of the Crown Colonies of the Empire. . . . It necessarily follows that the control exercised by Her Majesty's Government over financial policy must be effective also."¹⁶ Lord Ripon as the Viceroy of India, protested against the "undue control" of the India Office. "I am not sure," he said, "that if I had known exactly how matters stood I would have come out here flustered!" A strong assertion of the theory of Parliamentary supremacy over the Government of India was made in 1894 by Sir Henry Fowler, the Secretary of State, on the occasion of the Cotton Duties Bill.

Of course "the intensity of control must vary with the instant shown by Parliament on whose behalf the Secretary of State exercises his powers. The relations between Simla and Whitehall vary also with the personal equation."¹⁷ A strong Viceroy like Lord Curzon thus accorded to the members of the

¹⁴ M. C. Report, para 34.

¹⁵ *Malabar Life of Northbrook*, p. 71.

¹⁶ M. C. Report, para 34.

¹⁷ *Ibid.*, para 35.

Council of India," a desire to thwart and hinder his work "and felt himself compelled to resign because he was overruled by the Home Government." The issue was raised again when Lord Morley was the Secretary of State for India.¹⁷ General J. H. Morgan has rightly noted about Morley that "no more autocratic Secretary for India ever resigned at Whitehall, none ever censured his Council, less and none ever administered a Viceroy more."¹⁸ This view is strongly confirmed by Morley's own reflections and in a letter from Lord Minto to Lord Bunsford, dated 24 July, 1910. Lord Morley maintained that the "British Cabinet, through the Secretary of State, had an inalienable right, subject to law, to dictate policy, to issue instructions, to reject proposals, to have the last word in every question that arises and the last word in every question that is then raised, ought to take."¹⁹ Lord Morley disavowed the theory to correspond directly with any official and assumed a predominant share in the matter of appointing Lieutenant-Governors. Lord Minto, the Viceroy of India, bluntly retorted: "He wrote to one of his relatives: 'No one for an instant doubts his (Secretary of State's) supreme control, but that must be taken together with the powers given to the Government of India by various Acts of Parliament, which evidently intended that the direct administration of India should be entrusted to the Government of India, always of course subject to the supreme control of the Secretary of State. But the present Secretary of State does not read the Acts in that way, and claims his right to interfere with and command every individual in India—direct... Legally his position may be sound, but constitutionally it is impossible.'"²⁰

¹⁷ *Renaissance, Century*, Vol. II, p. 257.

¹⁸ *Indian Review*, by Sir V. Chelmsford.

¹⁹ Quoted in *Cambridge History of India*, Vol. VI, p. 217.

²⁰ Reference may be made to Lord Morley's article entitled *British Democracy and Indian Government* in *Punch* (London) and *After*, February 1911.

²¹ Quoted in *Debiak*, Op. cit., pp. 28-29.

According to Section 33 of the Government of India Act, 1919, the Governor General in Council "is required to pay due obedience to all such orders as he may receive from the Secretary of State; and thus, by the exercise of the powers of control over Indian finance, legislation and administration inherent in the Secretary of State, the supervision of the British Parliament over Indian affairs is secured."¹⁷ But though the legal and constitutional powers of the Secretary of State over the Government of India are so great and numerous, yet in the practical working of the machinery, the Governor-General, being the man on the spot, his "old discretionary power" has not altogether ceased.¹⁸ "The authority and position of the Secretary of State," said Lord Balfour of Burleigh on the 5th day of November, 1929, "are complementary of the authority and position of the Viceroy. Sometimes the special atmosphere in which the Viceroy lives, or the wholly different atmosphere in which the Secretary of State lives, may be the corrective of a rash impulse, whether that be formed in Delhi or in Whitehall."¹⁹ The Indian Statutory Commission expressed the opinion that as under the new constitution the Government of India "remain responsible to Parliament" so the "Governor General in Council must continue to be subject, as at present, to the orders of the Secretary of State,"²⁰ but he would have no direct control over the Provincial Governments, except in connection with the exercise of the special powers that are reserved to the Governor. Under rules which date from 1921 the Bills to be introduced in the Central Legislature in India "need not be referred for the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, unless they relate to a limited number of subjects, as for example, Imperial or military affairs, foreign relations, the rights of European British subjects, the law of

¹⁷ *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 129.

¹⁸ *Debate*, Op. cit., p. 40.

¹⁹ Quoted in *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 288.

²⁰ *Report*, Vol. II, p. 304.

consolidation the public debt customs, currency and shipping."

We have already reviewed the history of the India Council up to 1917 and its subsequent history may now be briefly narrated. Many Indian politicians including the late Mr. Gokhale had been of opinion that the India Council served no useful purpose and demanded its total abolition. The Bryce Committee of 1919, appointed to consider plans for the re-organisation of the India Office, also shared the same opinion. But the writers of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report and the Joint Parliamentary Committee recommended its retention with certain changes in composition and functions. The Act of 1919 introduced the following changes on the basis of these recommendations.—The Council of India shall consist of such number of persons, not less than eight and not more than twelve, as the Secretary of State may determine. The right of filling any vacancy in the Council remained as before with the Secretary of State. Half the number of the members must be persons who have served or resided in India at least for ten years and have not last left India more than five years before the appointment. The term of office was reduced to five years instead of seven as before. The Secretary of State retained the power of reappointing a member of the Council for a further term of five years, the reasons for which he was to place before the Parliament. Each member was to receive an annual salary of £1,200 and the salaries and allowances might be paid either out of the revenues of India or out of money voted by Parliament. The Indian element in the Council was increased from two to three members. Section 28A of the Government of India Act of 1919 created the office of the High Commissioner for India. He was to remain as a servant and an agent of the Government of India and controllable by them, his salary and that of his establishment being paid out of Indian revenues. He has been charged with all agency and commercial business which had hitherto transacted by the Secretary of State in Council for the Government of India. Purchase of all stores for the Govern-

most of India, other than military stores: the Indian Students' Department, the training of probationers to the Civil Services, passages, purchase of opium, etc., have been made over to him. Sir William Meyer was the first High Commissioner. The High Commissioner's services "have often been called in to represent India on important international conferences." Sir Arch Chatterton K.C.I.E., was the leader of the Government group of the Indian delegation to the International Labour Conference and he represented India on the governing body of the International Labour Organisation. The proposals of the Indian Statutory Commission do not affect the position of High Commissioners very much, except that as being the agent of the Provincial Governments he "will no longer be subject even indirectly to decisions by the Secretary of State or the Government of India."¹ Under the new constitution the High Commissioner will be controlled by the Governor-General exercising his individual judgment, and may be authorised to act for a federated state, a province, or Burma.

Even after the Reforms of 1919 there has been a strong body of opinion in India which regards the Secretary of State's Council "as a reactionary body which has stood in the way of constitutional advance." But in the opinion of the Indian Statutory Commission "it is essential that there should be a body of experts to whom the Secretary of State can have recourse for advice and information."² The functions of the Council are to be "essentially advisory," it should be reduced in size, and its members should be drawn from those having "recent experience" of India. The Commission also proposed that the new Act should provide for the creation by the Crown of a Council for Greater India, containing both representatives of the States and representatives of British India, which would serve to effect a closer association between British India and the Indian States "in matters of common concern."

¹ Report, Vol. II, p. 124.

² *Ibid.*, p. 128.

The Government of India Act 1935 provides for the dissolution of the India Council as it exists today but the Secretary of State would be aided by a body of advisers whose number would be not less than three and not more than six and who would be appointed by him. They would receive salaries worth £1,500 per annum which would be paid by Parliament and not by India as at present.

SECTION II

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT IN INDIA

The second half of the eighteenth century witnessed the gradual transformation of the East India Company from a mere trading corporation to an Indo-British power, and new responsibilities consequently developed upon it. The need of greater solidarity and coordination among the three Presidencies began to be felt, and the Parliament also felt the necessity of interfering in its affairs. The Regulating Act of 1773 marks the first important step in this direction. By it the Governor of Bengal became the Governor-General of Bengal, and he was empowered along with his Council to superintend and control the "Government and management of the presidencies of Madras, Bombay and Benaulon (in Sumatra), so far as and in so much as that it should not be lawful for any Government of the minor presidencies to make any orders for commencing hostilities or declaring or making war, against any Indian prince or power, or for negotiating or concluding any treaty with any such prince or power without the previous consent of the Governor-General and Council, except in such cases of imminent necessity as would render it dangerous to postpone such hostilities or treaties until the arrival of their orders, and except also in cases where special orders had been received from the Company."¹ The Governor-General and Council were required to obey the orders of the

Council of Directors and to inform them constantly of all matters concerning the interest of the Company. The Ascending Act of 1761 tried to remove some of the difficulties connected with the operation of the Regulating Act and it empowered the Governor-General and Council "from time to time to frame regulations for the provincial courts and councils."¹⁴ Pitt's India Act of 1784 extended the control of the Governor-General and Council over the government of the minor presidencies to "all such points as relate to any transactions with the company's powers, or to war, or to peace, or to the application of the revenues or losses of such presidencies in time of war." The Charter Act of 1793 ultimately consolidated the powers of the Governor-General over the whole of British India. After the vigorous march of British imperialism under Lord Wellesley and Lord Hastings, the title of the Governor of Bengal was changed into the Governor-General of India by the Charter Act of 1803. Now "the superintendence, direction and control of the whole civil and military Government in India was expressly vested in the Governor-General of India in Council." The Act of 1824, as already noted, empowered the Governor-General of India in Council, with the permission of the Home authorities, "to take by proclamation under his immediate authority and management any part of the territories for the time being in the possession or under the government of the East India Company and thereupon to give all necessary orders and directions respecting the administration of that part, or otherwise provide for its administration." This power was exercised by the creation of Chief Commissioners like those of Assam, Central Provinces and Burma to whom the Governor-General in Council delegated such "powers as need not be reserved to the Central Government." The Government of India was also empowered, with the sanction of the Home authorities, "to define the limits of the several provinces of India", the Governor-General was no longer to bear the title of Governor

¹⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 10.

of the Presidency of Bengal, which had been converted into a Lieutenant-Governorship. At the time of the formal and direct assumption of the Government of India by the Crown in 1858, Queen Victoria referred to Lord Canning, the Governor-General designate, as the "First Viceroy and Governor-General." This new term "Viceroy," which is unknown to any law but has been since then freely used in practice, means that besides being the head of the Indian administration, he stands also as the representative of the British Crown in its relation to the Prince and people of India.

"Appointed from among the most prominent public men in Great Britain, and usually discharging his task for a period of five years (which has not been fixed by any statute), the Governor-General occupies the most responsible, as it is the most picturesque and distinguished, office in the overseas service of the British Crown."¹⁰ Lord Lansdowne wrote to his mother on February 8, 1885: "I am offered a magnificent post, the most responsible and honourable in the service outside England."¹¹ He is a non-party official and holds his post irrespective of ministerial changes in England.¹² So long he has to work with the concurrence of the members of his Executive Council and with a popularly elected Legislature, he can override the opinions of both if he likes, "in cases of emergency and stress." He presides over the Executive Council, and can nominate a Vice-President from among the members for presiding during his absence. He has power to make rules for "the transaction of Council business, the allocation of members among its Members, and the limitation of their steps." He can exercise his casting vote if there be an equality of votes in the Council on any particular question. In the Indian Legislature he can dissolve either chamber or can suspend its

¹⁰ Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. I, p. 117.

¹¹ Quoted in *Ibid.*

¹² Lord Reading agreed under these different restrictions.

life if he thinks it necessary. He may assent to, withhold and veto bills and authorize such expenditure on his sole initiative "as he thinks to be necessary for the safety or tranquillity of British India or any part thereof." During recent years the power of certification has been taken recourse to by the Viceroy on several occasions, as in the case of the Princes' Protection Bill, the doubling of the salt tax, the finance bill of 1924, etc. His previous assent is indispensably necessary for introducing measures on matters like the public debt or public revenues of India, religious rights and usages of British subjects, discipline of the army, foreign relations, provincial subjects and provincial laws. Besides these, the Governor-General has been given the power since 1911 to promulgate in an emergency, without consulting the Legislature, ordinances having force of law for six months (e.g., Lord Irwin's ordinance embodying the Public Safety Bill). No new measure of importance can be initiated by the Governors of Provinces without consultation with or the general concurrence of the Governor-General. Lastly, we should note that he stands as the representative and symbol of the King-Emperor and has the "direct personal charge of the relations of India with foreign countries, and of British India with the various Indian States." All matters of importance concerning the Indian States, though issued in the name of the Government of India, "are a special concern of the Viceroy . . . The Viceroy is the link between British India and the Indian Princes. In this connection ceremonial visits and personal interviews take much of his time; and at the annual session of the Council of Princes it falls to him to preside."²² The Governor-General and Viceroy of India thus enjoying these large powers holds a pre-eminent position. "The Prime Minister of England presiding over the British Cabinet appears to be only first among equals . . . The Viceroy of India has the appearance more of a superior than an equal, constitutionally speaking the distance between him and his colleagues is far

²² *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 179.

greater and much more fundamental than that between the Prime Minister and his colleagues in the Cabinet."³⁸

The Indian Statutory Commission was opposed to the introduction of democracy at the centre and emphatically recommended that "unity in the central executive must be preserved at all costs . . . the Governor-General must continue to be not only the representative of the King-Emperor in all formal and ceremonial matters but the actual and active head of the Government . . . the influence, advice and direction of the Viceroy for the time being will certainly be needed for many years to come if the constitutional progress of India is to be successfully promoted."³⁹ According to the Government of India Act 1935, the unitary state of India will be transformed into the Federation of India comprising Governors' provinces, Chief Commissioner's provinces, and federated states.

A brief account of the Central Executive Council and the Central Legislature may be attempted here. In tracing the history of the Central Executive Council we find that before the Regulating Act of 1773 the Government of the Presidency at Fort William in Bengal consisted of a Governor (who was entirely independent of those at Madras and Bombay) and ten councillors.⁴⁰ The Act of 1773 placed the control over the Company's affairs in India in the hands of the Governor-General and a Council of four members. But the quarrels between Hastings and his hostile colleagues rendered a reform necessary. By the Act of 1784 the number of the members of the Governor-General's Council was reduced to three, of whom the Commander-in-Chief of the Company's forces in India was to be one and to have precedence next to the Governor-General. This Act left the Government in India "vested in the majority of a constantly-changing Council."⁴¹ Consequently an Act was

³⁸ *Pillai, Indian Administration*, p. 38.

³⁹ *Report*, Vol. II, pp. 126-128.

⁴⁰ *Strachan, Passage to the East Indies*, Vol. I, p. 493.

⁴¹ *Chatterji, Indian Policy*, p. 18.

passed in 1786, when Lord Cornwallis accepted the office of the Governor-General, which empowered the Governor-General to overrule the Council and to act on his own responsibility in matters of great importance in extraordinary cases. This power, reaffirmed and slightly extended by an Act of 1870, has been seldom exercised, though Lord Lytton used it in 1879 to exempt imported cotton goods from duty. The Act of 1833 added to the Council a fourth ordinary member, the Law Member, "who was not to be one of the Company's servants and was not to be entitled to act as member of Council except for legislative purposes." By the Act of 1853 the Law Member of the Council of the Governor-General was made a full member of the Council and was given the right to sit and vote at its executive meetings as well. In 1860 a project was formed for abolishing the Council altogether, but instead of that the Indian Councils Act of 1861 increased the number of the ordinary members of the Council from four to five, "three of whom shall be from among such persons as shall have been at the time of such appointment in the service in India . . . for at least ten years," and of the remaining two "one shall be a barrister, or a member of the Faculty of Advocates in Scotland, of not less than five years' standing." The Secretary of State's power of appointing the Commander-in-Chief as an extraordinary member was retained. At this time Lord Canning introduced the portfolio system in the Government of India by which the ordinary work of the Departments was distributed among the Members, the Governor-General himself holding charge of the Foreign Department, and matters of greater importance were referred to the Governor-General or dealt with collectively. These rules, remarks Prof. Dadwell, "whether or not designed to replace the scheme for abolishing the Council altogether, have undoubtedly reduced the importance of the Council, and increased the effective influence of the Viceroy over it."¹² But it should be

noted that the comparative influence of the Viceroy and his Council depended on the personal equation. Further modifications were introduced by the Government of India Act, 1858, which transferred from the Secretary of State in Council to the Crown the power of filling vacancies among the members of the Council, and also by the Indian Councils Act of 1874, which made provision for the appointment of a sixth member for public works. In 1904 the clause specifically appointing him for that particular department was repealed. The Morley-Minto Reforms of 1909 provided for the appointment of qualified Indians to the Council, Mr. S. P. (afterwards Lord) Sinha being then (March, 1908) appointed Law Member by the Crown; he was succeeded by a Muhammadan barrister on whose retirement, a Hindu High Court Judge was appointed Education Member of the Council. The Act of 1919 introduced a few important changes in this sphere also. It removed the statutory restriction on the number of the members of the Council, allowed the Indian High Court Justices of ten years' standing to become members, provided that Governors of Provinces should cease to sit as extraordinary members when meetings of the Council were held in their territory, and it also gave powers to the Viceroy to appoint Council Secretaries from among the non-official members of the Legislative Assembly in the Council of State. No statutory provision was made for including a fixed number of Indians in the Council, but three were appointed on the recommendation of the Joint Select Committee. In spite of some attempts at redefining the portfolio after the Reforms, these remained substantially unaltered, and were allocated, apart from the Viceroy holding the portfolio of the Foreign and Political Departments, in the following manner: a Member each for the Army (the Commander-in-Chief), Home Affairs, Finance, Law, Commerce, Education (with Health and Lands), Industries and Labour.

The Indian Statutory Commission recommended that the responsibility of appointing members of the Central Executive Council should be transferred from the Secretary of State to the

Governor-General, that 'direction as to number or qualifications of the Governor-General's Council should be expressed not in the statute itself, but as statutory rules made under the Statute. Such rules would, therefore, be subject to alteration without the necessity of passing a new Government of India Act, though the draft amendments must be laid before both Houses of Parliament, and the approval of both houses expressed by resolution.'⁴ The Commission also proposed that the Commander-in-Chief should be outside the Governor-General's Council. Dyarchy, requested for the Provinces by the Provision to the Indian Statutory Commission has been established in the Federation by sections 9, 10 and 11 of the Government of India Act 1925. Federal subjects will now be divided into two groups—Reserved and Transferred. The Governor-General would administer the Reserved Group, which includes Defence, External Affairs, Ecclesiastical Affairs and Tribal Areas, with the advice of three Counsellors, appointed by himself and not responsible to the Federal Legislature. The Transferred Group including the rest of the federal subject would be administered by the Governor-General with the advice of a Council of Ministers, who as members of the Federal Legislature would be responsible to it. The Ministers would be chosen and sworn in by the Governor-General, hold office at his pleasure and may be dismissed by him. The Governor-General is invested with Special Responsibilities in certain matters. These are: (a) 'Preservation of peace and order in the provinces of India; (b) the safeguarding of the financial stability and credit of the Federal Government; (c) the safeguarding of the legitimate interests of minorities; (d) safeguarding the rights and legitimate interests of the servants; (e) the securing in the sphere of executive action of the purposes which the provisions of Chapter III of part V of the Act (dealing with the prevention of commercial discrimination) are designed to secure

⁴ Report, Vol. II, pp. 176-40.

in relation to legislation, (3) the pervention of action which would subject goods of United Kingdom or Burmese origin imported into India to discriminatory or penal treatment; (4) the protection of the rights of any Indian State and the rights and dignity of the ruler thereof, and (5) the securing of the due discharge of his functions which have to be exercised at discretion or in individual judgment." Thus enormous powers have been concentrated in the hands of the Governor-General.

The Montagu-Chelmsford Report has rightly noted that "the germ of legislative power of the Government of India has embodied in Elizabeth's Charter (of 1600) which authorised the East India Company to make reasonable laws, constitutions, orders and ordinances 'not repugnant to English law, for the good government of the Company and its affairs.'"⁴¹ But these powers were, as has been remarked by Sir Courtenay Ilbert, "evidently modelled on the powers of making by-laws commonly exercised by ordinary municipal and commercial corporations. No copies of any laws made under the early charters are known to exist. They would doubtless have consisted mainly of regulations for the guidance of the Company's factors and apprentices."⁴² The subsequent charters of the East India Company increased these powers given by the original charter as circumstances changed. George I's Charter of 1726 empowered the Governors-in-Council of the three Presidencies to make "laws, ordinances and regulations" in their respective jurisdictions. The Regulating Act of 1773 by subordinating the presidencies and councils of Bombay and Madras to the Governor-General and Council of Bengal, required the Madras and Bombay Governments to send copies of all their Acts and orders to Bengal.⁴³ But we do not find that the Bengal Government "had any power of modifying them," and the Act of 1807 gave to the Governors-in-Council in Madras and Bombay similar power of making regu-

⁴¹ Para 32.

⁴² *Historical Introduction*, pp. 7-10.

⁴³ *M. C. Report*, para 34.

business were possessed by the Governor-in-Council in Bengal. Thus during this period the legislative powers were lodged in and were exercised by the Executive governments in the three Presidencies.³⁷

But the gradual growth of the Company's power and territorial dominion in India made more systematic legislation necessary. The Charter Act of 1833 marks an important step in the direction of "supplying the legislative machinery"³⁸ and also in correcting the errors of the past. It deprived the governments of Bombay and Madras of their power of legislation, and empowered the Governor-General in Council "to make laws and regulations for all persons (British, Europeans or Native), and for all Courts (i. e., the Courts of the Company and the Supreme Court)". As we have already noted, Macaulay was appointed Law Member of the Governor-General's Council, and his "duties were to be confined to legislation. Law-making by purely executive orders, such as had produced the three presidency codes of regulations, was therewith to cease."³⁹ But this reform proved inadequate, and so the Charter Act of 1833 made the legislative member of the Executive Council an ordinary member, and the Executive Council was enlarged for legislative purposes by the addition of six new members called legislative members—the chief justice and another judge of the Bengal Supreme Court, and four officials appointed by the provincial Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal and Agra. The Governor-General as president retained his veto over legislative proposals; the proceedings of the legislative sessions were made public. "This was the first recognition of the principle of local representation in the Indian legislature . . . We find legislation for the first time treated as a special function of government requiring special machinery and special processes."⁴⁰

³⁷ *Ibid.*, para 26.

³⁸ *Ibid.*, para 57.

³⁹ *Ibid.*, para 57.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, para 58.

But the Council as constituted by the Act of 1853¹¹ evinced an inconvenient tendency to interfere with the Executive.¹² Sir Charles Wood complained in the House of Commons that the "council had become a sort of debating society or petty parliament."¹³ Bombay and Madras continued to complain about the preponderance of Bengal, and the Sepoy Mutiny showed the necessity of associating the Indians in the legislation of their country. So the Central Legislative Council was reconstituted by the Indian Councils Act of 1861. This Act raised the number of the additional members from six to twelve; half of these were to be non-officials, who were of course to be nominated, and seven seats were given to the Indians. The Act restored to the Governments of Madras and Bombay the legislative power which the Act of 1853 had withdrawn, but with this important distinction that the "previous sanction of the Governor-General was made requisite for legislation by the local councils in certain cases, and all Acts of the local Councils required the subsequent assent of the Governor-General in addition to that of the Governor."¹⁴ Again, the "power of local legislation bestowed by the Act of 1861 was not, as previously, exclusive; it was concurrent, so that, while a provincial council might, with the Governor-General's approval legislate for its own area, the legislative power of the Governor-General in Council was unimpaired and extended for all purposes over the whole of the Indian territories under the British Crown. The concentration of authority at the Centre thus persisted."¹⁵ It should not be thought that the Legislative Councils as established under the Act of 1861 contained germs of responsible Government. Their functions were "strictly limited to legislation. They were expressly forbidden to transact any business except the consideration and

¹¹ *Governor of India*, Vol. IV, p. 130.

¹² *M. C. Report*, p. 42.

¹³ *Ibid.*

¹⁴ *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 225.

enactment of legislative measures, or to entertain any motion except a motion for leave to introduce a Bill or having reference to a Bill actually introduced."¹⁶ But there was an advance in the direction of representation in the next stage, which was reached in 1862. The Indian Councils Act of that year provided that the Legislative Council of the Governor-General was to consist of not less than ten and not more than sixteen additional nominated members. The maximum number of non-official members was brought up to ten, of whom five were to be brought in, one, on the recommendation of the non-official members of each of the four provincial councils, and one, on that of the Calcutta Chamber of Commerce. "A species of indirect election was thus inaugurated."¹⁷ This Act also enlarged the functions of the Council to the extent of holding discussions (though not voting) on the annual statement of revenues and expenditure, and also to put questions to the Executive under prescribed conditions and restrictions. The Morley-Minto Reforms of 1909 took another step of advance in representation. It raised the maximum number of the additional members of the Indian Legislative Council from 16 to 40 of whom not more than 20 could be officials, while 20 of the remainder were not only non-officials but were elected. There were now three classes of additional members,—nominated official members, nominated non-official members, elected members. The electorate created by the Regulations under the Act of 1909 may be divided into three classes:—(1) General Electorate, consisting of the non-official members either of the Provincial Legislative Councils or of the Municipal and District Boards; (2) Class Electorate, comprising of (a) Mahomedan (i.e., Communal) electorates, (b) 'landholders' constituencies, and (3) Special Electorate, consisting of Corporations, the Chambers of Commerce, Universities, etc. Under the new regulations, of the 20 elected members of the Indian Legislative Council, 13 were to be elected by the non-official

¹⁶ M. C. Report, para 83 and 84.

¹⁷ Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. I, p. 128.

members of the Provincial Legislative Councils, one member from the special landholders' constituencies in each of the six provinces, Bengal, Bombay, Madras, U.P., Bihar and Orissa, and the C.P.; six members by special Mohammedan constituencies—two from Bengal and one each from Bombay, Madras, Bihar and Orissa and the U.P.¹⁴ and two by the Chambers of Commerce of Calcutta and Bombay. It should be noted that seats were distributed in the Central Legislative Council in such a way as in effect to resist an official majority, and Lord Morley justified it by declaring that the Governor-General's Council "in its legislative as well as executive character, should continue to be so constituted as to ensure its constant and uninterrupted power to fulfil the constitutional obligations that it owes, and must always owe, to His Majesty's Government and to the Imperial Parliament." The Executive control over Legislative functions remained. Lord Morley plainly declared on 17th December, 1900: "If it could be said that this chapter of reforms led directly or indirectly to the establishment of a parliamentary system in India, I, for one, would have nothing at all to do with it."¹⁵ The functions of the Central Legislature were enlarged by this Act, for it was now given the power of moving resolutions and asking supplementary questions, subject to disallowance by the Governor-General as the *ex-officio* President. But no further advance in the direction of responsible or parliamentary Government was contemplated. It has been said that the Morley-Minto Reforms "left the Government of India an autocracy; but it was an autocracy which was in touch with popular opinion, though seldom influenced by it . . . But unexpected weakness manifested itself in the course of its working. The official members came to be grouped into a bloc which voted like an automaton and opposed non-official

¹⁴ There was introduced the principle of communal representation, which has since then been so much upsetting Indian political opinion, up to present times.

¹⁵ Quoted in *India*, pp. 116-17.

proposition whether just or unjust. The non-officials, on the other hand, had no function but to criticise, and their criticism is none of the official bloc in the Imperial Council and the majority of official and nominated votes in the Provinces, carried but little weight with the Government.¹⁹

The authors of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report pointed out the defects of the arrangements of 1909²⁰ and recommended an overhauling of the whole system in view of the changed conditions after the Great War. The Government of India Act of 1919 introduced a bicameral system in the Central Legislature, and the old Legislative Council of India was replaced by two chambers, the Legislative Assembly and the Council of State each chamber having its own President. The President of the Council of State was appointed by the Governor-General from among the members of the Council; he could also appoint other persons to provide "in such circumstances as he may desire." The President of the Legislative Assembly was for the first four years appointed by the Governor-General,²¹ and then elected by the Assembly with the approval of the Governor-General.²² There was also a Deputy President of the Assembly from the beginning who was elected by the Assembly and approved by the Governor-General. The Council of State continued for five years, and the Assembly for three years. But the Governor-General had the power of dissolving either chamber, or, in special circumstances, extending its life.

The composition of both the chambers has to be fixed according to statutory rules. The Council of State was to consist of 60 members, of whom 34 were to be elected, the rest were to be nominated, not more than twenty being

¹⁹ "Kerala/Peter," *The Working of Democracy in India*, p. 7.

²⁰ *M. C. Report*, Chapter IV.

²¹ The first President was Mr. (laterwards Sir) A. H. Wylie, formerly an I.C.S.

²² The late Mr. V. J. Patel was the most distinguished elected President.

" official members." The Council of State roughly corresponded to the Upper House or Second Chamber of other countries, and was intended to serve as a sobering and revising agency against the hasty legislation or the " radical frosts of a change " in franchise was therefore extremely restricted. Property qualifications were " pitched as high as to secure the representation of wealthy landowners and merchants; previous experience in a central and provincial legislature, service in the chair of a municipal council, membership of a University Senate and similar tests of personal standing and experience in affairs " qualified for a vote. Elections were for the most part grouped in communal constituencies, thus there was one member of the Council of State who was elected by the Mohammedans of the Madras Presidency, and four who were elected by the non-Mohammedans of that province. The Sikhs of the Punjab had a member. There was one member elected by the general constituency of Burma, and another by the Chamber of Commerce. Representing mostly the vested interest in the country, the Council of State had an oligarchical character and outlook. All Bills which had to be passed into an Act must receive its assent. So far as its financial powers were concerned, the annual budget was presented before it at the same time as before the Assembly. It could open a general discussion on the budget and on the financial policy of the State, and the Finance Bill containing all proposals of taxation was sent up to it for its assent and could be accepted, amended or even rejected by it. But the Council of State could not vote particular grants demanded by the heads of various departments. This power belonged exclusively to the Assembly.

The Legislative Assembly was the more democratic Chamber in the Indian Legislature, having a class elected majority. It consisted of elected official and nominated non-official members. In the last group were the sole representative of the " Depressed " classes, the sole representative of the Indian Christians, and the sole representative of the Anglo-Indian community, one nominated non-official from the North West

Frontier Provinces, another representing Labour interests, and another the Associated Chambers of Commerce. The official members constituting the "official bloc," included most of the members of the Governor-General's Council, important members of the Government of India's Secretariat (such as the Military Secretary and the Foreign Secretary) or nominated representatives of the Provincial Governments.⁴⁴ The Legislative Assembly represented different communities, classes and interests in India. The franchise for it was based on high educational qualifications, which varied in different provinces. All central legislation were to be presented before it as before the Council of State. But if the Governor-General certified that any B.L. "is essential for the safety, tranquillity or interests of British India, or any part thereof," then it became law on his signature and approval of the House of Parliament, even if it was subsequently passed by either of the Chambers, or rejected by both. Instances of the exercise of this power of certification are: (1) in 1922 Lord Reading overrode the Assembly by certifying the Princes' Protection Bill; (2) & (3) in 1923 and 1924 the annual Finance Bills were certified; (4) in 1925 the Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Act, certified by the Governor of Bengal, was supplemented by the Governor-General's certificate. Another limitation on the legislative powers of the Central Legislature is contained in Section 67 of the Government of India Act of 1919, according to which the previous sanction of the Governor-General was required for the introduction of any measure affecting—(a) the public debt or public revenues of India; (b) the religion or religious rites and usages of any class of British subjects in India; (c) the discipline or maintenance of any part of His Majesty's Military, Naval or Air forces; (d) the relations of the Government with foreign powers or Native States; (e) any measure—(i) regulating any provincial

⁴⁴ For comparison of the Council of State and the Legislative Assembly, consult the tables given in the Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. 3, pp. 167-68.

subject, or any part of a provincial subject, which was not declared by order under the 1919 Act to be subject to legislation by the Indian Legislature, (2) repealing or amending any Act of a local legislature, (3) repealing or amending any Act or Ordinance made by the Governor-General.

Section 25 of the Act of 1919 thus defined the financial powers of the Indian Legislature:—

" (a) The estimated annual expenditure and revenue of the Governor-General in Council shall be laid in the form of a statement before both chambers of the Indian Legislature in each year.

" (b) No proposal for the appropriation of any revenue or moneys for any purpose shall be made except on the recommendation of the Governor-General.

" (c) The proposals of the Governor-General in Council for the appropriation of revenue or moneys relating to the following heads of expenditure shall not be submitted to the vote of the Legislative Assembly nor shall they be open to discussion by either chamber at the time when the annual statement is under consideration, unless the Governor-General otherwise directs:—

" (i) interest and sinking fund charges on loans;

" (ii) expenditure of which the amount is prescribed by or under any law.

" (iii) salaries and pensions of persons appointed by or with the approval of His Majesty or by the Secretary of State in Council;

" (iv) salaries of chief commissioners and judicial commissioners;

" (v) expenditure classified by the order of the Governor-General in Council as—(1) administrative, (2) political, (3) defence."⁴¹

⁴¹ Thus the whole of the Army Expenditure was "controlled." But the expenses for the Civil Departments of the Army Department were

(c) If any question arises whether any proposed appropriation of revenue or moneys does or does not relate to the above heads, the decision of the Governor-General on the question shall be final.

" (b) The proposals of the Governor-General in Council for the appropriation of revenue or moneys relating to heads of expenditure not specified in the above heads shall be submitted to the vote of the Legislative Assembly in the form of demands for grants.

" (3) The Legislative Assembly may assent or refuse its assent to any demand or may reduce the amount referred to in any demand by a reduction of the whole grant.

" (4) The demands as voted by the Legislative Assembly shall be submitted to the Governor-General in Council who shall, if he decides that he is satisfied that any demand which has been refused by the Legislative Assembly is essential to the discharge of his responsibilities, act as if it had been assented to, notwithstanding the withholding of such assent, or the reduction of the amount therein referred to by the Legislative Assembly.

" (5) Notwithstanding anything in this section, the Governor-General shall have power in cases of emergency, to authorise such expenditure as may, in his opinion, be necessary for the safety or tranquillity of British India or any part thereof."

In studying the relations between the two chambers of the Indian Legislature, we should note that in all bicameral systems of legislature, conflicts between the two chambers have been very common, and means have also been provided for getting over consequent deadlock. In India also there were chances of conflicts between the two chambers, " so different in com-

position the distribution, and also it " became usual for the Governor-General to pass directions which enable Army Expenditure as a whole to be discussed by the Legislative Assembly, though not vote on it (see below)". *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. 1, p. 126.

plation " but having equal status and almost equal powers. So the Government of India Act provided three " methods for avoiding or composing such differences "—(1) *Joint Committees*, (2) *Joint Conferences* and (3) *Joint Sittings*. The first means required a formal resolution in each chamber, and each nominated an equal number of members, and it was intended to forestall differences and to expedite the passage of a particular Bill; the second measure was adopted when a difference of opinion had already arisen, and in the Joint Conference each chamber was represented by an equal number of members, but no decision was taken; thirdly, when the originating and the revising chambers " failed to reach agreement within six months of the passing of the Bill by the originating chamber " it rested with the Governor-General, in his discretion, " to convene a Joint Sitting of both chambers," at which those present deliberated and voted upon the Bill in the shape given to it by the originating House, and on the accompanying amendments. The decision there taken was considered to be the decision of both chambers. Lastly, we should note that when there was a case of conflict between the chambers which was complicated by the disagreement of the Governor-General with either chamber, the Governor-General might prevent the deadlock by using his extraordinary power of certification.

The relations of the Executive to the Legislature may now be briefly stated. The proper articulation of governmental powers is essential in a democratic state: in England, for example, the battle of liberty has all along been fought with the motto of subordinating the Executive to the Legislature, though it recent times the Cabinet has wielded almost dictatorial powers.¹⁰ As the final goal of British policy in India has been assumed to be the progressive realisation of responsible government and the development of Parliamentary institutions, one may very well enquire how far the Executive in the Indian

¹⁰ Lord Chief Justice Hewart, *The New Despotism*, and Rastey, *Min. Now Again a Government*, Chap. II.

Constitution is subordinate to the Legislature or on the way to it. As we have already noted there was no question of reproducing Parliamentary government or of subordinating the Legislature to the Executive in the Councils Act of 1909. The Legislature as created by that Act could only use declaratory rhetoric, which, as late Sir Sureshchandra Banerjee remarked, "fell on deaf ears, and beat its head against stone walls". Though the Act of 1909 introduced many changes, yet from strictly legal and constitutional point of view the Governor General in Council remained responsible only to the British Parliament, and retained its old autocratic powers.¹⁷ But in actual position, the Legislature after 1909 with democratised composition and enlarged powers, often exerted an indirect influence on the Executive. The contact of the members with the elected representatives influenced the Government to some extent. As William Harcourt once remarked that "the value of political heads of departments is to tell the officials what the public will not stand".¹⁸ Sir Malcolm Hailey, speaking a few years back in the Legislative Assembly, described the Government of India "as having become, after the Reforms, responsive if not responsible to popular opinion, and its actions as having become indicative if not reflective of the popular view point". But the experience of the last few years shows that "even if the quality and the reality of the Legislature's indirect influence be admitted and proved to be great, the fact of its uncertainty and its allowance by mere courtesy denotes to a great extent from its utility and value".¹⁹ Further, as an other modern writer²⁰ has remarked, "the most important characteristics of the Central Government is that it establishes

¹⁷ *Indian Administration*, p. 32.

¹⁸ A. G. Gardiner, *Life of Sir William Harcourt*, Vol. II, p. 192, quoted in *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 231.

¹⁹ Palanisami, *Indian Administration*, p. 99. Compare the recent influence of the Reserve Bank Bill.

²⁰ "Kerala Post," *The Working of Democracy in India*, p. 58.

in a more emphasised form, the anomalous structure of a parliamentary body possessing an elected non-official majority, without ultimate responsibility on any matter. It is given the power to vote but no responsibility attaches to its vote. An irresponsible vote is the most demoralising of political influences."

The Indian Statutory Commission on the other hand emphasised the importance of the influence of the Central Legislature on the Central Executive "in all fields of administration and legislation,"⁷¹ and suggested the following modifications in the Central Legislature⁷²—" (a) The Legislative Assembly, which should be called the Federal Assembly, will be reconstituted on the basis of the representation of the Provinces and other areas in British India according to population. Members representing Governor's Provinces will be elected by the Provincial Councils by the method of proportional representation which will ensure that members belonging to minority communities will be included in sufficient numbers in the Federal Assembly. Members will be returned from the North-West Frontier Province and other areas outside the Governor's Provinces by methods appropriate to each case. The official members of the Federal Assembly, will consist of such members of the Governor-General's Council as sit in the Lower House, together with twelve other nominated officials. (b) The Council of State will continue with its existing functions as a body of elected and nominated members chosen in the same proportions as at present. Its members, who must have high qualifications, will, so far as they are elected, be chosen by indirect election carried out by provincial Second Chambers if such bodies are constituted, or, failing this, by the Provincial Councils. (c) The existing legislative and financial powers of the two chambers of the Central Legislature will remain as at present, but the Federal Assembly will also have the special function of voting

⁷¹ Report, Vol. II, pp. 164-65.

⁷² *Ibid.*, para. 136-179.

certain indirect taxes, collected by a central agency, the net proceeds of which will fall into a Provincial Fund for the purpose of being distributed amongst the different units represented in the Federal Assembly."

The Government of India Act, 1935, retains bicameral system in the central legislature, the upper chamber being known as the Council of State and the lower house as the House of Assembly or Federal Assembly. The Federal Assembly will have a maximum tenure of five years but it may be dissolved earlier. The Council of State is a permanent body, one-third of the members retiring every three years. The Council of State will consist of 126 members for British India elected by the people, of whom six will be selected by the Governor-General at his discretion and up to 194 members for the States. The representatives of British India are to be chosen on a communal basis, and the representatives of the States are to be appointed by the Rulers of the States concerned. Elections to the Council of State would be direct. The Federal Assembly is to consist of 250 representatives of British India and not more than 125 representatives of the federating Indian States. Elections to the Federal Assembly are to be indirect, that is, the representatives of British India are to be mostly elected by the Legislative Assembly of the province whenever there are two houses. Both the chambers have co-ordinate powers almost in all respects. When they differ on a financial or legislative issue, the Governor-General would arrange for their joint sittings.

SECTION III

THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

The first petty possessions of the East India Company grew into the full-fledged British Indian Empire in the course of a century and a half, through a long process of acquisition and conquests, under varied circumstances. Administrative

arrangements for consolidating them themselves followed in successive stages, and division of British India into provinces could never be made on purely scientific or political principles; considerations of ethnic, linguistic or cultural groupings, of economic or political units, have subsequently cropped up, and the distribution of the Provinces has many critics now-a-days, but it must be remembered that the piecemeal acquisition of British dominions in India is the chief source of this defect.

The Bombay and Madras Presidencies have remained unchanged under a Governor in Council. The Charter Act of 1833 gave authority to the Directors either to constitute a new province with a Governor and Council, or to appoint a Lieutenant-Governor. In 1854 Bengal was placed under a Lieutenant-Governor, and this arrangement lasted in that Presidency till 1862 when it was again raised to the status of a full-fledged Governorship. A Lieutenant-Governor was appointed for the Punjab in 1859. Agra was part of Bengal till 1854 when it was placed under a separate administration under a Lieutenant-Governor as the North-West Province. Oudh was annexed in 1856, and remained under a Chief Commissioner till 1877, when it was merged in the North-Western Province. In 1882 it was renamed the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh under a Lieutenant-Governor, and in 1901 it was constituted a Governor's Province. An Act of 1854 empowered the Governor-General in Council, with the sanction of the Director and the Board, to appoint Chief Commissionerships for newly-acquired territories. Accordingly in 1861 the Central Provinces were formed by combining the Sagar and Narmada districts with the Nagpur territories in one charge under a Chief Commissioner. Some being leased in perpetuity to the British by the Nizam of Hyderabad was in 1883 linked with the Central Provinces, and in 1889 these became a Governor's Province. Companies in Lower Burma were placed under a Chief Commissioner in 1862. Upper Burma was annexed in 1886 and was joined to British Burma to form the Chief Com-

responsibility of Burma, it was placed under a Lieutenant-Governor in 1887, and became a Governor's Province in 1921. Assam was separated from Bengal and was placed under a Chief Commissioner in 1894. In 1905, by the ill-fated partition scheme of Lord Curzon, Assam with the eastern half of Bengal was constituted into a separate province under a Lieutenant-Governor. But when the partition of Bengal was nullified by the King in 1911, Assam was again constituted into a Chief Commissionership, but subsequently became a Governor's Province as well. Bihar and Orissa was also along with Assam, separated from Bengal and constituted as a province early in 1912 and was placed under a Lieutenant-Governor, and subsequently under a Governor. The North-West Frontier Province was created in 1901 by Lord Curzon from districts transferred from the Punjab and British Baluchistan, and was placed under a Chief Commissioner; it retained the status of a Governor's Province in April, 1922. British Baluchistan was constituted into a Chief Commissionership in 1899. The small province of Dacca which came into existence on 1st October, 1912. Agartala-Narayan in Rajshahi, Comilla, and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands are administered by Chief Commissioners. Recently Sindh and Orissa have been created Governor's provinces.⁷¹

The Governor of a province with plenitude of powers and privileges is really the chief authority there. He was so long the ex-officio President of the Executive Council and could nominate a Vice-President to preside during his absence. Before the Reforms of 1919 he was the ex-officio President of the Provincial Legislatures, and though the Reforms abolished this officially, his powers over the Provincial Legislatures were still great. He had the power to summon, prorogue or dissolve the Legislative Council and to order fresh elections. His permission was necessary for the introduction of private members' resolutions for discussion in the Council. All Bills passed by

⁷¹ Keith, *A Constitutional History of India*, pp. 324-25.

the Legislature must receive his assent, and he possessed the extraordinary powers of "certification against the Legislature with regard to all Bills including money grants (e.g., certification of the Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Act) and of veto (e.g., Malabar Tenancy Bill and Calcutta Municipal Amendment Bill)". It was also expressly laid down by the Government of India Act of 1919 that no proposal for the appropriation of revenues or other moneys for any purpose could be made except on the recommendation of the Governor.

The Reforms of 1919 introduced the system of dyarchy or dual government in the Provincial Executive, that is, it now consists of two parts,—(1) the Governor with his Executive Council dealing with 'Reserved subjects,' (2) the Governor acting with Ministers in relation to 'Transferred subjects.' The most important of the transferred subjects were—(a) Local Self-Government, e.g., matters relating to the constitution and powers of municipal corporations and district boards, (b) Public Health, Sanitation and Medical Administration, (c) Public Works, including Roads, Bridges, and Municipal Tramways (except in Assam), (d) Education of Indians excepting certain universities and similar institutions, (e) Agriculture and Fisheries, (f) Cooperative Societies, (g) Excise, excluding cultivation, manufacture and sale or export of opium (which is a Central subject), (h) Forests, in Bombay and Burma only, (i) Development of Industries, including Industrial Research and Technical Education. The principal Reserved subjects were,—(a) Irrigation and Canals, Drainage and Embankments, Water Storage and Water Power, (b) Land Revenue Administration, including assessment and collection of Land Revenue, Land Improvement, and Agriculture Loans, (c) Finance Budget, (d) Administration of Justice, (e) Police, (f) Control of News papers, Books, and Printing Presses, (g) Prisons and Reformatories, (h) Borrowing money on the credit of the Province, (i) Forests, except in Bombay and Burma, (j) Factory Inspection, Settlement of Labour Disputes, Industrial Insurance and Housing.

In tracing the history of the Provincial Executive Councils, we find that Pitt's India Act of 1784 provided for the creation in each province of a council of three members including the Commander-in-Chief of the Province, and since then the old Presidencies of Madras and Bombay have been ruled by the Governor in Council; the Bengal Lieutenant-Governorship remained till 1909 without a Council.¹⁴ In 1833 the number of members of the Madras and Bombay Councils was reduced to two, but the maximum number was raised to four in 1869 though the actual number of the members of each of these Councils and also of that then created in Bengal remained three till the Reforms of 1919. The provinces under the charge either of Lieutenant-Governors or Chief Commissioners had no Executive Councils except in the province of Bihar and Oude created in 1912 after the Partition.

After the Reforms of 1919, there was an Executive Council in each of the Governor's Provinces. The maximum number of Executive Councillors remained four, but it was laid down in the Act that one of the Councillors must be a man who at the time of appointment had at least twelve years' previous service under the Crown in India. There was no statute prescribing the distribution of the Executive Councillors between members of different races; in practice the distribution has been nearly equal. There were four members of the Executive Council in Bengal, Madras and Bombay, and in each case two of these were Indians. In the other provinces there were two Executive Councillors, one of whom was an Indian. All Executive Councillors were *ex-officio* members of the Legislative Council, but their tenure of office or emoluments did not depend on that body. The Governor presided over the Executive Council, or a Vice-President nominated by him from among the members of the Council. The decisions of the

¹⁴ See *Secretary, India: Its Administration and Progress*, p. 41. Arguments for and against the introduction of a Council in Bengal may be studied in Chatterjee, *Indian Policy*, pp. 107-17.

majority prevailed, the President having a casting vote in case of a tie. But this rule was limited by the provision that "wherever any measure is proposed before a Governor in Council whereby the safety, tranquillity or interests of his province, or of any part thereof, are or may be, in the judgment of the Governor, essentially affected and he is of opinion either that the measure proposed ought to be adopted and caused into execution, or that it ought to be suspended or rejected, and the majority present at a meeting of the Council dissent from that opinion, the Governor may, on his own authority and responsibility, by order in writing, adopt, suspend or reject the measure, in whole or in part."

As to the Transferred subjects, the Governor was to be "guided by the advice of his ministers, unless he sees sufficient cause of dissent from their opinion, in which case he must require action to be taken in accordance with his advice." The Ministers were usually selected by the Governor from among the elected members of the Provincial Legislative Council and they held "office during his pleasure." As appears from sub-section 2 of Section 4 of the Act of 1898, the Governor might, in exceptional cases, choose a nominated member or even, a non-member, especially when the Council was not sitting. It was also provided that if Ministerial Government ceased to work, the Governor-General in Council, "with the previous sanction of the Secretary of State in Council, may revoke or suspend the transfer of all or any subjects in the province, and thereupon any subjects released for the time being into the position of reserved subjects administered by the Governor in Council." But in ordinary position the Ministers were primarily responsible to the local Legislature for their administration; their pay and the funds required for their departments were voted by that body, they were expected to enjoy its confidence and on an adverse vote of that body they had to tender their resignation. Thus we find here an application of the theory of the supremacy of the Legislature over the Executive part of the Government. But the presence

in the Legislative Council of a proportion of thirty per cent of nominated members, official and non official, and of representatives of special constituencies like those of landholders or of commercial communities like that of the Europeans was a "solid asset in the hands of the executive." The Ministers were very often some anxious to satisfy the *blair* than the elected members whose chosen man he was supposed to be. Responsibility to the Legislature does tend to be demoralised into subservience to an immovable executive.¹¹ The Governor's interference in transferred subjects was also patent; and the Secretaries, who were theoretically under the Ministers, had direct access to the Governor independently of the Ministers and they had to keep the Governor informed of every important matter in their respective departments. However, the Joint Parliamentary Committee definitely recommended that the interference of the rest of the Government in the work of the Ministers should be as little as possible, and both the Majority and the Minority Reports of the Madras Commission reiterated this view.¹² The Ministers did not form a corporate body like the Cabinet in England, and there was really no joint responsibility, though something like it was sought to be established by way of a convention in a few provinces, notably in Madras, each minister was ordinarily responsible for his own department, and there was no provision in the law for mutual consultation of the Ministers.

Legally speaking, each half of the Provincial Executive was independent of the other, but in actual practice it was not possible to "avoid mutual overlapping of Transferred and Reserved subjects"¹³. As a matter of fact, the division of subjects into Transferred and Reserved "was in the nature of things, arbitrary" and did not give "the Transferred

¹¹ Palanis, *Indian Administration*, p. 142.

¹² Report of the Reform Enquiry Commission, p. 45.

¹³ *Ibid.* p. 113. Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. I, p. 213.

Departments autonomy within their own sphere."¹⁴ In his evidence before the Madhwar Committee, Sir K. V. Reddi, the first Minister of Industries in the Madras Government, stated: "I was a minister for Development without the Forests. I was a minister of Agriculture minus Irrigation. As Minister of Agriculture, I had nothing to do with the administration of the Madras Agriculturists Loans Act or the Madras Land Improvement Loans Act." When there was any dispute about the jurisdiction of the Transferred and Reserved subjects, it had to be referred to the Governor whose decision in this matter was final. But in the preparation of budgets the Reserved and the Transferred halves had to be called together by the Governor to hold a joint meeting for providing each section with funds necessary for its administration. There was no separate finance (*grants*) for each department. In cases of differences between the two halves about the allocation of funds, the Governor had the power to decide as he thought fit. It may be noted that the hope of the Joint Parliamentary Committee and also of Mr. Montagu that the Ministers and the Members should have mutual consultation and expression of opinion, that is, joint deliberation, was not realised except in Bengal and Madras to some extent.

It should be remembered that the dyarchy was declared to be an experiment before the establishment of full responsible government in the provinces. It was something like a bolt of compromise, and its inherent defects, combined with changes in the feelings and political ideas of the Indians, made its successful working impossible from the very beginning.¹⁵

"The widely held view that the *Rajyas* were hollow and disappointing, the Khilafat agitation and the non-cooperation movement, the absence of well-organised political parties in the legislative councils, the existence of communal and caste differences and the formation of political groups on that basis,

¹⁴ 'Kerala Files,' *The Working of Dyarchy in India*, pp. 43-44.

¹⁵ *Chand. India*, p. 238.

financial difficulties and the consequent inability of Ministers to show any tangible results, and last but not the least, the obvious defects of the novel machinery of joint government, "combined to render dynasty a failure." The Reform Enquiry Committee was instituted in May 1924 with ten members under the Chairmanship of Sir Alexander Maitland, the then Home Member of the Government of India and Leader of the Legislative Assembly, to enquire into the difficulties arising from, or the defects inherent in, the working of the Act of 1919 and to suggest future remedies. The following complaints were brought forward before it against the present provincial governments.—

- (1) the impingement of the administration of reserved upon that of transferred subjects and vice versa ;
- (2) the absence of joint responsibility of the Ministers ;
- (3) the absence of joint deliberation between the two halves of the Government ;
- (4) the attitude of the permanent officials ;
- (5) the difficulties in the way of Ministers arising out of the overriding powers of the Governor under the Act ;
- (6) the control of the Government of India and the Secretary of State ;
- (7) (a) the measure of control exercised by the Finance Department ;
- (b) the fact that under the rules the Finance Department is in charge of a member of the Executive Council who is also in charge of the spending department ;
- (c) the disqualification of the Ministers for holding the portfolio of finance by reason of the Delegation Rules."

All things considered, the Maddison Committee did not condemn the dyarchy as completely unsuccessful or irredeemable. As the Governor of the United Provinces declared, it was "a complex, confused system having no logical basis, rooted in compromise and defensible only as a transitional experiment." But the Indian Statutory Commission admitted the force of the criticism against the system of dyarchy in the government of the Provinces. It remarked that the system did not really establish the "principle of the responsibility of the Ministers to the Legislature."⁴¹ In its opinion, the rigid dyarchy was "a standing challenge which other responsible Ministers against the reserved half of government or expose them to the charge of being the subservient tools of the bureaucracy."⁴² The Commission recommended that "provincial dyarchy should come to an end, in the sense that a unitary government should be established," that the conduct of provincial administration as a whole should be entrusted to a provincial cabinet, the members of which would be chosen by the Governor and would have joint responsibility for their action and policy whether they be elected members of the Legislature or not. "Whether the Governor, when he forms his Cabinet after a general election, will include in it one or more non-elected persons (who would thereupon become ex-officio members of the legislative council and ought to be known as Ministers like their colleagues) is a matter which he will decide acting under the superintendence and control of the Governor-General."⁴³ The Governor should have special powers of overruling the Ministers in the following circumstances:—

- (a) in order to preserve the safety and tranquillity of the province,
- (b) in order to remove serious prejudice to one or more sections of the community as ascertained with other authority,
- (c) to secure the due fulfilment of any liability of Government

⁴¹ Report, Vol. I, p. 20.

⁴² Report, Vol. II, p. 33.

⁴³ *Ibid.*

in respect of items of expenditure not subject to the vote of the legislature. (b) to secure the carrying out of any order issued by the Provincial Government from the Government of India, (c) to carry out any duties which may be specially assigned on the Governor personally, such as duties in connection with some serious situations and responsibility for backward areas."¹ Articles 61-73 of the White Paper dealt with the Executive in the Governor's Province. Article 66 provided that for the "purpose of aiding and advising the Governor in the exercise of powers conferred upon him," there will be a Council of Ministers. The Ministers will be chosen by the Governor, will hold office during his pleasure and must become members of the Provincial Legislature. But the White Paper Scheme evolved consensus throughout India and was regarded as no definite step of advance towards the establishment of a really responsible Government.² The Government of India Act, 1935, abolishes the system of duality in the provinces and the dual character of the provincial governments. There are no reserved subjects and no Executive Council of the Governor. The Governor will administer the provincial matters with the advice of a Council of Ministers, who would be members of the Legislature and responsible to it. The Legislature would fix their salaries and they would act on the principle of collective responsibility. But the Governor has special powers in matters of law and order and he has special responsibilities almost similar to those of the Governor General.

The history of the growth and the present position of the Provincial Legislature is an interesting and instructive study. In tracing the origin of the legislative power of the provinces we find that in 1907 the Presidency of Bengal and in 1909 the Presidencies of Madras and Bombay were authorized to make independent regulations for their respective territories. The

¹ *Ibid.*, pp. 25-26.

² It was criticised even by the National Liberal Federation.

14. TEXT-BOOK OF MODERN INDIAN HISTORY

Act of 1853, however, took away from the Governments of Madras and Bombay the power of independent legislation. But this centralisation of all legislative powers was brought with defects. Madras and Bombay "complained of the preponderance of authority which Bengal exercised. The huge extent of territory for which a single council legislated made it impossible for matters to be handled with adequate information and experience."¹² Lord Canning thought that a partial return to the system which existed before 1854 "was advisable," and the Indian Councils Act of 1861 restored the power of legislation to the Madras and Bombay Councils, which were also expanded by the addition of the Advocate-General of each Presidency and other nominated members, not less than five and more than eight, at least half of whom were to be non-officials as was the case with the Governor-General's Council. No demarcation was made between the jurisdictions of the Central and the Local Legislatures respectively, the "previous sanction of the Governor-General was made requisite for legislation by the local councils in certain cases, and all Acts of the Local Councils required the subsequent assent of the Governor-General in addition to that of the Governor," and were made subject to disallowance by the Crown. The Governor-General was directed to establish a legislative council for Bengal and was empowered to establish subsequently similar councils for the North-Western Provinces and for the Punjab. In exercise of this power, legislative councils were established in Bengal in 1862, in the United Provinces in 1866, in the Punjab and Berar in 1869, in Lord Curzon's province of East Bengal and Assam in 1905 (to 1912) and in the Central Provinces in November 1913.

But the legislative councils created by the Act of 1861 had none of the features of a legislature in a modern democratic constitution. These have been described by Lord MacDonnell and Mr. Cowell as "councils by means of which the execu-

the government obtains advice and assistance in their legislation, and the public derive the advantage of full publicity being secured at every stage of the law-making process." The "laws made in the legislative councils" were "in reality the orders of Government." Public opinion, embodied in the Indian National Congress, exposed the inadequacy of the Act of 1861, and the Government thought it necessary to make a move. Hence came the Councils Act of 1892 by which the Legislative Councils were enlarged, an elective element was introduced, and the Councils were given the limited rights of questioning the Executive and of discussing budgets. The "functions of the councils were thenceforward to be more than merely legislative, or merely advisory," and this marked an advance over the earlier measures of 1861.

But events were moving quickly in India marked by the growth of political consciousness, and the Indian politicians demanded greater administrative reforms. In another step of advance came with the Morley-Minto Reforms of 1908, which effected important changes in the composition and functions of the Provincial Legislatures. These "were enlarged up to a maximum limit of 20 additional members in the larger provinces and 10 in the smaller, and the composition was so generally arranged as to give a combination of officials and nominated non-officials a small majority over the elected members except in Bengal where there was a clear elected majority."¹⁴ The greater part of these additional non-official members were elected either by groups of local authorities, landholders, trade associations or universities. The Muhammadan community was given a special representation (except in the Punjab, Burma, and the Central Provinces) by the addition from two to five members to each council, chosen by the vote of a separate Moslem electorate. Thus was introduced the principle of "communal representation based upon the vote of a separate electoral roll, which has survived to this day and become a

¹⁴ *Ibid.*, para. 24.

cardinal problem and ground of controversy at every session of the Indian Council system.¹⁰⁰ No less important were the changes in the functions of the Councils, which were now empowered by the Act to "discuss the budget at length before it was finally voted, to propose amendments on it, and to divide upon them. Not only on the budget, however, but on all matters of general public importance, resolutions might henceforth be proposed and divisions taken. The resolutions were to be submitted and to operate as recommendations to the executive government."¹⁰¹ But there were certain matters like the army, foreign relations, Native States, on which no resolutions could be moved, and the right was thus limited.

As we have already noted, Lord Morley had no desire to establish parliamentary and responsible government in India, and the 1899 Act left the Legislatures as "essentially Councils with Committees attached to the Executive."¹⁰² The authors of the Rameswar Scheme of 1919 justly held that "the reforms of 1899 afforded no answer, and could afford no answer, to Indian political problems. Narrow franchises and indirect elections failed to encourage in members a sense of responsibility to the people generally, and made it impossible, except in special circumstances, for those who had votes to use them with purpose and effect. Moreover, the responsibility for the administration remained undivided - with the result that while Governments found themselves far more exposed to questions and criticism than heretofore, questions and criticism were untempered by a real sense of responsibility, such as comes from the prospect of having to assume office in turn. The conception of a responsible executive, wholly or partially answerable to the elected councils, was not admitted. Power remained with the Government and the Councils were left with no functions but criticism."¹⁰³ They therefore declared

¹⁰⁰ *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, p. 115.

¹⁰¹ *Id.* C. Paper.

¹⁰² Murray McDonald, *The Government of India*, p. 28.

¹⁰³ *Id.* C. Paper, para 28.

that their first object would be to reverse the Legislative Council's work and power.

There was in tune with Indian national aspirations : public opinion for a decade had demanded removal of the defects of the imperfect measure, and the Great War had come unexpectedly to change the " philosophy of politics and of life and to prepare the ground for a change of policy and its declaration (1917)." Imperialism had been subjected to severe strain and tests between 1914 and 1918, and statesmen thought it wise to strengthen the confidence of the colonies and dependencies. The Act of 1919 was thus able to make important changes in the composition and functions of the provincial legislatures. The Legislative Council for each Province was to consist of members of the executive council, and of members either elected or nominated, 70 per cent of the members being elected members (80 per cent in the case of Burma) and not more than 30 per cent " official members." The number of members of each council became much greater than that of the old legislative councils.¹⁰ The constituencies were divided into two categories, general and special : the former including non-Muhammadan, Muhammadan, Indian Christian, European or Anglo-Indian or Sikh (in the Punjab) and the latter including Landholders, Universities, Planters, Mining (Planters or Mining in Bihar and Orissa and the Central Provinces) and Commerce and Industries. The question of communal electorates was carefully discussed by the Montagu-Chelmsford Report¹¹ and it declared that they " were opposed to the teaching of history ; that they perpetuated class division ; that they developed existing relations, and that they constituted a very serious hindrance to the development of the self-governing principle "

¹⁰ As provided by the Act of 1919, there were for Bengal, Madras, Bombay and the United Provinces respectively, 80 members, members of the members of executive councils; under the schedule to the Act of 1919 the total number for Bengal is 125, for Madras and the United Provinces 116 and for Bombay 111.

¹¹ Para 227-233.

Nevertheless, the Joint Authors of the Report had to admit the principle of special electorates to the constitution they were framing and to extend it to the case of Muhammedans and Sikhs of the Punjab. Separate electorates were eventually also provided (although not contemplated by the M. C. Report) for Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians and Europeans. Certain seats were reserved for other minorities, for example, in Madras out of 65 seats allotted to non-Muhammedans, 25 were reserved for non-Brahmins and in Bombay 7 out of 46 non-Muhammedan seats were reserved for "Marathas and allied castes."¹⁹ Thus, remarks Prof. Kule, "instead of national and provincial or local politics, we now have communal politics."²⁰ The Governor was authorised to nominate the representatives of the backward classes and also of 'organised industry.' Besides 'general' and 'special' constituencies, there was another division between 'urban' and 'rural' constituencies. The franchise for the Councils was lowered and the normal qualifications of a voter were based on (1) community, (2) residence, (3) occupation of a house, (4) assessment of income-tax (5) receipt of a military pension, and (6) the holding of a land. Women suffrage was not directly established by the Electoral Rules, but the Legislative Councils were given the power to remove the sex bar, and this was done in every province, though the number of women voters remained very small.

The functions of the Legislative Councils were enlarged and these can be studied under three heads, legislative, administrative and financial. Bills intended to be legally applied within a province were to be passed by the Legislative Council, whether it concerned the Reserved or the Transferred half of the Government. The Council could exercise administrative control in three ways—(a) by moving resolutions, (b) by

¹⁹ Vide Appendix III and Appendix IV of Indian Statutory Commission's Report, Vol. I.

²⁰ *Indian Administration*, p. 185.

putting questions and supplementary questions, (c) by moving votes of censure against the policy of the Government, and (d) by demanding adjournments of the House 'when the House is in session, or a discussion on an important matter of recent occurrence'.¹⁰ With regard to the Legislative Council's financial powers it was provided that the budget for the administration of the whole province must be considered and passed by the Council. So far as the Transferred subjects were concerned, the Council could not do up or refuse any demand made on behalf of these. In the matter of Reserved subjects, the Governor was given the right of certifying that the expenditure provided for by the demand was essential to the discharge of his responsibility for the subjects. There were also four items on which the Legislative Council's vote was not required—(a) the provincial contributions to the Central Government, (b) interest and sinking fund charges on loans, (c) expenditure of which the "amount is prescribed by law," (d) the salaries and pensions of High Court Judges and the Advocate-General of the Province.¹¹ The power of voting supplies could be exercised by the Council only once a year, and as the check of the Legislature on the Executive through the control of the purse-strings was not operative continuously.¹²

The general powers of legislation of the Council were limited in two ways—(1) it had to take the previous sanction of the Home Government and the Government of India through the Governor for introducing certain Bills, and (2) the laws passed by it were of provincial character. Further, the Governor could certify, veto or reserve for the consideration of the Governor-General any Bill passed by a Provincial Legislature, if he thought it necessary for the safety and tranquillity of his Province. The control of the Council over the Transferred Departments was or might be real, but it was only indirectly carried over the Reserved Departments by resolutions, motions

¹⁰ *The Working of Democracy in India*, p. 26.

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 46.

and the reduction of demands or token cuts. In fine, it may be said that though the reforms of 1919 marked an important step in the growth of responsible Government in the provinces, the Legislature's control over the executive, which is the most indispensable element in a democratic constitution, remained imperfect.

The Indian Statutory Commission recommended that the provincial legislatures should be based on a widened franchise by almost doubling the existing electorate and by admitting a larger number of women voters. Certain communities should have separate representation till satisfactory agreement is reached upon a better method, and there should be reservation of seats for the depressed classes. The Provincial Legislatures should be enlarged and the constituencies should be of a more manageable size. There was no unanimity of opinion among the members about the establishment of Second Chambers in the provinces.

Under the new constitution, the provinces of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the United Provinces, Bihar and Assam have two chambers, Upper and Lower, namely, the Legislative Council and the Legislative Assembly, while the others have only one chamber, the Legislative Assembly. The Legislative Council is a permanent body, one-third of its members retiring every three years. The Legislative Assembly will sit for five years but may be dissolved earlier. Representation in the Legislative Assembly was acted by the Communal Award of 4th August, 1932, as modified by the Poona Pact of 25th September, 1932. Representatives in it are elected by separate electorates for each community and a number of seats out of the general seats are assigned to the so-called depressed classes,—officially the scheduled castes. About 10 per cent of the total population of India has been now enfranchised and women have got a much wider franchise so that six million women against 115,000 under the Act of 1919 have received the right to vote, compared to 29 million men. In most respects the powers of the two chambers are equal but the Legislative

Council is not entitled to vote expenditure in the provincial budget. There are votable and non-votable items in the provincial budget, the legislature having no control over the latter.

The relations between the Government of India and the Provincial Governments should also be considered in this connection. The Government of India has continued to be a unitary Government, with entire responsibility to the Parliament of England for its works, and so long the provinces being created to serve administrative efficiency continued to be dependent on the Government of India. As have been noted in the Montagu-Chelmsford Report "the local governments are 'literally' the 'agents' of the Government of India. Great powers have been delegated to them because no single hand could support the Asiatic load . . . the last chance of making a Federation of British India was in 1774, when Bombay and Madras had rights to surrender. The provinces have no innate powers of their own, and therefore have nothing to surrender in a federation. The Government of India must give and provinces must receive."⁹¹

The bonds connecting the Government of India with the Provincial Governments may be studied under three heads — (1) Finance, (2) Legislation, (3) Administration.

(1) Even after the Acts of 1853 and 1858, finance was centralised in the hands of the Government of India, "which treated the revenues of India as one fund applied them to the purposes of the Government of India as a whole."⁹² The provinces were denied "any inherent legal right to the revenues which they raised" and "revenues from all parts of the country converged into one reservoir (the Government of India's treasury), and from this reservoir money flowed back in large or small debits to serve the diverse needs of the administration, including expenditures of the provinces."⁹³

⁹¹ Para. 109.

⁹² *Ibid.*, p. 104.

⁹³ *Palazzo: Indian Administration*, p. 118.

The system was full of various defects. The Government of India had a heavy financial burden, and there was no fair distribution of funds among the provinces. Under these circumstances, as Sir Richard Stodley, who made definite proposals for the reform of the system in 1862 wrote at the time, "the distribution of the public income degenerated into something like a scramble, in which the most violent had the advantage, with very little attention to reason, as local economy brought no local advantage: the stimulus to social waste was retained to a minimum, and as no local growth of the income led to local means of improvement, the interest in developing the public resources was also brought down to the lowest level."¹⁰⁷ The system of financial decentralisation was inaugurated by Lord Mayo's Government in 1870. Each provincial government was henceforth allowed a fixed grant for the maintenance of certain departments like police, jails, education and the medical services, "with power, subject to certain conditions, to allocate it as seemed best, and also to provide for additional expenditure by the exercise of economy and if necessary by raising local taxes. All the residuary services the Government of India retained for its own needs." The next step in this direction was taken in 1877 in the time of Lord Lytton by transferring to the provincial governments the management of certain other new departments like Enslaves, Stamps, Law and Justice and some others varying from province to province, and by handing over to them the revenues from these specified departments for meeting the expenditure instead of making fixed grants as before. "Hence for the first time," remark the authors of the Joint Report, "we meet with a classification of revenue heads into Indian provincial and divided."¹⁰⁸ But the new system did not prove financially successful. "The difficulty of exactly adjusting means to needs remained, and as

¹⁰⁷ Quoted in Sir John Stodley, *India, Its Administration and Progress*, p. 123.

¹⁰⁸ *Ibid.*, 126.

the revenue from the transferred lands was not entirely sufficient for provincial requirements it was supplemented by a percentage of the important head of land revenue, which otherwise remained an all-India receipt. Settlements on these lines were made with the provinces for five years in 1882, and were revised in 1887, 1892 and 1897, not without controversy and provincial discontent. At these revisions no changes of principle were introduced, but the growing needs of the provinces were met by treating land revenue as one of the sources of income divided between the central and the provincial Governments, and further by supplementing the provincial revenues by means of fixed cash assignments adjusted under the same head.¹¹⁰

In 1904 there was a new departure. In order "to give the local Governments a more independent position and a more substantial and enduring interest in the management of their resources than had previously been possible," the amount of revenues granted to a province was definitely fixed. Thus the settlements were made quasi-permanent subject to revision only under cases of extreme necessity and "when the assignment was made disproportionate to actual provincial needs." Thus the provincial governments, being relieved from the old uncertain financial policy and from the fear of revocation of the provincial resources by the Government of India, were placed in a better position of freedom in financial matters. The comparative independence actually created among them an incentive for economy. The Royal Commission of Decentralisation appointed in 1907 enquired into the relations between the Central and the Provincial governments; it submitted its report in the following year but no radical change took place. It was Lord Hardinge's Government which in 1912 made the settlements permanent, reduced the "fixed assignments" and increased the "provincial share of growing revenues" and curtailed intervention of the central government in provincial budgets.

But in spite of all these measures, the Government of India exercised a very real control over the finances of the provincial governments. Having a share in the revenues, the Government of India had a "strong motive for interfering in details of administration." Further, the Government of India completely controlled all taxation imposed in British India and the provincial governments were not granted the power of borrowing on their own credit. "It seems to us," remarked the authors of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report, "that if Provincial Governments are to enjoy such real measure of independence as will enable them to pursue their own development policy, they must be given some powers, however limited, of taking loans."¹⁰⁰ Lastly, the Government of India "exercised control over expenditure in the provinces in the sense of the codes of institutions, such as the Civil Service Regulations, the Civil Accounts Code, the Public Works Code and the like." They dealt partly with the "mechanism of finance, such as the maintenance of a uniform system of audit and accounting, the custody of public money, remittances, economy and such matters;" but they also imposed "definite constraints upon the powers of provincial governments to create new appointments or to raise endowments."¹⁰¹

(2) In tracing the history of the Provincial Legislatures we have noticed that, subject to certain restrictions, powers had been delegated to the local legislature of each province to make laws "for the peace and good government of the province." A local council was not competent to "affect any Act of Parliament nor as a general rule to repeal or alter without previous sanction any Act of the Governor-General's legislative council or indeed of any legislature but itself." Further, a provincial council could not consider any law affecting religious usages of any class of British subjects in India, or the regulation of patents and copyrights, or the relations of the Government of India with

¹⁰⁰ Para. 11.

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.*, para. 112.

the foreign powers and the Indian States. Even in other matters, the law-making powers of the provincial councils, though legally unfettered, were limited in actual practice. They were younger institutions than the Governor-General's Legislative Council, and "a great part of the field" which would otherwise have been open to them was already "covered by the Acts of the older body," which also "retained a concurrent power of legislation for the country at large,"¹⁰¹ on matters like Penal and Criminal Codes, laws for prisons and police, for forests, mines, factories and the preservation of the public health. The subsequent assent of the Governor-General was required for every Act of the local legislatures, and all projects for legislation had to be submitted by the local governments to the Governor-General and the Secretary of State for approval. Provincial Legislatures were still in theory only "enlargements of the executive government for the purpose of law-making."

[I] Section 46 of Government of India Act, 1915, expressly provided for the supreme control of the Government of India over the proceedings of the provincial governments. The Montagu-Chelmsford Report pointed out that the Government of India's control over the provincial governments was "too general and too exclusive to admit of any analysis" and the Government of India "regarded themselves as distinctly charged with the duty of formulating policy and inspiring reforms for the whole of India."¹⁰²

In many ways, India has been one "single and undivided country." The main services which carried out the orders of the provincial government were recruited from England on terms guaranteed by the Secretary of State. Then certain matters like the development of trade and industry and science throughout India "focussed the tendency in the headquarters to formulate and pursue a uniform policy." The Government of India, being responsible for the administration of the whole

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.*, p. 113.

¹⁰² *Ibid.*, para 144.

country to the Parliament through the Secretary of State kept administrative functions with regard to defence, diplomatic relations with the frontier states and the foreign nations, political relations with the Native States, tariff, currency and exchange, the post office, railways and other heads of all-India concern, in its own hands. On other heads, authority and responsibility were shared by the Government of India with the provincial governments in a varying degree. "In the case of such departments, the Government of India functioned, not as a last-hand arbitrating authority, but as a reviewing and appellate power." Lastly, it was the consciousness of the moral responsibility for the good government of the whole country and "for the maintenance of the high standard of public and personal conduct" that led the Government of India to exercise close supervision and control over the provinces. "In the absence of popular control this general attitude was right."¹³³ Lord Dufferin once expressed the view that "it is absolutely necessary, not merely for the maintenance of our own power but for the good government of the country and for the general content of all classes, and especially of the people at large, that England should never abdicate the supreme control of public affairs, or delegate to a minority or a class, the duty of providing for the diversified communities over which she rules."¹³⁴ But there was a strong body of public opinion pressing for decentralisation, and the authors of the Joint Report had stress upon it. With the introduction of the principle of 'responsible' government in the provinces by the Reforms of 1919, the old state of things was considerably modified. "We start," wrote the authors of the Joint Report, "with a change of standpoint. If provincial autonomy is to mean anything real, clearly the provinces must not be dependent on the Indian Government for the means of provincial development."¹³⁵ Our whole scheme must be even and well-balanced, and

¹³³ *Ibid.*, para. 125.

¹³⁴ Lyall, *Rule of England*, Vol. II, p. 203.

It would be ridiculous to introduce wide measures of administrative and legislative devolution and at the same time to retain a centralised system of finance."¹¹⁸

After the Act of 1919 devolution to the provinces was effected by rules made under the Act known as the Devolution Rules and approved by both Houses of Parliament. This devolution was to take place in the "Governor's Provinces," and the other parts of British India remained, as far as the devolution of authority from the Central Government was concerned, essentially in the same position as before the Act of 1919.¹¹⁹ The old divided heads like land-revenue, stamps, excise, income-tax and irrigation, were abolished, and as provided in the Schedule to Rule 3 of the Devolution Rules¹²⁰ a distribution was made between "Central Subjects" and "Provincial Subjects." In case of any doubt as to whether a particular matter did or did not belong to a provincial subject, the final decision rests with the Governor-General in Council. "The principle of discrimination between central and provincial subjects was that where extra-provincial interests predominated, the subject was treated as central, while on the other hand, all subjects in which the interests of provinces predominated were provincial."¹²¹ Accordingly the Central Subjects embracing forty-seven, comprised, among others, the following:—defence, external relations, relations with the Native States, railways, shipping and navigation, posts and telegraphs, customs, cotton excise duty, salt tax, income-tax, currency and coinage, public debt of India, opium copyright, inventions and designs, emigration and immigration, anthropology; zoological survey, meteorology; botanical survey; astronomical affairs, the Public Services Commission; All-India Services, census and statistics, criminal law, territorial changes; trading companies and other

¹¹⁸ *Form 20/282*.

¹¹⁹ *Report of the Indian Statutory Commission*, Vol. I, pp. 118 and 125.

¹²⁰ Quoted in *Ibid.*, pp. 118—119.

¹²¹ *Ibid.*, p. 125.

associations etc., etc. Among provincial subjects, numbering fifty-two, were—education (with certain exceptions), local self-government, medical administration, public health and sanitation, irrigation, land revenue, famine relief, agriculture, co-operation, forests, excise, industries, police and justice, weights and measures, etc., etc.

The classification was made both for purposes of administration and legislation. So far as administrative control was concerned, it was restricted only in the transferred sphere, but in the reserved subjects it remained complete. The control of the Central Government over the 'official part' of the provincial governments was exercised very often and fully in the sphere of "Law and Order." But it was understood that accordance with the growth of responsible governments in the provinces, the interference of the Government of India even in the Reserved half of provincial administration should be "restricted only to cases of unambiguous necessity."¹ In the transferred half, there was no legal restriction upon the Central Government's power of intervention. It could further co-ordinate with the provincial governments and could give its advice in certain matters. These conferences were arranged at Simla and Delhi where Education Ministers and Directors of Public Instruction, Provincial Excise and Agricultural Ministers, Inspectors-General of Police and Jails, and Finance Members were summoned. Certain provincial matters like the borrowing and taxing powers of the local self-governing bodies, fevers, labour questions, infectious and contagious diseases of man, cattle and plants, and standards of weights and measures were "subject to central legislation."

So far as legislative devolution was concerned, the Provincial Legislatures could legislate without the previous consent of the Governor-General in a small category of provincial matters. But on a specified list of subjects², for example, a provincial bill regulating any Central subject,—it could not legislate even

for its own territorial jurisdiction without the previous consent of the Governor-General. This restriction on the powers of the Provincial Legislatures gave rise to much criticism. The Minority Report of the Robson Enquiry Committee made certain suggestions for further legislative devolution.¹¹¹ It regarded the Governor-General's veto power as being indefensible from a constitutional point of view; it existed in other countries and was 'springingly used'. About the obligation of the Governor-General's previous sanction it remarked that the "List of subjects to which it applies at present will have to be carefully reviewed and the area of its application substantially circumscribed." It recommended "that the spheres of action with regard to legislation should be carefully defined" as was done in Canada and Australia, and that on the Canadian model, the residuary powers should rest with the Central Legislature. The Minority Report of the Enquiry Committee also recommended that the undue stringency about the control of the Central Government over provincial legislation should be changed.¹¹²

In the matter of finance also, the control of the Central Government over the provincial administrations was comparatively relaxed. The Central and Provincial budgets were separated and certain sources of income were allocated to the provincial administrations. The provincial governments were given the power of imposing taxation without the previous sanction of the Governor-General on the following heads:—a tax on succession; a tax on land utilized for other than agricultural purposes; a tax on gambling; a tax on advertisements; a tax on amusements; a tax on any specified luxury; a registration fee; a stamp duty. They were also given the power of borrowing for certain specified purposes. The loans were raised "on behalf and in the name of the Secretary of State in Council and on the security of the revenues allocated to the

¹¹¹ Pp. 117-120.

¹¹² Pp. 68-72.

provinces." But restrictions still remained. The Government of India was faced with a large deficit at the beginning of the 1880s and it was decided that the provinces should pay contributions in proportion to their increased revenue so that the budget of the Central Government might be balanced. A Committee known as the Financial Relations Committee, was appointed with Lord Minto as its President, and it settled the share of each province. It was provided that the "initial contributions were to be reduced or increased over a period of seven years so as to conform to certain 'standard proportions' based on the relative taxable capacity of the provinces, their indirect contributions to the Central Government, the relative incidence of central taxes and other economic factors." The settlement, known as the Minto Award, pleased very few; the provinces regarded it as "a crippling levy on their revenues";¹¹¹ and the officials as well as non-officials severely condemned it. The first reaction could be noticed in 1923-24, and it was in his budget speech for 1925-26 that Sir Basil Blackett, Finance Member, announced the "complete and final abolition of provincial contributions."

The Indian Statutory Commission recommended that the process of devolution should be completed by granting the "maximum of provincial autonomy consistent with the common interest of India as a whole."¹¹² It recommended that the "superintendence, direction and control of the Government General in Council over a Provincial Government should be exercisable only over a field defined by the following categories—(1) safeguarding of administration of Central subjects, (2) matters which may, in the opinion of the Governor-General, essentially affect the interests of any other part of India, (3) supply of information on any subjects, (4) raising of loans, (5) employment of All-India Services in the provinces, (6) safeguarding of Imperial interests, (7) questions arising be-

¹¹¹ *Ibid.* in 1925-26, p. 267.

¹¹² *Report*, Vol. II, p. 16.

twice India and the other parts of the Empire."¹⁰⁰ We may compare with this the proposals¹⁰¹ of the Indian Central Committee, which was appointed to sit with the Statutory Commission, but published its report independently six months before the Report of the Statutory Commission appeared—"¹⁰² (1) We are of opinion that the powers of superintendence and control of the Governor-General in Council should be exercised only for such purposes as may be specified, and that whenever there is a difference of opinion between the Governor-General in Council and a Local Government, as to the right of the former to intervene in provincial affairs, the question should be decided in accordance with the decision of a tribunal or body nominated or appointed by the two governments concerned; provided that if and when a Supreme Court is established in India, that body shall be the final judge in such matters. Pending the establishment of a Supreme Court, we would provide that in case of disagreement between the two governments concerned as to the composition of the tribunal, it should consist of three or more persons holding the office of chief justice in India. (2) We would give to the Governor-General power to supersede a local government and carry on the administration in case of breakdown or inability to maintain law and order in the province. We would not grant a similar power in case of financial insolvency. We would, however, empower the Governor-General to take any steps that he may consider necessary to enforce the payment of any debt due by a local government. Where the Governor-General considers that an order issued by a provincial government should be controlled for the purpose of maintaining peace and tranquillity, he should have the power to do so. Similarly, when no order has been issued by a provincial government, the Governor-General should have the power to issue any order necessary in his opinion for the maintenance of peace and tranquillity in the province concern-

¹⁰⁰ *Ibid.* (p. 101)

¹⁰¹ *Report* pp. 464f.

ed " The Committee was also of opinion that two other extraordinary powers should be granted to the Governor-General and Governor-General in Council respectively subject to the approval of the Secretary of State—(1) "to suspend a provincial legislative council for such period as he may deem;" (2) "to take (by notification) any province under the immediate authority and management of the Governor-General in Council." Though the new constitution has provided for provincial autonomy, the control of the centre over the provinces remains, in essence, considerable.

Having thus briefly reviewed the question of decentralisation, we may next study the development of Local Self-Government in India which is but another form of decentralisation.

Modern squarishes¹¹ have established beyond doubt the fact of the existence of village and town governments in India from time immemorial. But these disappeared gradually under the pressure of repeated foreign invasions and political and economic disorders, between the sixth and the nineteenth centuries, so that "Local Self-Government, in the legally constituted form in which it now prevails in India, is essentially a product of British rule. The existing system of municipal administration is for the most part of comparatively recent introduction, while local institutions in rural areas are of still later origin, and have been slow of slower growth."¹² Ignoring, and thus helping on to decay, the traces of local self-governing institutions and traditions of ancient India, that had survived the unorganised medieval ages even down to the nineteenth century in British, and more specially Indian, India has been one of the most remarkable (though largely rare) of

[11] Dr. H. C. Mahabadi, *Governor Life in Ancient India*; Dr. Radhakrishnan Mahabadi, *Local Government in Ancient India*; Prof. Agrawal, *South Indian Administrative Systems*, 49.

[12] Mahabadi, *Indian Constitutional Documents* Vol. I, Introduction, *Legislative, Government of India's Memorandum on the Development and Working of Representative Institutions in the Sphere of Local Self-Government*, Vol. V, p. 1076.

failure of the British Indian administration. Attempts at revival of the indigenous local self-government are now being made, but the links are lost in many cases.

Dealing first with the municipalities, we find that the earliest attempts at municipal government were made in the three Presidency towns of Calcutta, Bombay and Madras. An order of the Court of Directors in 1807 conferred on the East India Company the power of forming a corporation composed of European and Indian members of the city of Madras for purposes of local self-government. A royal charter of 1827 permitted the establishment of Mayor's Court with aldermen but no burgesses in Madras, Bombay and Calcutta, the functions of these bodies were judicial rather than administrative.¹³³

The Charter Act of 1793 empowered the Governor-General to appoint justices of the peace in the Presidency towns from among the Company's servants and other British inhabitants. Besides their judicial duties, the justices of the peace were

expressly authorised to provide for scavenging, washing and repairing the streets," the expenditure on which was to be defrayed "by an assessment on houses and lands."¹³⁴ After the passing of the Councils Act of 1861 the system of municipal government was changed by the local legislatures by a series of statutes and henceforth its history was different in each Presidency.

Before 1862 there was no attempt at municipal organisation and legislation outside the Presidency towns. An Act passed in that year for Bengal proved practically inoperative, and eight years later another Act was passed applying to the whole of India. Under this Act of 1870 and many subsequent Provincial Acts, municipal institutions were established in other parts of India. These Acts "provided for the appointment of commissioners to manage municipal affairs, and authorised the levy of various taxes, but in most Provinces, the municipalities were

¹³³ Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, p. 266.

¹³⁴ *Ibid.*

all assimilated, and from the point of view of self-government these Acts did not present far enough."¹⁴ A step forward was taken in 1909 by Lord Mayo's Government in connection with its decentralisation scheme. It was declared that "local interests, experience, and care are necessary to insure in the management of funds devoted to education, sanitation, medical charity and local public works. The operation of this Regulation in its full meaning and integrity will afford opportunities for the development of self-government, for strengthening municipal institutions, and for the association of Natives and Europeans to a greater extent than heretofore in the administration of affairs." New municipal Acts were passed in various provinces between 1877 and 1879, a considerable increase took place in the number of municipal bodies in urban areas "with well-marked fields of activity," and the elective principle was extended; but "only in the Central Provinces was popular representation generally and successfully introduced." It was Lord Ripon, who in his Regulations of 1882 announced a new policy and established Local Self-Government on a liberal basis. He declared the main object of his reform to be "to advance and promote the political and popular education of the people and to induce the best and the most intelligent men in the community to come forward and take a share in the management of their own local affairs and to guide and train them in the attainment of this important object." In pursuance of this policy, Acts were passed in 1883-1884 which greatly altered the nature of Local Self-Government. The election of members of municipal bodies was extended to the number of half or more in each case, and many towns were granted the privilege of electing a private citizen as Chairman or Vice-Chairman. The administration of the Municipalities continued on the general principles laid down then, till 1915, when Lord Hardinge's Government issued another important Resolution declaring their future policy towards Local Self-Government.

The establishment of the Local Boards dealing with local affairs in rural areas is of recent date. There came one year more after the Resolutions of 1879 and 1882 referred to already. The main outlines of Lord Ripon's plan were:— "Firstly, that a network of Local Boards should be formed in country areas, in addition to the Municipal Committees, the area included under each board being as small as to secure local knowledge and interest on the part of each of the members; secondly, that all the Local Boards urban or rural, should, everywhere, have a preponderance of non-official members; thirdly, that the members should be chosen by election wherever it was, in the opinion of the Local Government, practicable to adopt such a plan; fourthly, that there should be a District Board of some kind which should meet periodically to settle matters such as the rate of the land tax, in which the smaller boards have a common interest; fifthly, as to the necessary Government control, they considered that it should be exercised rather from without than from within, and that the Chairmen of the Local Boards should, as a rule, and whenever practicable, be non-official persons."¹⁰⁰ As conditions were not uniform throughout the country, one general system could not be introduced in all the Provinces, so a large discretion in this matter was allowed to the Local Governments, and the systems introduced by the Acts of 1883-85 in the different provinces differed from one another. The village panchayats form the foundation of the structure of local self-government in the District.

A reference may be made here to other local administrative bodies like the 'Improvement Trusts' founded in places like Calcutta, Bombay, Rangoon, Cawnpore, Allahabad and Lucknow, and the 'Port Trusts'. The former were entrusted with the duty of removing the congestion and improving the health of large cities, and the latter with the control of harbour works, port approaches and pilotage in the chief ports.

¹⁰⁰ *Local Board and District Program Report*, 1881-782.

The Decentralisation Commission of 1909 recommended certain changes in Local Self-Government, and the Government of India in 1915 laid down certain guiding principles, but these did not lead to any practical developments in the field. In view of the changed circumstances during the Great War and the criticisms of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report of the then existing system of Local Self-Government,¹⁴⁷ the Government of India issued a Resolution on the 14th of May, 1918, declaring the policy of the gradual removal of unnecessary Government control and of differentiating the spheres of action appropriate for Government and for Local bodies respectively.¹⁴⁸ It was proposed to make Local bodies as representative as possible and to remove unnecessary restrictions in connection with taxation, budget and the sanction of works and to have elected instead of nominated Chairmen of the Boards.

Under the Reforms of 1919 local self-government became a transferred subject and the Legislature became responsible for the practical application of the policy. In almost every province the legislative councils voiced zeal and interest for the progress of local institutions, and the result was, broadly speaking, "to make the boards, both municipal and rural, predominantly non-official in all the Governor's provinces and to reduce the control of Government to external supervision, by the elimination of the efficacy of Government from the position of chairmen."¹⁴⁹ The Local bodies have not all done in all cases shown satisfactory work and progress, and their workings have been in recent years subjected to adverse criticism. But the defects in their working have been due not so much to the incapacity of the people to govern themselves as to other obstacles, "some having origin in the change to a new system, others from the nature of the new organisation, and others again from local or temporary conditions."¹⁵⁰ The Indian

¹⁴⁷ Para. 13 and 192.

¹⁴⁸ Report of the Indian Council Committee, p. 25.

¹⁴⁹ Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. I, p. 39.

Castrol Committee rightly remarked that many of the defects "were the inevitable result of the suddenness with which the transition from official tutelage to complete freedom was made."¹²⁹

B—THE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT OF INDIA AND INDIAN NATIONALISM (1821—1893)

The political development of Modern India is but an aspect of the Indian Renaissance of the 19th century. Indian nationalism has been "much more than the aspiration of political autonomy. It is the revival of an historical tradition, the liberation of the soul of a people."¹³¹ "No reformation," remarks Hegel very rightly, "is possible without renaissance" and it may be added that no revolution in policy can be effected except on the basis of a socio-religious renaissance and a wide cultural renaissance. The different phases of Indian life were during the 19th century permeated with the spirit of a renaissance, a great awakening; the efforts of men began consciously to be directed towards the redemption of India from the state of all-round degradation to which she had been reduced during a long process of decline and fall the depth of which had been reached in the 18th century. This spirit of revival and renaissance spread from sphere to sphere of the national life, and while deeply affecting society, religion and literature, it passed on into the field of politics and produced a renaissance there as well.

The earlier renaissances of the 15th, 16th and 17th centuries, though rich in cultural and religious achievements, had on the political side led only to the rise of independent nation-states like those of the Sikhs and the Marathas, and could not, for various reasons, evolve one national movement embracing the whole of India, Hindu as well as Moslem, orthodox as well as

¹²⁹ Report, p. 25.

¹³¹ MacDonell, *The Government of India*, p. 27.

has reformed. The Marathi and Rajput, for long the ever disappointing hopes of underdeveloped Indian nationalism, ceased to make history after 1818, and the belated uprising of the Sikhs failed to take root after 1839. The last poor harvest of political revival found the phantoms of the Peshwa or the Padshah perished in 1858. A blank was thus created in the first half of the 19th century, and the frustrated crops of Indian nationalism sought to revive itself in a novel way, turning away from the barren political results of the earlier Renaissance. The arid pale moon of Indian political life set in the Western lands of India, while in the East of it dawned a new political consciousness. Maharashtra went out of the stage, and almost immediately Bengal stepped in to give a new lead. In the 19th century certain factors combined to produce a real Indian National Movement. The first important factor has been the strong British imperialism in India, which has given her political unity under a "hard party" in the midst of various other discordant elements.¹²¹ Secondly, the intimate contact with the West, effected through the efforts of educationists like David Hare, missionaries like Carey, and administrators like Macaulay, brought about a change in the political outlook of the Indians. Western education brought them in touch with the historical and political works of men like Burke, Mill, Macaulay, Herbert Spencer and others, and saturated their minds with the ideas of nationality, liberalism and freedom. Of course, at first "the new wave of Western learning went into the heads of young Indians"¹²² and produced disastrous social effects, but the evils proved to be temporary, thanks to the early religious and social reform movements. On minds chastened by robust religion and literature, the growing acquaintance, through the medium of Western education, with developing political ideas of the West and the stirring history of Western nationalistic movements, impressed the love of civil

¹²¹ Parker Thomas More, *Imperialism and World Politics*, pp. 25-53.

¹²² Lord Rensselaer, *The Heart of America*, p. 41.

identity and national freedom. Moreover, English education furnished India with one of the best aids to national growth, a *Lingua Franca*, which made it possible for representatives from far distant and different provinces to know and understand one another better, to interchange views and form common programmes of action. Further Western Science by cheapening and improving transport and communications, has quickened all the pace of national movements by enabling the leaders of the country to carry on national propaganda with promptness and on a large scale and to come into contact with public men and opinions in other countries of the modern world.

Scholars, poets and religious reformers have also had their share in generating in the minds of the Indians a feeling of regard for and pride in their motherland. Just as the European Renaissance from the 14th to the 16th centuries unfolded before the beaughted people of Europe the classical treasures of ancient Rome and Greece, so the study and rehabilitation of Ancient Indian lore by the Asiatic Societies and by an unbroken chain of European scholars like Jones, Colebrooke, Max-Müller, Monier Williams and others and Indian scholars like Rajendralal Mitra, Mahadeo Govind Ranade, Ramkrishna Gopal Bhattacharya, Harprasad (Haraprasad) Shastri and others.

Turned to India herself recently has been to the Western world the majesty and wealth of the Sanskrit language and the historical as well as literary value of the great body of Hindu literature which is the key to India's civilisation.¹⁴⁴ The religious and social reformers of 19th century India, like Rammohan Roy, Devendranath Tagore, Keshu Chandra Sen, Preeto Chandra Mazumdar, Puro Chandra Saha, Jyoti Chandra Vidyasagar,¹⁴⁵ Devendranath Sarswati, Rammohan Paramahansa and his great disciple Vivekananda (Rammohan Nadi Datta) impressed on the minds of the people the greatness and universality of much of the ancient thought

¹⁴⁴ See Vidyaiah Chari, *India*, p. 89.

¹⁴⁵ See Bhattacharyya Bhattacharya, *A Nation in Making*, pp. 4-5.

of their country, and then served to awaken in them a keen desire for material regeneration on the basis of the best in the past. As it has been remarked by Colonel Olcott: "Disasters exercised 'great nationalising influence . . . upon the Indians."¹¹⁹ Mrs. Annie Besant also has pointed out that "It was Dayananda Saraswati who first proclaimed—'India for the Indians.'"¹²⁰ The writings and speeches of Vivekananda also exerted a considerable influence upon young minds in India. "The source of his adoration," says Sister Nivedita, "was his motherland." "Like Swami Dayananda," wrote Miss Kala, "Vivekananda taught Young India self-confidence and trust in her own strength."¹²¹ The theosophists (much of whose inspiration came from India) like Blavatsky, Colonel Olcott and Mrs. Annie Besant helped the cause of Indian Nationalism considerably by their writings and life's work. The Indian Press and Literature (both English and Vernacular) have also acted as powerful stimulants in arousing national consciousness in the country. We should note here the political influence exerted by papers like the *Indian Mirror*, the *Hindu Patriot*, the *Arctic Star Patrike*, the *Bangalore*, the *Bombay Samachar*, the *Soma Prakash*, the *Salatia Samachar*, the *Siddhar Prakash*, the *Manish Sahasraka Patrike*, the *Gujarat Dastan*, the *Comrade*, the *New India*, the *Hindu*, the *Kashari*, the *Bangaladesha*, the *Arjo Darshan*, the *Bardhana* and others.¹²² Equally important in this matter are the works of writers like Dinabandhu Mitra, Har Chandra Bannerjee, Nabin Chandra Sen, Bankim Chandra Chatterjee, Ramakrishna Das, Rajendrakumar Sen, Dwijendra Lal Roy, Rabindranath Tagore, Sitaram Sanyal Devi-Chaudhury, and others. Bankim's *Ananda Math*, which gave to Bengal and thus to India the famous song 'Vande Mataram,' has been justly regarded as "The Bible of Modern Bengali Patriotism," while more than

¹¹⁹ A. C. Mervin, *Indian National Evolution*, p. 23.

¹²⁰ *A History of Nationalism in the East*, p. 22.

¹²¹ For a comprehensive list of modern papers in India, note the *Indian Year Book*, 1922.

disciplinings of the abundant poetry, songs and music associated with Indian nationalism has been supplied by Tagore and D. L. Roy. Students of history will carefully note that Literature of a creative character has in every country profoundly influenced the course of politics and nationalism,¹¹² and India was not an exception to this.

The origins of nationalism, however, are not only positive in character, but also negative, and often than not nationalism grows on slightly or wrongly based national discontent, and in the process of growth destroys and spoils much. Various economic troubles among the masses and growing unemployment among the middle classes have played their parts in fanning the flame of Indian national discontent. Rightly or wrongly, Indian politicians come to believe in an exploitation of India by foreigners, and in a consequent economic slavery of India. The administrative apparatus in India, again, has been enormously top-heavy and costly. Mr. Wilfred Scoville, Bant observes that "according to Indian opinion, the vice of Indian Finance lies in the fact that in India the Finance Minister looks principally to the interest not of India but of England. Two English interests have to be served first, the Anglo-Indian Administration and English Trade"¹¹³ The famous critic Sir Henry J. S. Cotton remarked in 1895: "There is no great harm in saying that the land belongs to State, when the State is only another name for the people; but it is very different, when the state is represented by a small minority of foreigners who distribute nearly one-third of the revenues received from the land on the remuneration of their own servants and who have no stake in the fortunes of the country."¹¹⁴ Dr. Macdonell, a Presbyterian missionary in India and a cautious critic, writes: "It is not that we have not won the hearts of this people; we have not even assuaged their hunger. The one sin

¹¹² Compare the influence of Literature in Europe before the French Revolution or before the development of Socialism.

¹¹³ *India Under Elphinstone*, London, 1889, p. 287.

¹¹⁴ *More India*, p. 32.

that Britain sets before herself in the government of India like India and Egypt is the bringing to them of a material content. If she has failed to accomplish that, she can boast of no success, and certainly in India she has not succeeded."¹⁴¹ Further, the growing differences between the Indians and the Englishmen in India on all vital questions, and the increase in the feelings of racial bitterness between them since the *Mutiny* have intensified the national discontent.

Thus a combination of many factors has led to the rise and growth of modern Indian Nationalism. The process has taken more than a century now, and the perception and study of this political development of India is by no means recent. The possibilities of this movement were present in the minds of the ablest British administrators of the early 19th century like Warren, Elphinstone and Lord Hastings. Thus Lord Hastings declared after the fall of the Marathas: "A time not very remote will arrive when England will on sound principles of policy wish to relinquish the dominion she has gradually and unintentionally secured over this country, and from which she cannot at present recede,"¹⁴² and this conviction was the basis of his supporting the cause of English education and administrative forms in India.

The history of the National Movement in modern India may be conveniently studied under several periods.—(a) 1825—1850, (b) 1850—1859, (c) 1859—1885, (d) 1885—1905, (e) 1905—1914, (f) 1914—1939, and (g) since 1939. Raja Ram Mohan Roy was not only a great religious and social reformer but he may be justly regarded as the father of the modern Political Movement in India.¹⁴³ Being a strong lover of freedom, he held progressive political ideas and he believed that the people of India "have the same capacity of improvement as any other civilized

¹⁴¹ *The Making of Modern India*, Oxford, 1928, p. 7.

¹⁴² *Quoted in Dadwell Op. cit.*, p. 218.

¹⁴³ For a detailed study about Ramamohan's political ideas reference may be made to Prof. B. B. Misra's P.H.D. thesis on *History of Political Thought from Ramamohan to Tagore*, Vol. 1.

people."¹⁴¹ Mr. William Adams, a Baptist Missionary associated for some time with the Raja, remarked: "... He would be free or not be at all. ... Love of freedom was perhaps the strongest passion of his soul."¹⁴² He fought hard for a Free Press in India and demanded various advanced political rights for her countrymen. The Serampore Missionaries thus commented on the Raja's written evidence on judicial and revenue systems of India submitted to the authorities in England:—" Raja Ram-nath is said to have suggested various improvements, such as the Trial by Jury, Native Judicial Assemblies, Joint Judges, Regular Public Registers, Codes of Civil and Criminal law, etc. ... should be the instrumental in securing these advantages to the country, not only the present, but every future age will justly consider him a benefactor to the country."¹⁴³ The editor of the *Bengal Spectator* wrote in July 1842, "It is to him that we are in a great measure indebted for the concessions in regard to the privileges of natives contained in the late Charter (1833)"¹⁴⁴ A batch of politically-minded students of the Hindu College, like Tarachand Chakravarty, Debodina Ranjan Mukher-padhyaya, Rasik Krishna Mukh, Ramgopal Ghosh and Paryashand Mitra being influenced by the Revolutionary doctrines of "natural rights" and "equality," before Ramnath's departure from India, demanded "radical reforms like abolition of the political power of the East India Company and provision for free and compulsory education."¹⁴⁵ These young-men and some of the Raja's prominent political disciples¹⁴⁶

¹⁴¹ *Contemporary Pathology Booklet-Vol. I* (published under the auspices of the Ramnath Ray Contemporary Committee, Calcutta) p. 16.

¹⁴² *Ibid.*, p. 18.

¹⁴³ Quoted from *Sanatana Dharma*, 24th March, 1833, in *Memories*, Op. cit., Vol. I.

¹⁴⁴ *The Bengal Spectator*, July 1842, pp. 14-15.

¹⁴⁵ *Ibid.*, Chap. II.

¹⁴⁶ "Purings" is high class Bengali literary journal edited by Kirtik. 1940 B.S., pp. 199-202.

like Prasanna Kumar Tagore (1864—1866), Dwendranath Tagore (1794—1844), Kahanichand Mitra, Govind Chandra Datta, and Harish Chandra Mukherjee, who however sought to remove specific grievances through constitutional agitation,—did much to awaken the political consciousness of the people of Bengal during the period from November 1832, when Rammohan sailed for England, to June 1864, when Harish Chandra Mukherjee died¹⁴. During the fifteen and the latter half of the 19th century a number of prominent Bengalis like Dwendranath Vidyabhusan (1820—1869), a notable journalist who edited the *Sama Pradip* since 1855, Kuntodas Pal (1836—1894), Rev Lal Behari D., Bhola Nath Chaudh (1832—1910), Siva Kumar Ghosh (1840—1911), Barin Chandra Chatterjee and Sri Anant Mukherjee and others helped in various ways the evolution of Indian Nationalism. Bankim's novel, *Anandamath*, which has been described as the 'Bible of Modern Bengal Patriotism,' gave to the public the well-known patriotic song *Vande Mataram*, which has become later as the Indian national anthem. Bankim through his writings¹⁵ developed the idea of nationalism as part and parcel of religion and deeply stirred the young minds of Bengal.

The Mutiny marks a turning-point in the history of the National Movement of India as a whole. Whether we view it as a national or a military rising, this much is certain that it served to expander a feeling of distrust of Indians in the minds of Englishmen in India. Before the Mutiny, the British administrators like Woodrow Taylor and Munro (as we know

¹⁴ *Memories of*, vol. II, Chapter II, *Contemporary Political Background*, No. 1, pp. 124—32.

¹⁵ Bankim's political ideas are found in the following works—(a) *A popular literature for Bengal*, 1870; (b) *Poligodharian Pancham Soudar* (1872); (c) *Shamshad Pancham Kavi*, 1879; (d) *Bangadip* (1879); (e) *Swadhinata-Pancham* (1880); (f) *Satya*, 1880; (g) *Kuntodas Pal* (1884—85); (h) *Shingha-bhiti* (1881); (i) *Bangadip* (1881); (j) *Shingha-bhiti*, 1884; (k) *Shamshad*, 1884; (l) *Lehkhita* (1885); (m) *Shingha-bhiti*, 1885; (n) *Anandamath*, 1885; (o) 1879; (p) *Shamshad*, 1884; (q) *Kuntodas*, 1885.

from their autobiography and letters respectively) lived in cordial relations with the Indians; but in the years following the Viceroy wrote Fane, a contemporary observer, to Sir Charles Wood: "the old sympathy with India changed to a feeling of repugnance: the old spirit of content with life and work in India, the old inclination to regard things in an Indian rather than an English light, gave place to a reluctance to stay in India longer than one must and a disposition to judge things by an emphatically English standard."¹¹³ Thus also, in the words of the authors of the Lydney Report,—"consideration of seniority became the primary, if not determining, concern in state policy. The former political liberalism of the administration tended to disappear almost completely, and while reforms, such as Lord Ripon's scheme of local self-government, were accepted, they were rendered more or less innocuous by the safeguards on their working."¹¹⁴ Referring to this change, Sir Henry J. S. Cotton remarked: "It is a queer position to which we have drifted, for the change is complete and the reason is acute."¹¹⁵

There had naturally a reaction on the Indian mind—"inevitably in the direction of a growing resentment at the disappointment of all the hopes England had raised in Young India and at the frustration of all initiative of the gallant and remarkable efforts an ungrudging Indian intelligentsia had been making."¹¹⁶ Thus Renaissance and modernised India suffered for the folly of decadent medieval India, and becoming the supporters of the lost cause of Marathas and Mughals motivated, the supporters of the ideal of a New India after the model of England met with rebuff and hostility. The undercurrents of discontent already generated by Dalhousie's annexations began to spread to wider circles as well as Hindu circles after the

¹¹³ Dadwell, *Op. cit.*, p. 280.

¹¹⁴ *Report of the Commission on Christian Higher Education in India*, Calcutta, 1934, p. 24.

¹¹⁵ *New India*, London, 1943, p. 51.

¹¹⁶ Zarebsky, *Renaissance India*, London, 1953, p. 59.

failure and the suppression of the Mutiny, and in fact we may trace the birth of extremism in India from this time. The Muslim stream of underground discontent emerged to view in several guises, chiefly the Wahabi movement, the followers of which "resented the loss of the supremacy which their forefathers had enjoyed, and hoped to destroy as enemies of Islam the aliens who had ruled it."¹³⁷ In 1861 the British expedition under Sir Neville Chamberlain proceeded to suppress a band of Muslim fanatics (who had been receiving help from Interior Hindustan) at Simsa, some fifty miles above Amroha on the right bank of the Indus.¹³⁸ Referring to this movement Sirle Fort wrote to Cairnes that it was "an evident offshoot of the discontent which lost its chosen leaders in the Nara, Tatta Topes, etc., and which still smoulders in Central India and the Maratha country. From all I can learn, any spark, such as a war in Europe or America, would have been followed by a number of concerted but separate insurrections in all parts of India between the Vindhya Mountains and the Towyehunda."¹³⁹

Racial antagonism and national discontent thus engendered continued to be fed up by a number of events during the administration of Lord Lytton,—like "the vastness, invasion of Cabul . . . followed by the Second Afghan War; the large increase of the army under the hallucinations of the Russian highness; the costly establishment of a 'scientific frontier,' the complete disarming of an offensive and helpless population, although the Russians were untouched; the gagging of the Vernacular Press (1874), the sacrifice of the paper cotton duties as a Conservative sop to Lancashire, and the unreserved and unqualified relief administered by the Viceroy personally to a leading association in the country . . ."¹⁴⁰ All these con-

¹³⁷ Cambridge History, Vol. VII, p. 169.

¹³⁸ "Expedition Leading to the Amroha Expedition," 1861, by D. H. Soltz, published in the *Journal of the Punjab University Historical Society*, December 1908.

¹³⁹ Quoted in Gibbon's *Op. cit.*, pp. 228-9.

¹⁴⁰ A. C. Mawhood, *Indian National Exaltation*, pp. 21-22.

kind to stir national sentiment in India, to a considerable degree. Sir William Wedderburn told Blunt¹¹¹ that "the state of things at the end of Lord Lytton's 'reign' was bordering upon revolution." Local Associations for representing and advancing Indian views and interests had for some years been coming into existence, such as the *Association of Lahore*, the *Indian-Sikh Sabha* founded at Poona in 1870 working under the able guidance of Ranade and Joshi¹¹² and the *British Indian Association* in Calcutta, which held pretty advanced and admirably reasoned political views as early as 1812 when it petitioned the British Parliament on the subject of administrative and constitutional reforms.¹¹³ The British Indian Association was, however, "essentially and by its creed an Association of land-holders,"¹¹⁴ and the need was soon felt of a more democratic body. Mr. (afterwards Sir) Surendranath Banerjee, one of the greatest political leaders of modern India, who had joined the Indian Civil Service in 1871 but had to give it up a year later and had taken up educational work, was then trying, as he has himself explained, through his teachings and utterances, "to kindle in the young the beginnings of public spirit, and to inspire them with a patriotic ardour, fruitful of good to them and to the motherland."¹¹⁵ After a year's efforts Surendranath, with the assistance of Ananda Mohan Bose and Dwarkanath Ganguly, succeeded in establishing the *Indian Association* on 26th July, 1876. A few months before this had been started an

¹¹¹ *Op. cit.*, p. 254.

¹¹² K. L. Datta, *Modern Indian History*, p. 25.

¹¹³ While the origin of this was discussed in E. E. Schattschneider's *P. B. A. Datta on Growth of Political Thought in India from Renaissance recently published by Calcutta University*.

¹¹⁴ Sir S. P. Banerjee, *A Nation in Making*, p. 40.

¹¹⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 25. He remarked in one of his speeches: "Political work is more or less ephemeral, though some the less highly useful. Educational work lies in the elements of permanent order. The essence of the teacher is an ever-enduring empire, which extends over the future. The teachers are the masters of the future. I cannot think of a calling calling than this. There is a heart-repelling task, a sacred mission."

other association called the Indian League, of which the moving spirits were Sair Kumar Ghose (of the *Amrita Bazar Patrika*), Surendra Chandra Mukherjee (of the *Rain and Report*) and Manil Ghose, but it soon ceased to function and its leading members joined the Indian Association, which "soon became the centre of the public spirit of the middle class, and became the centre of the leading representatives of the educated community of Bengal."¹³⁷ Within a year of the foundation of this Association an opportunity presented itself for greater political agitation. The selection of the age limit for entrance to the Indian Civil Service from 21 to 19, by orders of the Marquis of Salisbury, then Secretary of State for India, was regarded as a "deliberate attempt to blast the prospects of Indian candidates" for the highest public service, and it was decided at a meeting of the Indian Association held on 24th March, 1877, in the Town Hall of Calcutta under the presidency of Mahendra Sir Haradra Kishore Bhattacharya to organise an all-India national protest against it by meeting all the provinces "through a series of common grievances and the inspiration of a common resolve."¹³⁸ With this object Surendranath undertook two tours, one (1877) in Northern India and the other (1878) in Western and Southern India. As a result of this campaign an all-India memorial praying for raising the age for the open competitive examination to twenty-two years, and for holding simultaneous examinations in India as well as in England was sent to the House of Commons through Lal Mohan Ghose, as the representative of the Indian Association,¹³⁹ and was attended with considerable success. Thus the efforts of the educated Indians had been creating new hopes and aspirations among them during the reactionary government of Lord Lytton; as

¹³⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 62.

¹³⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 44.

¹³⁹ *Ibid.*, pp. 52-53. Mahendra Surendranath, the Lady Bencoolen of Calcutta, contributed a substantial sum towards the expense of this mission.

Dr B. N. Banerjee has remarked, "In the evolution of political progress, bad rulers are often a blessing in disguise."¹⁴⁶

The administration of his successor Lord Ripon, who was the nominee of Mr Gladstone and a friend of Indian Nationalism, was marked by a distinct development of the Indian Political Movement. Gladstone had thus declared the Liberal creed with regard to Indo-British relations: "Our title to be in India depends on a first condition, that our being there is profitable to the Indian nation; and on a second condition, that we can make them see and understand it to be profitable." Ripon, "a transparently honest man"¹⁴⁷ and possessed of moral earnestness, believed in the capacity of the educated Indians for self-government, and also in the necessity of imparting them further political training, as is clear from his Local Self-Government Bill. As Chancellor of the Calcutta University he declared before the students that "the time was fast approaching when popular opinion even in India would become the irresistible and uncontrolled Master of the Government," and "the Viceroy," remarks Sir Surendranath, "has done more to promote this blessed consummation."¹⁴⁸ He repealed the Vernacular Press Act of 1878 and earned the gratitude of the Indian Journalists. But Indian hopes soon received a check through "the astonishing blunder of the Ilbert Bill."¹⁴⁹ This Bill drafted by Sir C. P. Ilbert, the Law Member of the Viceroy's Council (1883), provided that Indian magistrates and judges should have jurisdiction over Englishmen in the country districts. Lord Ripon sought thus to take an important step towards abolishing "political disqualifications based on race distinctions." But the Europeans in India raised a furious protest against this change which was on the other hand

¹⁴⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 10.

¹⁴⁷ Ilbert *Op. cit.*, p. 3.

¹⁴⁸ *A Nation in Making*, p. 46.

¹⁴⁹ Dr B. N. Banerjee writes that a note submitted by Mr. B. L. Gupta, I.C.S., who was then Panchayat Magistrate of Calcutta, "brought the genesis of the Ilbert Bill Controversy" *Op. cit.*, p. 72.

enthusiastically supported by Indian opinion. The Viceroy was almost boycotted by his countrymen; they formed a European Defence Association with its branches in different parts of the country and raised fund of Rs. 150,000 "to protect the caste privileges of the White Race"¹⁷². The Government had at last to submit to a compromise which provided that Europeans brought before District Magistrates or Session Judges (whether Indians or Europeans) could claim to be tried by a jury, half of whom were to be Europeans. As this privilege was denied to the Indians, the compromise meant an abandonment of the principle of the bill. The Ilbert Bill proved to be a blessing in disguise for the cause of Indian Nationalism. The fight over it, the attitude of the Europeans, and its defeat left "a sickening sense of humiliation in the mind of educated India,"¹⁷³ it increased racial bitterness, led to the growth of national discontent and convinced the politically-minded Indians of the necessity of having a more powerful organisation for national work. "The passionate claim of the Europeans to pre-eminence," remarks Prof. Dadwell, "was to be answered by the passionate claim of the Indians to equality."¹⁷⁴

Already in 1881 in the *Mymore State*,¹⁷⁵ Dewar, Rangachudh (1823—1883) had held a Representative Assembly—"a popular machinery devised deliberately to bring the masses into cooperation with administrative machinery." The Bengal group of political workers under the leadership of Surendra Nath Banerjee launched an agitation for the creation of a National Fund, and a National Conference was held in Calcutta for three days, from December 28 to 30, 1883. On the 1st of March of that year Allan Octavian Hume, a distinguished British officer, who had resigned his service in 1882, had addressed an open letter to the graduates of the Calcutta

¹⁷² *Indians*, Op. cit., p. 185.

¹⁷³ *Indians*, Op. cit., p. 185.

¹⁷⁴ *Op. cit.*, p. 261.

¹⁷⁵ K. T. Paul, *The British Connection with India*, p. 225.

University urging them to form an association "for the mental, moral, social and political regeneration of the people of India—a little army for service in discipline and equipment."¹⁴⁶ This letter produced a deep impression on educated Indians, and in the month of December 1884 representatives from all parts of India, who had come to attend the annual convention of the Theosophical Society at Adyar in Madras, resolved to "form themselves into a group of provincial committees, men from different towns to see others, such in his place, and to meet later for further consultation."¹⁴⁷ These forces brought into existence the Indian National Congress. While on December 25, 26, and 27, 1885 the National Conference met again in Calcutta, simultaneously the Indian National Congress consisting of a smaller group of seventy delegates held its first sitting in Bombay on 27th December under the presidency of Umesh Chandra Banerjee (better known as W. C. Banerjee). It should be noted here that besides conducting the Indian National Congress the Bengal political workers held the first Provincial Conference for Bengal in 1884, and this example was followed by the other provinces as well.¹⁴⁸ The efforts and motives of Home, who has been justly styled as the "Father of the Indian National Congress," were sincere and admirable; he was perhaps guided by a conviction that there cannot be a great shining empire without a great people, and that the people cannot be great by being always kept under a state of tutelage. Besides preparing the ground in India before the opening of the Congress, he also went to England to secure the sympathy of friendly public men there for the proposed political institution and tried his best to guard "the British public against all possible misrepresentation, suspicion and distrust to which the new organisation was naturally

¹⁴⁶ *Allen Octavian Home, C.B., Father of the Indian National Congress*, by Sir William Waddell, London, 1913, p. 52.

¹⁴⁷ Mrs. Baner, "How India Wrought Her Freedom," p. 4.

¹⁴⁸ S. N. Banerjee, *Op. cit.* p. 73.

approved."¹²⁹ He returned to India just in time to attend the Congress as one of the Bengal representatives. The names of some of the talented and ardent Indians who took part in Congress work during its early days may be mentioned here: Surendranath Banerjee, W. C. Banerjee, Dadabhai Naoroji, Pherozshah Mehta, Dinshaw Wacha, Badroddin Tyabji, K. T. Telang, M. G. Ranade, B. G. Tilak, G. K. Gokhale, A. M. Bose, Rishi Behari Ghose, Motilal Ghose, B. C. Pal, Arakindji Ghose, Aryadityanath, Madan Mohan Malaviya, Lajpat Rai, Subramanyam Iyer, Jashwanth Nair, Ananda Charla, etc.

Space will not allow a detailed narrative of the history of the Congress, but a very brief review will not be out of place. The early relations between the Indian National Congress and the Government were cordial. When Hauss consulted Lord Dufferin, the viceroy of Lord Ripon as the Viceroy of India, about his plans, the latter declared "that he found the greatest difficulty in ascertaining the real wishes of the people and that it would be a public benefit if there existed some responsible organisation through which the Government might be kept informed regarding the best Indian public opinion."¹³⁰ Many ill-considered Anglo-Indian officials and non-officials, like Hauss, Sir William Wedderburn, Sir Henry Cotton, George Yule, Eardley Norton, and others, attended the meetings of the Congress and took an active part, while Lord Dufferin in 1886, and Lord Curzon in 1887, actually invited the members of the Second and Third Congress held respectively in Calcutta and Madras as "distinguished visitors" to garden parties at Government House.¹³¹ The first two presidential addresses delivered on national occasions contained a lot of confidence and faith in the British Government. But this attitude of friendliness was visited very soon. In 1888 Sir Auckland Colvin assisted the Congress, and Lord Dufferin, hitherto well-disposed, criticised

¹²⁹ Hauss, *Op. cit.*, p. 20.

¹³⁰ Wedderburn, *Op. cit.*, p. 46.

¹³¹ Zacharias, *Op. cit.*, p. 115, MacDonald, *Government of India*, p. 3.

its programme in his speech at the St. Andrew's Dinner in Calcutta in 1887, and referred lightly to the educated community as a "microscopic minority." In 1890 the Government officially declared that the Congress belonged to that class of conferences which private individuals might attend, but from which "Government officials are necessarily debarred."¹⁴¹ The Congress then developed into an "Opposition to the Government,—not a friendly consultative Opposition but an Opposition which challenged the status and the authority of the Government."¹⁴²

Muslim attitude towards the Congress is an important point for study. Sir Saïyid Ahmad (1817—1898), an eminent leader and reformer of the Muslim community in India, and a strong believer in the "absolute autonomy of India,"¹⁴³ was yet of opinion that in the then state of Indian politics the changes proposed by the Congress would be opposed to the wishes of the Muslims who formed a minority. He therefore decided (1863) to keep his community aloof from the Congress, and three years after stood in definite opposition to that body; in 1868 he started the Fatihiya Association "as a counterblast to the Congress,"¹⁴⁴ and followed it up by founding in 1893 the Upper India Muhammedan Association. It cannot be said, however, that the whole Muslim community was opposed to the Congress; there were from the very beginning some who associated themselves with the Congress. Out of 732 delegates attending the sixth conference held in Calcutta in 1890, 154 or 22 per cent. were Muhammedans.¹⁴⁵ Justice Boda-ud-din Tyabji of Bombay was Congress President in 1887, and

¹⁴¹ Macdonald, *Op. cit.*, p. 4.

¹⁴² *Ibid.*

¹⁴³ He wrote in 1860: "In the word Nation I include both Hindus and Muhammedans because that is the only meaning which I can attach to it."

¹⁴⁴ Zacherian, *Op. cit.*, p. 128; Buxton, *Op. cit.*, p. 128.

¹⁴⁵ Sir Vansay Lloyd, *A History of the Indian National Movement*, p. 45.

Rahmatulla Mohammad Sayani (1840—1902) another prominent Muslim of Bombay, presided over the Twelfth Congress held in Calcutta in 1896 and in that capacity he declared: "It is imagined by some persons that all, or almost all, the Muslims of India are against the Congress movement: this is not true. Indeed by far the largest part do not know what the Congress movement is." The Congress, however, pursued its course. A British Committee of the Indian National Congress was founded in London, in 1890; published a journal of its own (*India*) which in 1892 became a monthly, and in 1895 a weekly paper.

From the very beginning, the Congress agitated for constitutional and representative Government. Its first meeting passed resolutions demanding "the reform and expansion of the supreme and the existing local legislative councils by the addition of a considerable proportion of elected members," "the creation of similar councils for the M.W. Provinces and Oudh, and also for the Punjab," and the right of discussing the budget and questioning "the Executive in regard to all branches of the administration." It demanded besides other things the abolition of the Council of India as being the "necessary preliminary to all other reforms," and the holding of simultaneous examinations in England and India for admission into the Indian Civil Service. It also proposed the appointment of a Royal Commission in order to ascertain means for the introduction of representative institutions into India. The Bombay Congress of 1889 was visited by Mr. Buxton (M.P.) and it was resolved there to send a deputation to England "to represent the views of the Congress and to press upon the attention of the British public the political reforms which the Congress advocates."¹⁰ The members of the deputation, namely, Harn, Ferozullah Mahon, Menonchun Goss, W. C. Bonerjee, Shasthulal, Evelyn Norton, R. N. Modhulkar and Surendranath Banerjee, had to pay their own expenses, but

their mission was successful in the sense that they were able to produce an impression upon some enlightened minds (including that of Gladstone) in England. True to his promise Bala-gangadhar Tilak introduced a Bill in the English House of Commons in 1890 for amending the Indian Councils Act of 1861, but he was unsuccessful, and his death next year was a setback. A Government Bill was, however, passed tacitly under the name of the Indian Councils Act of 1892, the provisions of which had been outlined by Lord Dufferin before his departure and which referred and expanded the Councils to some little extent.¹⁰⁰

The year 1892 marks a turning-point in the history of the Indian National Movement. We shall notice hereafterward the rise of Religious Nationalism or Extremism and Revolutionary Nationalism or Terrorism. The leaders of each school were at first¹⁰¹ opposed to the Westernisation of India, and aimed at securing her complete independence and at restoring her 'pasture purity and ancient glory and prosperity.' The factors which led to the rise of Extremism and Terrorism have been thus summarised by a present-day writer:—(1) the influence of certain external factors like the defeat of Italy by Abyssinia in 1896 and more particularly of Russia by Japan in 1905, the achievement of national unity and independence in Italy under the inspiring leadership of Mazzini, Garibaldi and Cavour, and the nationalistic attempts in Russia, Egypt, Persia and Turkey; (2) the unpopular acts of the British administration in India, especially under Lord Curzon, such as the passing of the Age of Consent Act, 1891, the Calcutta Corporation Act, 1899, the Indian Universities Act, 1904, the Official Secrets Act, 1904, the carrying out of the Partition of Bengal in 1905, the undertaking of a costly expedition to Tibet and the search for a scientific Frontier in the North West involving difficulties with Afghanistan and a substantial increase in military expenditure, the despatch of Indian troops to South Africa and China, etc.;

¹⁰⁰ For Fols, *etc.*

¹⁰¹ Later Nationalists and Revolutionaries have mostly made friends with the West.

(3) the visitations of natural calamities like famine and plague and the measures taken to meet them; (4) the anti-national outlook shown by the Government in dealing with economic questions, such as the exclusion of Indians from higher appointments in their country, the laying of counterworking duties duty on Indian cotton goods, and the manipulations of the exchange policy; (5) the display of racial arrogance, insolence and bad manners by Anglo-Indians, leading to unfortunate conflicts and murders, and the miscarriage of justice in such cases; (6) the unjust and humiliating treatment meted out to Indians in the colonies, especially in Transvaal and Natal; (7) the awakening of the people caused by the new intellectual and religious forces by education in schools and colleges, by propaganda and agitation through the press and the platform, by personal contact at congresses and conferences, and by the work of religious reformers and associations; and (8) the failure of the Indian National Congress in getting Indian wrongs redressed and Indian demands fulfilled by old methods, i.e., by holding annual sessions, delivering reasoned speeches, passing resolutions and addressing petitions to the House of Commons and the British nation."¹²

The instalment of reforms released by the Act of 1858 did not satisfy the hopes of the younger members of the Congress, and they soon thought the mild methods of the Congress, with "moderation and loyalty,"—so be insufficient for securing the political freedom of their motherland. It was at Poona that this extreme section of the political workers first found a field for work, later on it spread to Bengal and the Punjab. The Deccan, which had in the days of Mughal Imperialism produced a national hero in the person of Shivaji the Great, now also supplied the first leader of the Extremists—both by way of a Hindu reaction. Bal Gangadhar Tilak was a man of remarkable intelligence and culture, belonging to that

¹² G. N. Singh, *Literature in Indian Constitutional and National Development, 1858-1919*, pp. 204-61.

system of the Deccan Brahmins called the *Chitrapur* to which belonged the Pandits of the eighteenth century. An eminent scholar with mastery over Sanskrit, Marathi and English, of versatile talents, a powerful journalist, and above all a man of high moral character, Tilak was "prepared to vindicate and uphold the whole Brahmanical position as the one and bulwark of Hinduan and the only sane foundation of an Indian nationhood capable of overthrowing the political as well as the spiritual domination of the West."¹⁴¹ He proceeded to utilize "the Hindu gods and heroes to instill a new life and a new spirit in the people,"¹⁴² and so inaugurated the celebration of the Ganapati festival in 1890 and of the Shivaraj festival in 1895. He bitterly opposed (apparently from the points of view of religious rationalism and political expediency) Lord Lansdowne's Age of Consent Bill of 1890 introduced for raising the age of consummation of Hindu marriages from ten to twelve, though it had been supported by such patriots and learned men as Tulsing and Bhandarkar, and strongly denounced the latter in his vernacular journal the *Kaivali*. While it was clear that the nationalism of Tilak's school was much too narrow in basis and outlook to fully suit modern India, for the time being it proved a force to reckon with. One important feature of Tilak's work was that he tried to initiate the students into the virtues of disciplined action and physical training so that they might develop the necessary grit for organized political struggles. Two dire natural calamities—famine and plague—"seemed to help his designs." Stuart had remarked ten years ago: "Agricultural distress is the major power of revolution in India, and political education, unaccompanied by political poverty, its minor power."¹⁴³ When a famine broke out in 1896 Tilak took his knowledge of the Irish Land League

¹⁴¹ Choud, *India*, p. 89. This writer has described Tilak in another book (*Indian Unrest*, pp. 40-42) as "one of the most dangerous persons of disaffection," and "truly the Father of Indian Unrest."

¹⁴² G. N. Singh, *Op. cit.*, p. 281.

¹⁴³ *Op. cit.*, p. 286.

started something like a no-rest campaign amongst the Deccan peasantry.¹⁹⁴ The famine was followed quickly by an outbreak of bubonic plague, which produced a havoc in the western parts of the Bombay Presidency. The Bombay Government in spite of its sincere desire to save the people from this terrible disaster hurriedly took measures to measures which proved to be 'ineffectual' and unpopular.¹⁹⁵ 'Persons suffering from the disease were separated from persons not attacked with it; houses to house visitations were resorted to, and in Poona it was for some time considered necessary to employ British soldiers on search parties.'¹⁹⁶ All these honestly aimed popular opinion, and Tikh, who had so long cooperated with the Government in relief works, now charged the 'British soldiers with every sort of excess'¹⁹⁷ and imputed 'not merely to subordinate officials but to the whole Government itself deliberate intention to oppress the people.'¹⁹⁸ Unfortunately, on 22nd June, 1897, the date of the Jubilee Celebration of Queen Victoria, Mr. Rand, the Plague Officer of Poona, and his associate Lt. Ayerst of the British regiment on duty, were murdered by two Chitpawan Brahman brothers, Carnadkar and Balakrishna Chaphkar, who were subsequently tried and executed. They had founded in 1895 a society in Poona for physical and military training called the "Society for the removal of obstacles to the Hindu Religion," and this society was responsible for several other violent acts. The Government came forward to suppress the growth of the Revolutionary Movement by repression. Tikh was arrested for sedition on 27th July, 1897, and imprisoned for eighteen months, six months of his sentence being subsequently remitted. The printer of the *Kaust* and the editors of the *Poona Vaikhan*, the *Madanika* and the *Pastor* were also

¹⁹⁴ Adhyape: *The Life of Lokmanya Tikh*, pp. 34-5.

¹⁹⁵ Bhabhai, *Op. cit.*, p. 268.

¹⁹⁶ *Ibid.* *Op. cit.*, p. 46.

¹⁹⁷ *Ibid.*

¹⁹⁸ *Ibid.*

created,¹⁷² and two studies on landlords (the Nana brothers) were, on suspicion of being in touch with the political movement, detained under Regulation XXXV of the Bombay Regulations corresponding to Bengal Regulation II of 1815.¹⁷³ Thus "the Poona murders of 1857 like the Mutiny, like all violence in fact, inevitably exacerbated feelings, both on the Indian and on the English side,—and set the clock back for the time being."¹⁷⁴ as all violent movements in history must.

But revolutionary ideas and activities were appearing in other parts of India. Tilak "through his imprisonment became a martyr in the eyes of his people."¹⁷⁵ and his *Karni* continued to exercise a great influence as before. Bengal was the first to be moved by his ideas and methods. In the Congress of 1897 Surendranath Banerjee expressed these sentiments: "For Mr. Tilak my heart is full of sympathy. My feelings go forth to him in his prison-house. A nation is in tears." Lord Curzon came out as the Viceroy of India (1899—1905) at a time when the political temper of the country was thus disturbed. Under him, remarks Sir Valentine Chirol,¹⁷⁶ who is by no means an unfriendly critic, "a system of Government by efficiency reached its apogee." But administrative efficiency does not always bring national and political contentment, and the means which he adopted for securing this efficiency were regarded by the Indian nationalists as strong measures for tightening the hold of British imperialism on the country. Lord Curzon failed to realise that "India presents not only political but psychological problems of the first magnitude, and with all his intellectual gifts, Lord Curzon, seldom, if ever, showed himself possessed of the spiritual vision which is of the essence of real statesmanship."¹⁷⁷ It was on grounds of

¹⁷² *Adhyaya*, *Op. cit.*, p. 74.

¹⁷³ E. N. Sanyal, *Op. cit.*, pp. 153-54.

¹⁷⁴ Zacharias, *Op. cit.*, pp. 135-36.

¹⁷⁵ *Ibid.*

¹⁷⁶ *India, Old and New*, London, 1905, p. 105.

¹⁷⁷ Chirol, *India*, p. 115.

administrative efficiency, that he tried the Partition of Bengal in 1905⁴⁰⁵ which greatly intensified the discontent of the Hindu politicians of Bengal and was largely responsible for the spread of the Revolutionary Movement. It was introduced at an ominous time "when a little Asiatic Power, Japan had vanquished the giant Russia about whose movements England herself had for a generation shown such undoubted nervousness. Japan's crushing victory could not fail to thrill India from Cape Comorin to the Himalayas, nor to be taken by her as a turning-point in the political relationship between East and West."⁴⁰⁶ The teachings of Swami Vivekananda were also influencing young minds in Bengal at this time by creating in them a feeling of adoration for their motherland, and of confidence in her future political destiny.

In spite of protests from the leaders of public opinion from different parts of the country, the partition scheme was promulgated in a Government Resolution, dated 19th July, 1905, and it became a "settled fact" on the 16th of October, 1905, when the new province of Eastern Bengal and Assam came into existence with Dacca as its capital and Sir Bampfylde Fuller as the Lieutenant-Governor. This partition was regarded by the politicians of Bengal as an open application of the policy of 'divide and rule' intended to prejudice their national solidarity and strength by dividing them into two administrations, "to drive a wedge between the two communities and to create a new Mohammedan province in which the government was to be conducted on the basis of racial differences."⁴⁰⁷ Protest meetings were held in different parts of Bengal and at a great demonstration at the Calcutta Town Hall on 7th August, 1905, a boycott campaign was launched "as a protest against the indifference of the British public as regard to Indian affairs and the consequent disregard of Indian public opinion by the gov-

⁴⁰⁵ *Lord Curzon, India Under Curzon and After*, pp. 263-82.

⁴⁰⁶ *Zacharias, Op. cit.*, p. 179.

⁴⁰⁷ *G. M. Singh, Op. cit.*, p. 373.

next Government," and it was decided "to abstain from the purchase of British manufactures so long as the Partition Resolution is not withdrawn."²¹⁷ As we have already noticed, the partition actually came into force on the 16th October, 1905, and the negative Boycott and the positive Swadeshi programmes were soon accepted with religious fervor by the youth of Bengal.²¹⁸ Vigorous propaganda was carried on by leaders like Surendranath Banerjee and Bipin Chandra Pal, and the Boycott and Swadeshi movements soon extended from Bengal to the other provinces of India. The Congresses of 1905 and 1906 sanctioned both the movements as all-India political weapons of great economic value.

These years are important in the history of the Indian National Movement also for other reasons. In the Congress held at Banaras in December 1905 under the presidency of the veteran and sober politician Gopal Krishna Gokhale, the younger men raised the standard of revolt under the leadership of Bal-Govindrao Tilak, Lala Lajpat Rai and Bipin Chandra Pal ('Bal-Lal-Pal'). "A new term was given to Indian politics, the policy of 'mardism,' as the Congress method was derisively called, was henceforth even more seriously studied, and significantly enough that great Indian San Feitor (and adversary of Gokhale), Tilak, was once more secured with an occasion, as at Banaras he rose to speak on Passive Resistance, on Famine, and on Poverty, and a resolution welcoming the Prince of Wales' that was only carried by an arrangement whereby the Bengal delegates withdrew from the meeting for the nonce."²¹⁹ In the next Congress held in Calcutta in 1906 an open rupture between the party of the Moderates and the party of the Extremists was precipitated by the

²¹⁷ *J. N. Banerjee*, *Op. cit.*, p. 112.

²¹⁸ "Invoking God Almighty to be our Witness and standing in the presence of all generations, we take this solemn vow that so far as possible we shall use home-made articles and abstain from the use of foreign articles. In help us God!"—*Ibid.*, p. 122.

²¹⁹ *Indraprastha*, *Op. cit.*, p. 199.

Act of 15th June, 1908, the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act of 11th December, 1908, imprisonment of Tildak as Maudslayi for publishing two articles in his *Kurien* on 12th May and 9th June 1908, and further deportations under Regulations III of 1878 of several Bengal leaders like Arvin Kumar Datta and Krishna Kumar Mitra, whose influence was based on things soidier than politics, and "who were the leading spirits of the Swadeshi movement"²¹² But anarchism could not be killed: "the revolutionary movement reached the crest of the wave during 1913 both in Bengal and the Punjab"²¹³ and "Indian revolutionaries abroad tried to take advantage of the outbreak of the Great War to get help from Germany to push forward their schemes of work in India."²¹⁴

The leaders of the Muslim community got a new body for the expression of their political ideas in the All-India Muslim League founded in December 1906. H. H. the Aga Khan remained as the permanent President of the League till 1913 and its objects were defined by its constitution in the following terms—" (1) to promote among Indian Muslims feelings of loyalty towards the British Government, and to remove any misconception that may arise, as to the intentions of the Government with regard to any of its measures, (2) to protect the political and other rights of Indian Muslims and to place their needs and aspirations before the Government in temperate language; (3) as far as possible, without prejudice to the objects mentioned under (1) and (2), to promote friendly feelings between Muslims and other communities of India."²¹⁵ The League was thus an association of the loyalist members of the Muslim community who wanted special reforms for it. It had branches in India known as Provincial Muslim League and also a London Branch, with Sir Syed Amin Ali as the first President.

²¹² *Ibid.*, p. 329.

²¹³ G. M. Singh, *Op. cit.*, p. 448.

²¹⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 450. *M. C. Report*, para 21.

²¹⁵ *Manchester Guardian*, April 1908, pp. 346-47.

Thus as against the activities of the Extremists and the Allahabids, there were the Moderates in both the communities whom the Government tried to satisfy by conciliatory measures. In the midst of this political stage came the Morley-Minto Reforms which were at least welcomed by the Moderate leaders of the Congress as "an involvement of what India was entitled to claim."¹¹¹ The Madras Congress of 1906 had expressed its "deep and general satisfaction at the Reforms proposals formulated in Lord Morley's despatch" and Mr. Gokhale had described them in December 1906 "as modifying the bureaucratic character of the Government and offering the elected representatives responsible association with the administration. He looked to local self-government to provide the real school of political education, and anticipated that Indians would now have full control and management of local affairs."¹¹² But in actual working the Reforms were found to be unsatisfactory and in August, 1910, the moderate leader Gokhale himself declared in the Imperial Legislative Council:—"Now, my Lord, we will leave that once the Government have made up their mind to adopt a particular course, nothing that the non-official members may say in the Council is practically of any avail in bringing about a change in that course."¹¹³

From that time other factors also began to influence Indian politics. The treatment meted out by the Union Government of South Africa to the Indians there, against which Mr. Gandhi had started the Satyagraha movement, the humiliating position of the Indians in the other British colonies, and the question of Indian immigration to the Crown Colonies which had come into

¹¹¹ Chitral, India, p. 124.

¹¹² M. C. Report, para 95.

¹¹³ Proceedings of the Imperial Legislative Council, Vol. XLIX, p. 15.

"We may be permitted to comment, that giving a subject people the right to exercise, without the right to vote in England did as India and America did in the Philippines, cannot be anything but a half-way house."
Hans, *Imperialism and World Politics*, p. 308.

existence after the abolition of slavery in 1833, aroused strong feelings of discontent and indignation.¹⁹²

The World War of 1914 considerably influenced the course of Indian politics. After its outbreak, India rendered splendid assistance to the Allies through men, money and munitions. The testimony of the late Lord Bickenhead on this point deserves quotation: "The winter campaign of 1916-17 would have witnessed the loss of the Channel ports but for the stubborn valour of the Indian Corps... Without India, the War would have been immensely prolonged, if indeed without her help it could have been brought to a victorious conclusion... India is an invaluable asset to the mother country."¹⁹³ At the same time the War "induced in India a new psychology. It brought to her a new sense of self-respect and self-reliance, and hastened enormously the development of consciousness amongst her peoples."¹⁹⁴ This led the Hindus and the Mohammedans to think and act often in terms of one India, and the Moderates and the Extremists to merge their differences in more or less a common political programme. A new generation of educated Muslims had now grown up, and being profoundly influenced by the nationalist movements in Turkey and Persia, the war between Italy and Turkey, the agreement between Russia and England regarding Persia, and still more by the Balkan Wars, they came to realise that their true interests were identical with those of the Hindus. The bid for Hindu-Muslim unity made in 1911 by Sir W. Wedderburn, who had come from England to preside over the Congress at Allahabad in 1910, Sir Surendranath Banerjee, Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya, Sir Ibrahim Rahimtoola and Momen Hassan Ismail, Matheran Hag and M. A. Jinnah had indeed failed; but at the annual session of the All-India Muslim League held at Lucknow on 22nd March, 1913, under the presidency of Sir Ibrahim Rahimtoola, its " creed "

¹⁹² G. V. Smith, *Op. cit.*, pp. 456-457.

¹⁹³ Quoted in *Asquith, Indian Constitutional Problems*, p. 146.

¹⁹⁴ Gokhale, *Pala, notes on Transitions*, p. 22. Compare also pages 23 and 28 of the M. C. Report.

was changed and henceforth the aim of the League was declared to be "the attainment of self-government for India along with the other communities." The Karachi Congress of December 1913 under the presidency of Nurul-Said Mahomed Bahadur welcomed this change in the constitution and ideal of the Muslim League, and ultimately in 1916 the Congress and the League formulated a joint scheme of reforms and the two communities then united themselves together in their political demands.²⁰¹

From 1906 to 1916 the Congress was under the control of the Moderates, but their leadership passed away after the death of Gokhale on 19th February, 1915, and of Pherozshah Mehta nine months later. The Extremists now reentered the Congress in huge numbers²⁰² at the Lucknow session of the Congress in December 1916, which still "represented all shades and schools of political thought."²⁰³ Tilak (released in June 1914) for the first time after the Surat Session received an enthusiastic welcome. Mrs. Annie Besant was also "almost unanimously accepted as one of the most influential leaders of the new political life and thought in the country." In order to give effect to the political part of her comprehensive scheme for the regeneration of India, Mrs. Besant had joined the Indian National Congress early in 1904 and had started two papers,—the *Commonwealth*, a weekly, on 16th January, 1914, and the *New India*, a daily, on 14th July of the same year. She started the Home Rule League by a formal meeting in Madras in September 1916, and this organisation cooperated with another Home Rule League which had been inaugurated at Poona by Mr. Tilak in April 1916 and together carried on vigorous propaganda throughout India in support of the 'Congress-League Scheme.' The

²⁰¹ M. C. Rajeswari, *passim*.

²⁰² "When the Congress met (at Lucknow) it was plain that the Left Wing of the National Party, commonly called the Extremists, as represented by the influence of Mrs. Besant and Mr. Tilak, were in a dominant majority." India in 1917, p. 28.

²⁰³ Zaidar, *Op. cit.*, p. 182.

needs of the Muslims in India were also deeply stirred by the war of the Allies against Turkey, especially since 1916 when the Sherif of Mecca had rebelled against his overlord, the Sultan of Turkey, who was the Khalif of all the Faithful, and had in "Muslim eyes become England's tool." Thus there was discontent and agitation on all sides, and "the situation demanded a new handling."¹⁰¹ Mr. D. Graham Pole, while travelling through India in the cold weather of 1916-17, had "an opportunity of realising how much unrest and dissatisfaction there was in India and how necessary it was for some declaration to be made by the British Government indicating a generous policy of self-determination for India."¹⁰² At such a time came the Report of the Mesopotamia Commission, which had been appointed by the Parliament in 1916 to enquire into the reasons leading to the disaster of the Mesopotamian campaign (from 5th November, 1914 to 29th April, 1918), which had been undertaken by the Government of India and its army. The Report of the Commission strongly condemned the conduct of the Mesopotamian campaign as carried on by the Government of Lord Hardinge and by Mr. Chamberlain, the Secretary of State for India, and in July 1917 the latter had to resign. He was succeeded by Mr. E. S. Montagu, who on 20th August, 1917, made the following historic declaration in the House of Commons — "The policy of His Majesty's Government, with which the Government of India are in full accord, is that of the increasing association of Indians in every branch of the administration and the gradual development of self-governing institutions with a view to the progressive realisation of responsible government" in India as an integral part of the British Empire." The Montagu Mission arrived in India on 10th November, 1917. After various consultations and enquiries the Secretary of State and the Viceroy, Lord Chelmsford, formulated a joint scheme of reforms which were approved by the other members of the

¹⁰¹ *India in Transition*, p. 29.

¹⁰² *M. C. Report*, para 28.

Montagu Mission, Lord Dunsborough, Mr. Charles Roberts, M.P., Mr. (later Sir) Roper Bhanu Sen, and Sir William Duke, from the India Office. This scheme of reform was embodied in a report to which both Mr. Montagu and Lord Chelmsford affixed their signatures and which was published on 26th July, 1918, after Mr. Montagu had returned to England in May. Its publication however raised "an angry outcry from the Extremist organs."¹⁷¹ Mrs. Besant denounced the scheme as being "unworthy to be offered by England or to be accepted by India." "The Montagu Scheme," observed Tilak, "is entirely unacceptable,"¹⁷² and he started a violent agitation calling upon the people to "stand firm by the Congress-League Scheme as an irreducible minimum." The Moderates, however, welcomed the reforms proposed. They did not attend the special session of the Congress held at Bombay at the end of August 1918; on the contrary they met in a party Conference in November 1918 at Bombay in order to express the views of the Moderates on the 'Montagu' Reforms, and formed an organisation distinct from the Congress, known as the Indian National Liberal Federation. The Muslim organisations also supported the Reforms on the whole, while putting forward criticisms from the standpoint of their special interests. Thus on the Reforms question was broken up the mass of the political parties in India which had been secured during the last years of the War.

The Government of India Act, 1919, received royal assent on 23rd December of that year, and the Reforms were actually introduced in India on 1st January, 1920. But as Mrs. Besant, "economic, natural and political," created an "unfortunate situation" in India at that time¹⁷³ which made the success of the Reforms doubtful from the date of their inauguration. There was certainly a dangerous atmosphere in the country in 1919, especially in the province of the Punjab. The influence

171 S. N. Banerjee, *Op. cit.*, p. 361.

172 For other views of prominent Congressmen, vide Acharya, *The Life of Lokmanya Tilak*, pp. 250-51.

173 G. H. Singh, *Op. cit.*, p. 143.

of economic factors in the growth of political movements can hardly be overstated. In fact the great political movements in history like the French Revolution of 1789 and others had many economic causes as well as their own. Similarly in Post-War India, economic troubles accentuated political discontent. Additional reasons to meet war expenses proved to be a hardship on the people, and rise in prices, especially of such commodities as cotton goods, kerosene, salt, oil and spices, produced distress throughout the country.¹⁴⁰ There was acute poverty by epidemic extent, notably in Champaran in Bihar, where the tenants protested against the alleged oppression of the Indigo Planters, and in the Kaira (Kheda) district of Gujarat where the tenants demanded reduction of revenue in view of the bad harvest of 1917 caused by heavy rains. Nature also became cruel, and the sufferings of the needy people were highly aggravated by epidemics of plague and influenza in 1917 and 1918. To these were added certain political factors together to produce an acute general discontent. The Rowlett Act and the Anarchical and Revolutionary Crimes Act of March 1919, the tragedy at Jallianwalla Bagh, Amritsar, and the proclamation of martial law in the Punjab by its Lieutenant-Governor, Sir Michael O'Dwyer—all aroused a "vehement opposition" against the Government. The Khilafat question, or the fate of Turkey during and after the War, agitated the minds of the Muslims in India and once again brought about a temporary Hindu-Muslim unity fostered by Mr. Gandhi on the one hand and the Ali Brothers (Mirza Asaf-ud-Daula Ali and Mirza Asad Ali) on the other, as much for the sake of unity as for national expediency. The attitude of the all-India workers towards the Reforms led the Indian public to apprehend that they would not work the Reforms or would try to render them

¹⁴⁰ " . . . despite all that the Government could do, their high prices and shortage of food caused the greatest distress and embarrassment to all. They have passed most heavily on the poorer classes and on people living on small fixed incomes in the towns, but the effect of these has not been felt by every section of the community." *India in 1918*, p. 32.

hills. So, instead of being content with the Returns, Post-War India was ruffled by a great unrest, in the midst of which Mr. Gandhi started the Non-cooperation Movement, which was supported by a majority of votes at a special session of the Congress held in Calcutta in September 1920 under the presidency of Lala Lajpat Rai, with a view to secure India's independence by "bringing moral pressure to bear on power-mongers." This movement remained vigorous till 1924. Mr. Gauri has thus described the nature and course of post-War politics in India :—"The wave of unrest which swept through the country after the War, was totally unlike any of the earlier periods of agitation. It showed itself in many different ways—in the clamour against the Sedition Act which led to the tragedy at Amritsar; in the Khilafat movement which induced hundreds of hard-working Muhammadan youths to sell all they had and march into Afghanistan; in the resurgence of political dissents and murders in Bengal; in the non-cooperation movement led by Mr. Gandhi which caused the partial boycott of the new legislatures, and introduced amongst the educated classes a new ideal and a new method of corporate action; in the widespread release of raw forces which resulted in the Moplah rebellion in the South, in the horrors of Chauri-Chauri in the North, and in the peasant agitation in Gujarat. Compared with these manifestations the pre-War agitations in Maharashtra and Bengal seem provincial and amateur. Tilak had never attempted to confront the Modern 'foreigners,' he had fought the reformist Hindus with as much zeal as he had harassed the British; he was nothing of a democrat at heart, and was too profoundly contemptuous of Western ideas to hate them. His death in 1919 left the field open to Mr. Gandhi, who promptly captured the Congress and wrenched the nationalist movement out of its old groove. He had knowledge and ideas; he understood the West enough to fear and dislike it, and above all he was 'Mahatma,' and thus linked in the minds of men those dreams and ideals which are the very essence of the Hindu religion. The new phase of nationalism was based

enough to include the Moslems and sufficiently popular to attract the masses."²⁰¹

But this unity among the political leaders of India did not last long. The tariff of absolute non-cooperation did not appeal to many Indians whose political education was in the best traditions of the West. A batch of such nationalists formed themselves into the 'Swrajist' party in 1923 under the guidance of Mr C. R. Das in Bengal, Pandit Motilal Nehru in Upper India and Mr N. C. Kelkar in the Deccan. They followed the Panislamic tactics of obstruction for "mending or mending" the new constitution by entering the Legislative Assembly and the Provincial Councils. The Swrajists exercised a dominating influence in Indian politics till the untimely death of Mr C. R. Das in June 1925.

Another disintegrating factor in Indian nationalism during recent years had been the re-emphasising of Hindu-Muslim divergences and the growth of communalism which has hindered considerably all subsequent attempts towards the solution of Indian problems. "Communal differences in general, and Hindu-Muslim differences in particular are commonly referred to," writes Mr. Gokhale Fuls, "as if they were essentially religious in their origin. That is certainly not the case. They often have a purely secular origin, and arise from the anxiety, or sometimes from the exploitation of the anxiety of the different communities in India to see their claims adequately recognised in any future constitution."²⁰² The Muslim League was revived by Mr. Jinnah in 1924 and Hindu-Muslim unity disappeared, leading to serious communal riots in different parts of the country (e.g., Calcutta riots of April 1926).²⁰³ After the abolition of the Khilafat by the Ghazis in March 1927,

²⁰¹ *An Indian Commonwealth*, p. 126.

²⁰² *India in Transition*, p. 52.

²⁰³ Ghosh, *India*, pp. 294-5. *Report of the Indian Swaraj Commission*, Vol. I, pp. 28-30.

'Khilafat' lost all colour & life.'¹⁹⁴ and the common platform of Gandhians and Abites gave way. We may note in this connection the attempts of the Akalis, a reforming branch of the Sikhs in the Punjab under the guidance of a directorate called the Shriromani Gurmukh Prbhandhak Committee, to bring their holy shrines under taxation for the whole community by dispossessing the Mohanis, which led to violence and disorder throughout the Punjab, until a settlement was reached in 1925. Thus 'communal dissensions were an open sore throughout India, and were effectively paralyzing any attempt to set on foot a nation-wide campaign of agitation against Government.'¹⁹⁵

When Lord Irwin took up the viceroyalty of India in 1926 it was 'an India of despair. All efforts in India to arouse the evil spirit of conservatism had met with only partial success, and all the efforts which had been made by political India to drive home to the Government the necessity of constitutional revision had met with even less success. Neither the friendly reasoning of the first Assembly, nor the gesture of co-operation implied by the entry of the Swarajist or Congress Party into the second Legislative Assembly, nor the unanimous request of all shades of opinion which was embodied in the National Demand made by the Indian Legislative Assembly in September 1926, had induced any change.'¹⁹⁶ of the Act of 1919. Following upon such a state of affairs the year 1927 came with certain new factors to influence the course of politics. Firstly, the breach in Anglo-Russian relations was followed from 1927 by Communist agitation in India,¹⁹⁷ where the Government had already apprehended the entrance of Bolshevik influences. Secondly, the Cape Town Pact, concluded between the Union of South Africa and India, through the intermediation of the Right Honourable Y. S. Sastryrao Sastry, guaranteeing favourable

¹⁹⁴ Zaidin, *Op. cit.*, p. 222.

¹⁹⁵ *Ibid.* in 1924-25, p. 309.

¹⁹⁶ Graham, *Pols.*, *Op. cit.*, p. 42.

¹⁹⁷ Zaidin, *Op. cit.*, p. 246.

' *Purna Swami* ' or complete independence, and Congress Workers' and Peasants' Associations had sprung up everywhere and all industrial centres were disturbed by strikes throughout 1928. The moderation and sincerity of Lord Irwin, the then Viceroy of India, and of the Labour Cabinet of Mr. MacDonald formed for the second time in June 1928, exercised a restraining influence. Lord Irwin visited England in June, and there he remained in close consultation with the Cabinet till October 1928, and within a week after his return to India made his famous declaration of 31st October, 1928, that he was " authorised by His Majesty's Government to state clearly that, in their judgment, it is implicit in the Declaration of 1917 that the natural issue of India's constitutional progress, as there contemplated, is the attainment of Dominion Status." It was also made clear, through the publication of the correspondence between the Statutory Commission and His Majesty's Government, that what the publication of that Commission's Report, a Round Table Conference of British and Indian delegates would be convened in London for the elaboration of a new constitution for India. The Viceroy's generous pronouncements " produced a very generous response in India " " In fact," remarks Mr. Graham Pole, " a change had been accomplished which even the most hopeful amongst us had despaired of in the preceding months."¹⁴ A manifesto issued from Delhi bearing signatures of all the prominent political leaders of India, in reply to the Viceroy's declaration, " appreciated the sincerity underlying the declaration " and " tendered cooperation to H. M.'s Government in their effort to evolve a scheme of Dominion Constitution," and it held it " essential that the public should be made to feel that a new era has commenced " and it therefore considered it " vital for the success of the proposed Conference that there should be a general amnesty, and that the Indian National Congress should have predominant representation on it."

¹⁴ *Op. cit.*, p. 132

But all these bright hopes were frustrated by the force of opposition which was launched in England against the Rowlatt policy. A campaign started there, against the Viceroy's pronouncement and against the use of the term "Dominion Status" by a "considerable section of the Press and a number of prominent politicians" (Conservatives as well as Liberals) "however ill-considered and however true it may have represented the true mind of Britain, revived doubts in India as to the sincere intention of Britain to fulfil her repeated pledges."¹⁰⁰ This opposition led to the re-emergence of a militant spirit in the Lahore Session of the Indian National Congress held in December 1919 under the presidency of the Younger Nehru. This Congress declared that the goal of the Congress "is the attainment of complete independence for India" and that therefore "nothing is to be gained in existing circumstances by the Congress being represented at the proposed Round Table Conference;" it also authorized the All-India Congress Committee, "whenever it deemed fit, to launch a programme of Civil Disobedience, including the non-payment of taxes, under such safeguards as might be considered necessary." The Indian Liberals, the Indian States, and the Indian Muslims under the leadership of the Ah. brothers with their Khilafat organisations, cooperated with the Government and stood against the Civil Disobedience movement, which was formally launched by Mr. Gandhi on 4th April, 1930, by starting the illegal preparation of salt on the Dandi beach. This movement led to riots and disorders, the Indian leaders like Gandhi, Jawaharlal Nehru, Motilal Nehru, Abanindranath Tagore, (Mr.) Surendra Naik, J. M. Sengupta and others were imprisoned, and the Government promulgated special Ordinances to cope with particular aspects of the movement. The peace negotiations between Sir Tej Bahadur Sapru and Mr. Jinnah on the one hand and the Viceroy on the other could lead to no compromise.

Meanwhile, the first Round Table Conference was ceremonially opened on 12th November, 1930, and was adjourned sine die on 19th January, 1931. On that day the Prime Minister made a stirring statement, declaring that a "mutual understanding of intention and difficulty, gained under such conditions as have prevailed here, is by far the best way for discovering ways and means of settling differences and satisfying claims. His Majesty's Government will strive to secure such an amount of agreement as will enable the new Constitution to be passed through the British Parliament and to be put into operation with the active goodwill of the people of both countries."¹⁰⁰ A change of policy was accordingly inaugurated in India; on 25th January, 1931, Lord Irwin released Gandhi unconditionally as well as all members of the Congress Executive in order to "give an opportunity for them to consider the Premier's statement at the Round Table Conference." Through the efforts of Sir T. B. Sapsa, Mr. Jayakar and the Rt. Hon. V. S. S. Sastri, an interview was arranged between the Viceroy and Mr. Gandhi, and on 12th February "the half-naked fakir," to use Mr. Churchill's phrase, "strode up the steps of the Viceroy's palace," and on 5th March, 1931, "Mahatma Irwin and Mahatma Gandhi," as Sir Phoenix Sathia put it, signed the Delhi Pact, on the terms that Civil Disobedience will be discontinued, that boycotting of British goods "as a political weapon" will cease, though "swadeshi" propaganda and peaceful and unaggressive picketing will be permitted, that there would be no organized defiance of laws, and the question of police excesses will not be pursued,—while on the other hand all Ordinances will be withdrawn, and the Government will declare a general amnesty. About the Round Table Conference it was agreed that "steps will be taken for the participation of the representatives of the Congress in the further discussions that are to take place on the scheme of constitutional reform."¹⁰¹ The Pact was not well

¹⁰⁰ Quoted in Appendix B of India in 1931-32.

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.*, Appendix III.

received by the Extremists on either side, but Mr. Gandhi was able through the force of his magnetic personality to secure for it the support of the Congress at its annual session held at Karachi on 28th March, 1931. According to the impulsive decision of the Congress Working Committee dated 2nd April, 1931, Mr. Gandhi went as the sole delegate of the Congress to attend the second session of the Round Table Conference (September 7th–December 1st, 1931), which after various discussions formulated a draft constitution for India. Gandhi's work in London was, however, not fruitful and constructive, and he could not gain anything impressive: he was again detained as a state prisoner on return to India on 4th January, 1932, for expressing his intention of taking up civil disobedience once again. Thus the country was again in the midst of disorders and turmoil for the greater part of 1932. The question of communal representation and of the place to be given to the Depressed Classes in the new constitution aggravated the agitation, especially after the publication of the Prime Minister's 34th MacDonald's Communal Award in August 1932. The situation improved after the formal acceptance of the Poona Pact, an agreement under Gandhi's influence between the Caste Hindus and the Depressed Classes, by the Prime Minister on behalf of the Government. The third session of the Round Table Conference convened in London (November 17th–December 24th, 1931) made its own recommendations. The year 1933 was one of comparative tranquillity, though disturbed by an almost universal protest against the "White Paper" proposals, and by a growing dissatisfaction with the Poona Pact, especially in Bengal.¹⁰⁰ Owing to a persistent "defiant" campaign in England and India against the White Paper and further political advances, and the delay in the coming of the

¹⁰⁰ Sir M. N. Sanyal, Advocate General of Bengal and a delegate to the Round Table Conference (subsequently Law Minister in the Indian Council) tried to allay the Indian and English public in the faculty, the hostility and uncoöperating character of the Communal Award and the Poona Pact with considerable success.

expected new constitution, there grew a general sense of uneasiness in the country. The renewal of unlawful activities by the Congress since January, 1932 led to a series of Government measures directed against various Congress bodies and funds, and the Congress could not function as before. Within Congress circles, however, currents gradually became divided, and movements were visible towards renewed attempts at Hindu-Muslim unity, and at cooperation with other political parties and the Government, by withdrawal of Civil Disobedience by county. The Bihar earthquake catastrophe (18th January, 1934) gave an opportunity for the collaboration of the Congress and the Government. Again and after Muslim leaders tried to unite with the Hindus again; the *Swamijit* or Council Entry Party was reformed within the Congress which ran an electoral board for the next elections, and the ban on the Congress and its organisations was lifted. On the other hand, there had been during the last few years a marked recrudescence of terrorism, especially in Bengal, where measures of economic betterment were now introduced with a view to supply a radical remedy of that political disaster.

By the middle of 1934 the Civil Disobedience Movement was formally withdrawn. The Congress became once more a constitutional organisation, most of its activities being regarded as legitimate and lawful. Though Gandhi retired from the Congress and active politics, he remained the real moving force, his advice being sought, obtained and followed at every step. Meanwhile, the creed of socialism has made some progress owing partly to the personal migration of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru.

In spite of hostility to the reforms embodied in the Government of India Act, 1935, the Congress contested the last elections and secured signal victories at the polls, with clear majorities in six of the eleven provinces. But the party in power declined to form ministries unless the Governors of the Provinces undertake not to exercise their discretionary powers of interference with the ministers in their executive

legal activities. Thus the Congress could not agree to do as being contrary to the provisions of the Act and their instrument of instructions. The Congress did not accept any other kind of assurances, and the Government therefore called upon the leaders of minority groups to form ministries, and as a result what has been termed "Interim Ministries" came into being. The steps taken by the Congress and the Local Governments were criticised in detail from many points of view by Indian and British statesmen, and ultimately the Congress reluctantly decided to end the deadlock and give the new system a trial. Thus from July 1937 the Congress ministers are carrying on the government in six provinces, and subsequently in two more.

CHAPTER III

RELIGION, SOCIETY AND CULTURE

The Indian Renaissance of the 19th century has been essentially a matter of the spirit, producing striking changes in Religion, Society and Culture along with a demand for national growth. The earlier reformation movements covering three centuries from the 14th to the 17th, which had stirred Indian minds in different parts of the country, had spent up their force to a considerable extent in the long struggle against medievalism, and under the pressure of adverse political circumstances, so that the 18th century had become from different standpoints, one of the darkest periods of Indian History. "In social usage, in politics, in the realm of religion and art, we had entered the age of uncreative habit, of decadent tradition, and ceased to exercise our humanity."¹ But it was during the closing years of that century and the beginning of the next that various factors, which have been already discussed,² appeared, making a second renaissance and reformation inevitable.

The spiritual passage of religious and social reformation, as of political awakening, in Modern India is to be traced to Raja Ram Mohan Roy (b. 22nd May, 1772) who appeared as "the herald of a new age,"³ to save Indian religion and civilisation from the deadening influence of superstitions and spiritual blindness on the one hand and the intrusion of Christianity and Western modes on the other, at a time "when our country, having lost its link with the ancient truths of its being, struggled under a crushing

¹ Ram Mohan Roy, *Contemporary Publicity Booklet*, No. 1.

² For sources on the history of Hinduism.

³ Majumdar, *The Making of Modern India*, p. 112. Cf. a paper by Dr. B. C. Saha entitled "Rammohan as the Herald of a New Age" in the *Indian's Renaissance* (Contemporary Volume).

load of unreason, in abject slavery to circumstance."¹ With profound learning, encyclopaed culture (franked by acquaintance with so many classical languages as Sanskrit, Persian, Arabic, Latin, Greek and Hebrew) and high philosophical acumen, Ram Mohan, a true son of India whose religion has ever formed the backbone of national life, was, in the words of Mr. Monier-Williams, "perhaps the first earnest-minded investigator of the science of comparative religion that the world has produced."² "He was," remarks Sir Benodranath Seal, "the harbinger of the idea of Universal Humanism. Though Voltaire and Volney had a glimpse of the rising sun of Humanism, they distorted the view by pitting the East against the West, and celebrating and trifling Eastern culture. There was a militant humanism, as opposed to the Raja's apothetic and un-militant point of view."

Raja Ram Mohan Ray was a Brahmin of Brahmins. He was also a Muhammadan with Muhammadans and a Christian with Christians. He could thus combine in his personal religion the fundamentals of Hindu, Christian and Islamic experiences. In this way he was, strange to say, multi-personal. But behind all these masks there was yet another Ramchan Ray, the harmonist, pure and simple, watching the procession of Universal Humanity in Universal History.³

Ram Mohan tried to revive in the Hindu world the doctrine of the unity of Godhead,⁴ and devoted himself to this task after retiring from the East India Company's service, and settling down in Calcutta in 1815. His earliest attempt was the foundation of the *Atmiya Sabha*, "an association for the discussion of religious truth and the promotion of free discussion of theological subjects,"⁵ which lasted from 1815 to 1819. The next important step was his foundation of another organisation, which later on became famous as the *Brahma Samaj*, on 20th

¹ *Ram Mohan Ray Centenary Publication Bulletin*, No. 1.

² *The Modern Review*, March 1891.

³ *Frederick Barnet, History of the Brahma Samaj*, Vol. I, p. 28.

August, 1838, with Mr. Tarachand Chakravarty as its secretary.¹ But its new theistic service soon excited the hostility of the orthodox citizens of Calcutta, who started a rival organisation named the Dharma Sabha, with Bharnad-charan Sen as its Secretary, and with its organ the *Sarnachary Chandrodaya* which opposed Raja Rammohan Roy's *Bangala Weekly* the *Sarnanda Karmad*.² The Trust-Deed of the *Brahma Samaj*³ dated 5th January, 1830, laid down that the Trustees "shall at all times permit the said building, land, tenements, hereditaments and premises, with their appurtenances, to be used, occupied, enjoyed, applied and appropriated, as and for a place of Public Meeting, of all sorts of descriptions of people, without distinction, as shall behave and conduct themselves in an orderly, sober, religious and devout manner :

"For the worship and adoration of the Eternal, Unsearchable and Innumerable being who is the Author and Preserver of the Universe but not under or by any name, designation or title peculiarly used for, and applied to, any particular Being or Things, by any man or set of men whatsoever ;

"And that no groves, image, statue or sculpture, carving, painting, picture, portrait or the likeness of anything, shall be admitted within the messuage, building, land, tenements, hereditaments and premises, and that no sacrifice, offering or oblation of any kind or thing, shall ever be permitted therein, and that no animal or living creature shall, within or on the said messuage, building . . . be deprived of life, either for religious purposes or for food."

Thus the Raja rejected the barriers of cast-divisions, worship of idols and sacrificial rituals, and stood forth as the high priest of Universalism and Love. He started his reformed

¹ *Ibid.*, pp. 180-81. The *Samaj* was first located in the premises No. 48, Choum Road, then moved by Raja Karam Sen, well-known in Calcutta as "Piraja Karam Sen," a resident of Chanderbagan.

² *Samaj*, Op. cit., Vol. I, p. 48, *Brajendra Nath Sanyal, Samaj-Para Sahitya Kalya*, Vol. I, pp. 145-52.

³ *Samaj*, Op. cit., Vol. I, Appendix "A."

church on 2nd January, 1830, and sailed for Europe on 15th November of the same year¹⁰ as a private individual¹¹ though while in England he declared himself to be the accredited agent of the Emperor of Delhi before the authorities of the East India Company never to return, passing away at Bristol on 27th September, 1833. His biographer, Miss Collet, has left the following estimate of his life's work:—"Rammohan stands in history as the living bridge, over which India marches from her unmeasured past to her unshakable future. He was the arch which spanned the gulf between ancient caste and modern humanity, between superstition and science, between despotism and democracy, between immobile custom and a conservative progress between a bewildering polytheism and a pan, if vague, deism." The Raja never thought of establishing the Brahmo Samaj in opposition to the sublime ideas and principles of Hinduism,—his aim was to purge it of some of the grosser practices, prejudices and superstitions which had overbalanced it by an appeal to rationalism and by adapting it to the changed conditions of his age. Mr Ramsay MacDonnell has justly remarked—"The Brahmo Samaj was founded because in his heart the Hindu was unwilling to desert Hinduism but was willing to become liberal and respond to the impact of Western faiths"¹² In thus liberalising Hinduism and making it responsive to all the forces of the modern world, Rammohan enabled India once again after Buddha to give a spiritual message to all mankind, and to embark on a course of creative national history.

After his death, his infant church was left almost entirely under Pandit Rameshchandra Vidya-saigra but it was passing through "a period of depression," and according to Pandit Sharananil Shastri, the Brahmo Samaj during the years 1833—1841 "had no organisation, no constitution, no membership, no covenants, no pledge"¹³ But it was soon raised from this

¹⁰ *Portrait*, *ibid.*, pp. 34-43.

¹¹ *Miss Collet*, *Op. cit.* (2nd edition), p. 168.

¹² *Op. cit.*, p. 24.

¹³ *Ibid.*, Vol. I, p. 25.

"militant condition" by the genius and efforts of Maham Dnyandramath Thakur (Tagore) (1847-1908). He formally entered the Samaj in 1863 and within five years gave it a new life, and "introduced a regular form of church service, including thanksgiving, praise and prayer, in the place of the old practice of mere recitations of passages from the Upanishads, attended with mantras and hymns."¹⁰ Mr. Keshab Chandra Sen was another important member of the Brahmo Samaj and worked it with devotedness further through his message of Love and Faith. His emphasis on Christian and Vaisnava teachings and forms, and the rigidity with which social reform was proceeding under his leadership, led to a breach with the more conservative section of the Brahmins, and the Samaj now practically divided into two bodies. A man of wide culture and manifold experiences, an ardent aspirant after a Universal Religion, Keshab holds a high place among the reformers of Modern India. He took a leading part in the passing of the Marriage Act of 1922, which among other things wanted to discourage child-marriage and fix the minimum age of men and women marrying under that Act at eighteen and fourteen years respectively. The famous Cooch-Bihar marriage case of 1928 "formed the great turning-point of Keshab Chandra Sen's career."¹¹ His own daughter under fourteen he married to the young acknowledged Maharaja of Cooch-Bihar who was not yet sixteen. This intermarriage raised a storm of controversy and protest among many of the members of the Brahmo Samaj,¹² "who called for public meetings to expel Keshab from his position as Secretary to the Brahmo Samaj of India and as Minister to the Brahmo Mandir."¹³ A schism occurred and the "protestant" Brahmins under the leadership of Ananda-

¹⁰ *Ibid.* The motto and device of the foundation are evoking the intense attention of Brahmo Samajists in the present day.

¹¹ P. C. Mahaveer, *The Life and Teachings of Keshab Chandra Sen*, p. 209.

¹² *Ibid.*, Op. cit., Vol. I, pp. 236-44.

¹³ P. C. Mahaveer, *Op. cit.*, p. 211.

noted Boro, Shrinath Shastri and Bijay Krishna Choudhary established what has since then been known as the Sadhvan (Universal) Brahma Samaj, as distinguished from the Adh (Original) Brahma Samaj, with a democratic composition, and with a continuous brilliant record of social and cultural activities on liberal and progressive lines.¹⁷ After this secession Keshab also organised a new church known as the Navavidhan (New Dispensation) Samaj,—whose distinguishing feature is freedom in worship and regard for Keshab as a prophet.

In Maharashtra a theatre Samaj called the Paramahansa Sabha was started in 1849; but its influence was limited and it soon broke up. A more important organisation was a debating society called the Parthiva Samaj formed under the leadership of Dr. Aganjan Pandurang (1825—1898) "with aims of rational worship and social reform,"¹⁸ and developed under the influence of the Brahma Samaj. The Samaj has maintained night-schools for working-people, a Depressed Classes Mission for elevating the social as well as spiritual condition of the depressed classes, a Ladies' Association for education of girls, and an Orphanage and Foundling Asylum at Parbhagar. It has saved itself from the influence of "Western Rationalism and Secularism"¹⁹ under the guidance of two leaders, Sir R. G. Bhandarkar (1827—1927), one of the greatest Sanskrit scholars of Modern India, and Justice M. G. Ranade (1842—1904), who was undoubtedly the central figure of the Renaissance in Western India. It was Mr. Ranade who inaugurated the practice of holding Social Conferences along with the annual sessions of the Congress. Mr. Andrews remarks that "the last and the most enduring aspect of the new reformation in India is linked up most closely with the name of Justice Ranade, who," according to him, "comes nearest to Ram Mohan Roy and Syed

¹⁷ "In reply to the Adh Brahma Samaj cry of 'Brahmism is Hinduism' the young reformers cried 'Brahmism is catholic and universal.'"
—*Sanskrit Op. cit.*, p. 180.

¹⁸ *Sanskrit Op. cit.*, Vol. II, pp. 41—42.

¹⁹ *Zacharias, Op. cit.*, p. 44.

Ahmed Khan in the largeness of vision and the magnanimity of character, but he was more advanced than either in the width of his constructive aim, his grasp of principles underlying Western civilisation and his application of them to Indian conditions."¹² It was under his inspiration and ' spiritual leadership ' that the Deccan Education Society, the members of which Kish Gokhale, Tilak and Ganesh Agarkar have played important parts in the history of Modern India, was founded in 1884. The members of this society, with the motto of ' plain living and high thinking,' took upon themselves the task of educating the youths of their country through simple means, accepting in return a small remuneration of Rs. 75 per mensem for bare subsistence. The Society started with a small school which ultimately developed into an important educational centre in Maharashtra like Ferguson College, having 1,700 students in 1940, and it runs another institution at Sangli named the Wilingdon College.

Gokhale who had joined the Society in 1885 just after completing his university education, could not agree to work with Tilak's Extremism, and founded in 1905 the famous Servants of India Society, which also has a brilliant record of social and educational works to its credit. The ' basic principle ' of his Society was that " public life must be spiritualised " . As defined by the rules of the Society its object was to train " national entrepreneurs for the service of India, and to promote, by all means, the true interests of the Indian people " . The members of this society have stood up to this ideal in different spheres, and after Gokhale's death in 1915, the Rt. Hon. V. S. Srinivas Sastri became its second President.

Narasim Mulhar Joshi, who joined the Society in 1908, founded two years later in Bombay the Social Service League " to collect and study social facts and discuss social problems with a view to forming public opinion on questions of social service " and to make collections " to secure for the masses

of the people better and reasonable conditions of life and work."³⁹ The League has made successful attempts to secure its objects and its founder Mr. Joshi established in 1920 the All-India Trade Union Congress which could affiliate to itself within five years forty trade unions with 100,000 members.⁴⁰ Mr. Joshi continued his work unobscured for the welfare of the masses as the acknowledged leader of the Indian Labour Movement till an attempt was made by the Government at the Annual Trade Union Congress of 1929 to affiliate the All-India Federation to Moscow.

Mr. Hindaynath Kunru, Vice-President of the Servants of India Society since 1927, started at Allahabad in 1914 an organisation called the Seva Sarathi which has devoted itself "to organise social service during India (e.g., during the great Kumbha Mela of 1927), famine, floods, epidemics, etc.; to promote the spread of education, cooperation, sanitation and physical culture; to uplift the depressed classes, rescue the criminal and rescue the fallen."⁴¹ A Scouts Association has grown out of this Sarathi under the leadership of another member of the Servants of India Society, Mr. Shri Ram Baggai. This Scouts Association has rendered useful services and an English Scoutmaster, visiting the Kumbha Mela in 1930, remarked that "he would like his own boys in England to see how they are working and capture some of the spirit which he saw everywhere."⁴² The Madras Branch of the Servants of India Society has of late years paid special attention to village revival and reconstruction work. An organisation named Bhil Seva Mandal was started in 1912 by Mr. Anantlal Vithaldas Dastekar for the uplift

³⁹ Quoted in Zacharias, *Op. cit.*, p. 56.

⁴⁰ Report of the Royal Commission on Labour, pp. 396-97.

⁴¹ Zacharias, *Op. cit.*, p. 56.

⁴² Quoted in *Ibid.*, p. 56. During the last year, under the guidance of Mr. Chandraoka Dasgupta, I.C.S., a Bengali national "scouts" movement was started to the joy of the people has developed, the "Vandana" school, runs and teaching is spreading from school to school in Bengal—along with the brother's other movement for revival of folk dances and indigenous pottery.

of the Bible and other scriptures of India by imparting religious and any vocational training, and has been doing excellent work.

Agitation for work has been done for the uplift of women by Mr. Gopal Krishna Devadhar and by Mr. Dhondo Keshav Karve, who though not a member of the Society, was however for some time a Professor at Ferguson College. The Indian Women's University founded and conducted by Karve, and the Poona Sarva Sadan working under the inspiration and guidance of Devadhar, have been rendering great help to the women's movement in modern India by equipping them with literary, technical, industrial and social service training¹⁴ through its branches at Bombay, Madras, Nagpur and other places.

The Theosophical Society has also exercised a considerable influence on Indian social and religious life in modern times. The "Mysterious Madame," H. P. Blavatsky, had established this Society in 1875 in the United States along with Col. H. S. Olcott. They came to India in 1879, and since 1885 Adyar near Madras became the headquarters of the Society. The growth of the Theosophical Movement as a force in Indian history has been due to Mrs. Annie Besant, who formally entered the Theosophical Society in 1889, and four years later came out to India, where she dedicated herself to her life's work, which she has thus defined in her *Autobiography*:¹⁵ "The Indian work is, first of all the revival, strengthening and uplifting of the ancient religions,—Hinduism, Zoroastrianism and in Ceylon and Burma, Buddhism."¹⁶ This has brought with it

¹⁴ *India in 1930-31*, p. 239.

¹⁵ "During the last few years the work of 'reviving, strengthening and uplifting' Buddhism in India and the whole world—not only in Ceylon and Burma,—has progressed appreciably through other agencies. Inside-Burma has once again its devoted sons as Indian Buddhist Bachelors united with a treasury and cosmopolitan university which would encourage and adapt Buddhism in Modern India and Modern World. It is to be noted that Bengal and Ceylon are the most interested in the movement. When Buddhism is revived and reformed in India, the age of Reformation begun in early nineteenth century will have reached its completion."

a new self-respect, a pride in the past, a belief in the future, and, in an terrible death, a great wave of patriotic life, the beginning of the rebuilding of a nation." One of her most notable achievements was the establishment at Benares in 1866 of the Central Hindu School which developed later on into a College and ultimately into the Hindu University at Benares.

Reformation in Modern India owes a great deal to the Ramkrishna Mission and the Arya Samaj. The former named after Ramkrishna Paramahansa (1834-1886), the Son of Dakshinavar near Calcutta, was founded ten years after his death by his ardent disciple Narendranath Dutta or Swami Vivekananda (1863-1902). He attended the "Parliament of Religions" in 1893 at Chicago, where he "proclaimed the Vedanta as the grand, universal, super-religion of the world, and he has certainly never lacked successors in the United States, where the preachments of yoga and tantra have ever since found ready audiences."¹⁰ Vivekananda attended another Congress of Religions held in Paris in 1900 and he was, remarks Sir Valentine Chirol, "the first Hindu whose personality was demonstrative recognition abroad for India's ancient civilisation and for her ambitions claim to greatness."¹¹ The Ramkrishna Mission with branches in different parts of India has been doing splendid humanitarian and social service by opening dispensaries, orphanages, schools, etc.

The Arya Samaj was formally started in Kathiawar in 1875 by Swami Dayananda Saraswati (1824-1883). It was also at Bombay that he published his admirable treatise, *Satyarth Prakash*, "which expounded his doctrine and formulated it as a doctrine *in genera*." But his preachings took the strongest root in the Punjab and in the United Provinces.¹²

¹⁰ Zacharias, *Op. cit.*, p. 24.

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 25.

¹² It may be mentioned here that while many of the Brahmins in the Punjab left back from paganism, Islamism and became Arya Samajists, Sayananda Agrawal (born 1828 A.D.), a prominent member of the Lahore Brahmin Group, reacted from it to find

Sriwan Dayananda had no English education, but he was a good Sanskritist, and was deeply inspired by a comprehensive study of the Vedas. he therefore aimed at reconstructing modern Indian life on the model of the ancient Vedic Society as he conceived it to have been. "by a pruning of all the ungodly shoots upon the Vedas"¹⁰ Delying with admirable courage the severe task of life, especially the attacks of the orthodox Hindus, the Christian missionaries and the fanatical Muslims, Dayananda "made his appeal, not to an English educated elite but to the broad masses of his fellow countrymen,"¹¹ as did Ramkrishna Paramahansa¹². He had no faith in the religious system of the Puranas which he attacked as the "work of the selfish ignorant and malevolent men," and he was hostile to caste-discrimination.¹³ He was an enemy of the caste restrictions, and of sacrifices, and wanted to remove superstitions from the minds of the people. He opposed child-marriage, advocated travels in foreign lands, and also encourage of widows and female education. One important item in the programme of the Samaj has been the Sudhik work of re-baptising the fallen, the outcastes, the converts and other outcasts. The Samaj has in certain respects done splendid social and educational work, and has remained "a great and powerful factor to this day in the national life of

on 18th February, 1881. a Samaj of his own called the *Dev Samaj*, which "combines with the other social factors of India, *Religion, Moral unity, i.e., social reform and reform, educational and charitable work, science, etc., the very sacred one of being religiously strict.*" *Catharine Ch. 10*, p. 28

¹⁰ MacDonald, *The Government of India*, p. 124

¹¹ H. B. Malhotra, *History of the Indian Reformation*, p. 61

¹² Sriwan Dayananda's *Satyarth Prakash*, translated by Dr. Chintamani Bhattacharya, p. 165. It should be noted that in those days there was no social reform of Vedas and Puranas literature and we should not be surprised at the intellectual and scientific attitude of the early Reformers towards them.

¹³ For the various changes brought upon slavery by Samaj, Dayananda vide *India*, pp. 154-55.

India and are seriously to be reckoned with in future.¹⁷ The success of this *Samaj* has been greatly due to the efforts of three able successors of Dayananda—Lala Harraj, Pandit Gaur Datta and Lala Lajpat Rai. It was due to these witnesses that a school was founded to commemorate the memory of Dayananda after his death, and this institution has later on developed into the well-known Dayananda Anglo-Vedic (D. A. V.) College of Lahore. In 1902 the Arya Samaj was split up into two parties,—one led by Lala Harraj, which controlled the Dayananda Anglo-Vedic College, advocated meat diet, and worked for a liberal education and programme, while the other under Manohar Ram (also as famous as Swami Sachidananda) "endeavoured to be not modern but Vedic, and harkened back to the traditional Brahman ideal of asceticism."¹⁸ The latter party founded in the year 1907 a remarkable educational institution, the Gurukul, near Haridwar. The students there are taken from their parents at the age of seven or eight for a residence of sixteen years, under the vows of poverty, chastity and obedience, and placed under the control and influence of their gurus. "The common language is Sanskrit, and the most important discipline the Vedas, but English and modern sciences are also taught."¹⁹

It may be noted in this connection that the Revolutionary Movement in Bengal, like other extreme political movements in other parts of India, was "based upon a return to Hinduism."²⁰ "All these old and traditional gods and golden rules," writes Mr. Bipin Chandra Pal,²¹ "who had lost their hold upon the modern mind, have been reinstalled with a new historic and nationalist interpretation in the mind and soul of the people. Hundreds of thousands of our people have commenced to hail their mother-land today as Banga, Kala, Jagaddhata. There are no longer mere mythological conceptions of

¹⁷ *Calcutta, Op. cit.*, p. 40.

¹⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 41.

¹⁹ MacDonald, *The Government of India*, p. 243.

²⁰ *The Soul of India*, pp. 187-88.

legendary persons as even poetic symbols. They are different manifestations of the Mother." Even the map of India came to be represented as the Mother goddess.

The Parsi community in India have also been considerably influenced by the 19th century Indian Renaissance. A number of youngsters, a band of able workers, the most notable of whom were Dadabhai Nauroji, J. B. Wacha, S. S. Banerji and Nauroji Fardesh, started in 1851 the Rahnumai Mazdayasani Sabha or Religious Reform Association for "the generation of the social condition of the Parsis and the restoration of the Zoroastrian religion to its pristine purity." Karsheds Rustump Cama, after his return from Europe in 1859, tried hard for the spread of education among the members of his community. Rahnumaji M. Maheshji Bora at Baroda in 1883 or 1884 A.D.), a prominent member of the Parsi community, is entitled to be ranked as one of the greatest social reformers of modern India for his brilliant services in the cause of Indian women and children, journalism and education.²⁰ In 1910 was inaugurated a Zoroastrian Conference under the inspiration of a Parsi priest named Dhalia who had visited America and had studied in the University of Columbia under the famous Zoroastrian scholar Professor Jackson, and this organisation has rendered useful services for the community.

The Sikhs with a glorious tradition in the past have also been stirred by the renaissance spirit to make an attempt for the purification of their religious and social life. The progressives among them established the famous Khalsa College at Amritsar and started a central association called the Chief Khalsa Dewan with its office at Amritsar and branches throughout the country, pressing for liberal reforms in society and education.

Hindu in India has also been stirred into a new life during the last century and a quarter. At the beginning of the 19th

²⁰ A sketch of his life and times has been given by Mr. B. P. Karshan in *India: Forty Years of Progress and Reform*, London, 1926.

century a "partial reform, not unlike that carried on by the followers of Muhammad Abd-ul-Wahid in Arabia"¹¹ was inaugurated by and carried on under some "ardent souls," like Haj. Sharif Allah of Eastern Bengal, Sayyid Ahmad of Ban Bureh (1762-1801), Kamal Ali (a. at Jaipur, U.P., in the early part of the 19th century and d. 1873 A.D.) his son Masud Hafez Ahmad (d. 1898) and his nephew Mahan. This movement while attempting the purification of Islam was rather reactionary in character, and opposed the introduction of English education and western institutions.¹² But it has not been entirely barren of good results to the Muslim community, and its influence has not died out altogether. A "passion for the freedom of Islam, a passion for the reform of Islam, and a well-nigh perfect organization for propaganda"¹³ carried the influence of this movement to different parts of the country.

But far more important than this movement was another which was destined to come and was the reply and the complement to the rapid advance of the Hindus through the new path they had struck out much earlier of assimilating Western civilization and adapting themselves to the new world. This was started by Sai Syed Ahmad Khan (1817-1898), who brought a new message for the members of his community: as an "apostle of reformation" he advocated changes in the political, religious, educational and social ideas of the Muslims in India by effecting a harmony between the old faith and the modern rationalism, oriental learning and modern science, in short by establishing a "working harmony between East and West."¹⁴ He visited England in 1868, and after his return established with the help of some of his friends the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College at Aligarh in 1875, in the face of a vehement orthodox opposition. "He had" remarked

¹¹ Henry T. Tice, *Indian Islam*, p. 124.

¹² *Ibid.*, p. 192.

¹³ *Ibid.*, p. 194.

¹⁴ McDonald, *The Government of India*, p. 9.

Dr. Tinn, "a union of an Indian Muslim Oxford, which should train youngsters of character and capacity in all that is best in Occidental and Oriental learning."¹¹ The college that was thus founded was raised to the status of the Muslim University of Aligarh in 1920, and now forms an important centre of Muslim education in India. He also tried to have large-scale translations made of English literature and scientific works into the chief vernacular of Muslims, and thus cleared the way for the experiment in higher education through the medium of Urdu, which is being carried on in Hyderabad under the patronage of His Exalted Highness the Nizam by the Osmania University since its creation in 1907.

Sir Syed was an advocate of social reforms like the abolition of purdah, education of women, etc., and carried on vigorous propaganda for these through his magazine *Tahdih-ul-Akhlaq* (Reforms of Morals). His activities and the Aligarh movement have succeeded in "sowing to new life the whole of Indian Islam" and have given birth to a New Islam with exponents like Mawlā Chirāq Ali, Right Hon. Syed Anwar Ali, Sir Shakhī Muhammad Iqbal, Prof. S. Khuda Bulshah and Prof. A. M. Maslumi. A number of agencies or institutions have come into existence for the service of the community, and a powerful Muslim press has grown up during the last fifty years. The spirit of reform is noticeable among the Indian Muslim women as well, as is clear from the sessions of the All-India Muslim Ladies' Conference since 1914. Her Highness the Dowager Begum of Bhopal, who presided over the All-India Women's Conference in 1928, introduced many social and educational reforms for women in her state. Prominent Muslim ladies of aristocratic and intellectual circles have left aside the purdah, have received higher education, and taken part in political—and educational and social welfare institutions run by Muslim women by themselves or jointly with other Indian women are increasing.

¹¹ *Op. cit.*, p. 198.

Along with these should be noted the influence exerted by the Al-madhiya Movement inaugurated by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad living in 1879 A.D. at Qadian, a small town in the Ludhiana district of the Punjab. He declared himself to be the Promised Mahdi and soon got a large number of followers.¹⁷ He deplored the popular worship of saints, and set himself as a true reformer to restore the true and unadulterated faith of Islam to the followers of the Prophet. At the same time, he could not tolerate the rationalistic exposure of Islam, such as Syed Azur Ali and Prof. S. Khuda Bakhsh, who were beginning to throw doubts on the Quran as a perfect work of divine revelation, or treating some of the sources of Islam as pre-Islamic Arabian, Judaism and even to Christianity. In regard to social reform, Ahmad stood by the conservatives. He repudiated the abolition of purdah, and staunchly defended the Islamic law of divorce and polygamy, 'opposing any attempt within Islam to adopt Muhammad's teaching and practice to present day customs in Christian lands'.¹⁸ Ahmad and his followers earned the bitter enmity of the orthodox party, following such severe denunciations of the very people whom he had come to reform.¹⁹ Nevertheless, through its vigorous organisation and propaganda, the Al-madhiya community has gained a large number of followers in different parts of the world. After the death of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in 1908, the affairs of the community came to be managed by a Khalifah with headquarters at Qadian. A split occurred in the community in 1914 when a group headed by Khwaja Kamal-ud-din and Muzib Muhammad Ali formed the Lahori party, the original group being named the Qadiani party. The Qadiani party emphasised the fact that the founder of the community 'must be regarded

¹⁷ For details reference may be made to *The Al-madhiya Movement* by H. A. Waker.

¹⁸ *Ibid.* Op. cit. p. 523.

¹⁹ *Ibid.*

as a prophet (Nabi) while the Lahore party stress that he was only a reformer (*ispiratida*) in Islam."¹¹

The influence of Christianity as a factor in the cultural history of India during the modern age should be carefully studied in this connection. Christianity in India has had a long history beginning probably from the days of St. Thomas and Gundaphara (1st century) and certainly from the sixth century when a Syrian Church was established in the Malabar with the Patriarch of Babylon as its spiritual head. While the rise and growth of Christianity was in many ways indebted to early Buddhists working in Western Asia and Egypt, later Buddhism also in turn came to be influenced by Christianity. Christian literature may be traced in the evolution of Vissnuism also. So it is an old factor in Indian History. The early Christian Churches and the Catholics had already long worked in India for their faith, when the first Protestant Mission was established at the Danish Settlement of Tranquebar. The first half of the nineteenth century witnessed a rapid progress of Christianity largely through the Protestant missionaries. The Charter Act of 1813 laid down: "It is the duty of this country to promote the introduction of useful knowledge and of religion and moral improvement in India, and that facilities be offered by law to persons desirous of going to and remaining in India to accomplish that benevolent design." In 1820 an Anglican Bishop was appointed in the E. I. C.'s dominions for the first time, and Bishop Middleton came out to Calcutta as the first Metropolitan of India. Most of the Protestant Missions were founded in India between 1815 and 1830 and the Bishops of Madras and Bombay were established in 1833. Many high-caste and educated Hindus in Bombay, Bengal and Madras were converted to Christianity. In Bengal conversion was effected through the systematic efforts of missionaries like William Carey, Joshua Marshman, William Ward, Daniel Brainerd, William Grant and Rev. Alexander Duff; similar work was carried on in

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 228.

Bombay by Dr. John Wilson,¹⁷ in Madras by Robert Noble and in the Punjab by Dr. Ferman (after whom the Ferman Christian College of Lahore is named). These missionaries besides starting on the work of conversion also helped directly and indirectly the cause of Indian Education and Literature, which will be noted later on. In the post-Mutiny period owing to the unfortunate breach between Europeans and upper class Indians, the attention of the missionaries of both the Protestant and the Catholic Churches was directed more towards the conversion of low caste people and backward aboriginal hill-tribes like the Santals, Gonds, Mundas, Nagas, Kharias, Garos, etc. This line of activity has later on been followed by Hindu and Muslim workers, resulting in the acceptance in either field of various depressed or aboriginal groups. So far as the direct effect of Christianity in India is concerned it will be noted that a fairly numerous Indian Christian community has grown up, led by a small class comparable to the Hindu intelligentsia, but consisting of a majority of converts from depressed and aboriginal classes reclaimed to democracy and civilization. Its indirect influence is, however, more important to India in general. The efforts of the Christian missionaries to propagate their own faith at the cost of Indian religions made the natives of the country watchful about the preservation and buttressing of their own faiths by removing their evils, or in other words, the need for protection against the attack of an external force led to re-arrangement and reformulation of faiths within the country. Further the activities and success of the Christian missionaries among the depressed classes have led the 'caste' Indians to change their attitude towards the latter from one of neglect and indifference to that of sympathy and fellow-feeling, and today we find even political Indians trying valiantly to remove the problem of untouchability: in this respect they are simply working out the Indian Reformation made possible by Christianity. Lastly, the Christian missionaries, whatever might

¹⁷ *Katharine, Forty Years of Indian Progress*, pp. 26-42.

have been their objectives, have greatly furthered the cause of education of all grades in Modern India, and have shown to Indian workers the modern way to effective social service through the various types of institutions connected with their mission,—a curious copy of history,—for this way of social service and these types of institutions originated in Buddhist India and through West Asiatic Buddhist missions passed into early Christianity, and once again have come back to their first home as a so-called "foreign product."

SECTION II

SOCIAL PROGRESS

The connection between Religion and Society has been nowhere more intimate than in India, and so Indian reformations have involved as much of doctrinal changes as of social modifications. Here we shall attempt a brief survey of the manner in which the different spheres of Indian society have been influenced by the general awakening of the nineteenth century.

All the reform movements of Modern India have advocated the uplift of women and have succeeded, more or less, in this attempt. The first measure of importance in this respect was the abolition of *Sati* or the practice of a wife burning herself on the funeral pyre of her husband, which had survived in its worst form in spite of some attempts on the part of the Company's Government to check it since 1785.¹⁷ But an Indian

¹⁷ *Cambridge History of India*, Vol. VI, pp. 132-49; *Strachey*, *India*, Op. cit., Vol. I, pp. 47-57. The following statement of its scope is interesting:—

	1841 A.D.	1881 A.D.	1917 A.D.
Calcutta	253	285	441
Dacca	31	34	52
Madrash	33	32	42
Poona	26	28	39
Bombay	48	60	103
Banaraj	17	13	19

File G. H. Bouryer, *Sanatdharma in India*, Part I, p. 166

opinion against the practice grew up in the person of Raja Ram Mohan Roy who joined hands with the Government for the suppression of Sati. "The women of India," remarks Mr. Searcy, "have found no greater defender of their rights than the founder of Brahmoism. He defended the legal rights of females, advocated their right to education and enlightenment, and above all devoted all the energies of his noble soul to save them from a cruel death."¹⁷ Nothing daunted with orthodox opposition under the leadership of Raja Radhakanta Deb,¹⁸ Ram Mohan, with the usual zeal of a reformer, carried on a vigorous anti-Sati agitation with the help of some of his friends¹⁹ and his Bengali journal, the *Kaumudi*, till the practice was declared illegal by Lord William Bentinck's Regulation XVII of 1829. The opponents of this measure, like Radhakanta Deb, Nilmoni De, Bhawan Charan Mitra and others, tried far in vain through petitions to the Government and by organizing meetings under the auspices of the Dharm Sabha, but to no effect. When in 1830 eight hundred Hindus of Bengal made an appeal to the Privy Council against Bentinck's measure, Ram Mohan Roy, who was then in England, "obtained access to Members of Parliament and was consulted by the Privy Council. With all the emphasis and power of his amazing intellect and personality, he begged them to reject the appeal of the pro-sati party (which they did in 1831), and he procured a petition from progressive and humane Hindus, thanking Lord Bentinck for what he had done."²⁰

¹⁷ *Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 47; also see B. C. Das, *Literature of Bengal*, pp. 147-51.

¹⁸ For points regarding the activities of the orthodox party, vide B. N. Senapati, *Op. cit.*, Part I, pp. 149-151 and Searcy, *Op. cit.*, Vol. I, pp. 24-25.

¹⁹ At a meeting called at the Government House in Calcutta to discuss the question of Sati, Pandit Govindhar Tulsiyogi delivered a lecture supporting Ram Mohan's views against that practice.—B. N. Senapati, *Op. cit.*, Part I, p. 148.

²⁰ Quoted in Zicherman, *Op. cit.*, p. 16, from 'Sati' (London, 1828), p. 16.

Sany cases of attempted or accomplished Sati have however been reported occasionally during the last century;¹¹ and it would appear that the recent tendency of justifying everything medieval or ancient has encouraged the suppressed practice to some extent; thus in the Patna district of Bihar there was an alleged Sati case in November, 1927, in 1930 again a widow in the Meerabagh district of the same province "attempted to commit Sati."¹²

Early life polygamy, Kalidasa¹³ and child-marriage were attacked by all the notable reformers of Modern India and have now almost disappeared from the better classes of Hindu Society. It appears from a study of Ramroshan's '*Brief Remarks Regarding the Ancient Rights of Women*' that it was he who first raised a voice against polygamy and Kalidasa, and his work was carried on by a number of ardent reformers who succeeded him. The Native Marriage Act of 1872, passed through the sanction of Keshav Chandra Sen, "abolished early marriages, made polygamy penal, sanctioned widow marriages and intercaste marriages" for those who chose to come under that Act.¹⁴ The attempt of Keshav Chandra to raise the marriageable age of girls deserves mention in some detail. As President of the Indian Reform Association he issued in April, 1871 a circular letter to the leading medical authorities in the country asking for their opinion on the subject: "The majority of these gentlemen declared sixteen to be the minimum marriageable age of our girls."¹⁵ In the beginning of 1877 some young members of the Brahmo Samaj started in Calcutta something like a Secret League and took several vows, one of which "pledged them never to encourage marriage between

¹¹ Chatterjy, *Administrative Problems of British India*, p. 140; E. K. Datta, *Education and Social Amelioration of Women in Pre-Medieval India*.

¹² *India in 1930-31*, p. 349.

¹³ B. N. Banerjee, *Op. cit.*, Part II, pp. 176-84.

¹⁴ *Sanskrit Op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 251.

¹⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 285.

youngmen below twenty-one and girls below sixteen."¹⁷ The *Anglo-Samaj* also tried hard to abolish early marriages. Besides these attempts, Mr. B. H. Malabar, the great Parsi reformer of modern times, started from 1881 an agitation against 'Infant Marriage' and through severe questions and stimulated public opinion.¹⁸ The Government of Lord Lansdowne also came forward to help the reformers in this matter and passed the Age of Consent Act of 1891 which raised the age of consummation from ten to twelve in the teeth of violent opposition from the orthodox Hindus who held that the "Bill was an infringement of the Queen's Proclamation of 1858, by which she pledged her Government to a policy of non-interference with the religious of her Indian subjects." "It was, however," remarks Choudhury a Pyrrhic victory, for the opposition had been so fierce that Government never again ventured to initiate legislation on any matter which might be deemed, however unreasonably, to impinge on the domain of Hindu religious and social customs."¹⁹

Name of persons giving opinion	Muslim marriageable age	Progr marriageable age
Dr. Charles K. Day	14	
" Charles	14	
" Noble K. Bose	15	18
" A. B. White (Buckley)	15 or 16	18
" Mahendra Lal Sanyal	14	
" Tarda Khan Baidar	16	
" Herman Choudry	14	18
" D. F. Smith	14	16 or 17
" Ewart	16	18 or 19
" Fyfe	16	18 or 20
" S. G. Chakravarty	14	18
" Azimuddin Fardousy	20	

¹⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 279.

¹⁸ *Kashmiri Op. cit.*, pp. 125-26.

¹⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 99. As would elsewhere the orthodox opposition was feared and used by Tilak for political purposes as well, and the Government also repudiated Hindu Religious Nationalism by a policy of indifference to social legislation hereafterward.

But in 1931 the Government of Bombay passed the Infant Marriage Prevention Act by which the minimum age for marriage in the state was fixed for girls at twelve and for boys at sixteen.³⁹ During recent years the Age of Consent Committee, which first assembled at Delhi in June 1928 for enquiring into the problem of marriage reform,⁴⁰ estimated that "something like half the girls of India are married before the completion of their fifteenth year." After the issue of its report Rai Sahib Haridas Borda a Child Marriage Bill was passed on 23rd and 25th September, 1930, by the Legislative Assembly and the Council of State respectively.⁴¹ The object of this Act has been to "discourage the solemnization of marriages between boys of under 16 or girls of under 14 years."⁴² Obstacles against among all the communities have protested vigorously against this Act, but it remains binding. It should be noted however that other factors chiefly economic and educational, have automatically raised the marriageable age of girls (as well as of boys) almost beyond the expectations of reformers and legislators, though this advance is not uniform in all parts of the country.

Coming up the history of the Widow Remarriage Movement, we find that as early as the middle of the eighteenth century, Raja Raghobah of Dacca made an attempt to reintroduce Widow Remarriages in Hindu Society but could not succeed owing to the opposition of the Mahants of Krishnaager and of the Pandits of that place.⁴³ Balu Nilakanth Bandopadhyaya of Bowbazar in Calcutta, Mohanji Brijchandra of (the same) Krishnaager,⁴⁴ and a few others tried for widow remarriage

³⁹ J. P. Ganguly, *Modern Religious Movements in India*, p. 107. Since then Bombay has proposed much further legislation on the subject of marriage and process of divorce.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.* in 1929-30, p. 7.

⁴¹ *Ibid.*, p. 71.

⁴² *Ibid.*, p. 71. In actual working however the Borda Act has been found to be useless.

⁴³ *Karmasamaracharya*, p. 145.

⁴⁴ Chintamani Bandopadhyaya, *Pradipavira Janshara*, pp. 124-25.

during the years following the abolition of Sati (1829) but their efforts ended in failure because of orthodox opposition.¹¹ Balu Shyamacharan Das, married at the age of his tender-aged widowed daughter in his house, circulated among the Pandits of the country the question: "Whether the widowed daughter of a Suta who had not known her husband and who was unable to practise the higher virtue of continence with her husband's corpse, as evidence of the hardships of a life of widowhood, could be remarried agreeably to the *Smriti*."¹² The Pandits assembled in a meeting to discuss the point raised in the house of (the orthodox leader) Raja Radhakanta Dev and "gave a certificate of permission."¹³ It applied to the case of Suta girls only, and even as such, it was derided and practically laid aside by the promulgation.¹⁴

It was Pandit Harachandras Vidyavegar, the great Sanskrit scholar, social reformer and philanthropist of modern Bengal who devoted himself with extraordinary courage and zeal, for furthering the cause of widow remarriage in the teeth of violent orthodox opposition under the leadership of Raja Radhakanta Deb. Pandit Harachandras tried through his writings and speeches to prove that the marriage of widows was sanctioned by the *Smritis* and soon gained a large number of followers. He next endeavoured to remove the legal bar to the legitimacy of the issue of the remarried widows, and accordingly presented a petition¹⁵ bearing about 954 signatures to the Government of India; other petitions from notable individuals of Bengal also followed. These efforts bore fruit in the passing of Act XV, dated 26th July, 1856, which legalised widow remarriage and declared the legitimacy of the issue of remarriage widows.¹⁶

¹¹ B. N. Banerjee, *Op. cit.*, Part II, pp. 71 and 72.

¹² *Sanskrit Translations: Social Reform in Bengal*, pp. 73-74.

¹³ *Ibid.*

¹⁴ *Chandicharan, Op. cit.*, pp. 153-55 and Appendix 'C'.

¹⁵ *Ibid.*, pp. 153-64; *Sanskrit, Op. cit.*, pp. 76-77; Joseph Chatterji, *Administrative Problems of British India* (London, 1910), p. 155.

"The advocates of widow marriage were not slow to prove that their application for legislation was not an idle demonstration of hollow liberalism, and that the law was not to remain a dead letter;"⁷² within a few months of the passing of this Act widow marriages began to be celebrated, the first (on 25th Agrahayana-1863 B.S.) being that of Pandit Seishubhadas Vidyasutra, son of the well-known Pandit Ramdhas Turakbhaga, with a widowed daughter of the respected family of Brahmananda Madhuparkhyaga connected with the guru of the Rajas of Nadga.⁷³

The *Bachcha Samaj* was also making efforts at about the same time for the spread of widow remarriage; the cause was taken up earnestly by Keshav Chandra Sen since 1859, and the first remarriage under the auspices of this Samaj took place in the year 1864.⁷⁴ Babu Saripada Banerjee of Birmahar⁷⁵ soon came forward as a prominent worker in the field, and his labours produced striking results.⁷⁶ "Besides helping particular widows, Babu Saripada kept up, for many years, an agitation in the country in favour of the cause of widowermarriage through the distribution of pamphlets and leaflets and writing articles in the periodicals."⁷⁷ He established in 1867 the Hindu Widows' Home which did excellent work in educating widows so long as it lasted.⁷⁸

⁷² *Samach*, Op. cit., p. 77.

⁷³ *Ibid.*, Chandicharan, Op. cit., pp. 361-66. It is wrong therefore to say that the Widow Remarriage Act was one of the causes of the Mutiny, in Bengal the Act was sought for and welcomed; in the backward up-country it may have been disliked but public opinion there was hardly then in touch with the progress in Bengal, and the Act would be ready welcome there.

⁷⁴ *Samach*, Op. cit., p. 76.

⁷⁵ *Father of Dharma A. B. Banerjee*, I.C.S., a prominent scholar of later days.

⁷⁶ *Ibid.*, pp. 76-89. The first widow marriage that he performed was that of his niece Kuntalambai on 26th November, 1868.

⁷⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 88.

⁷⁸ *Ibid.*, pp. 13-17. Honourable W. B. Caine wrote of this Home in 1895:—"By the Widows' Home in (Mr. Banerjee) is solving one of the great social problems of India."

The Widow Remarriage Movement had advocates in other provinces of India as well. The attention of the Marathi Brahman was first drawn to this subject through a pamphlet published in 1837 at Bombay, that was followed by another 'book' which was not printed, and the Rev. Balu Patilnaji wrote two works on remarriages entitled 'Kutumb-bandhavan' and 'Yatnashayastava.' But it was Pandit Vinchu Shastri who came forward as the effective champion of this cause in the Marathi country, and a Widow Marriage Association was started at Bombay in the year 1866, many educated citizens enlisting themselves as its members.⁷⁷ In antagonism to this association, the orthodox party set up another called the Hindu Dharma Vyavasthapaka Mandal, but the party of the reformers under the guidance of Pandit Vinchu Shastri and assisted by M. G. Ranade obtained victory for their cause, and from 1869 onwards widow marriages began to take place in Maharashtra. In Calcutta also a Remarriage Association was started at Ahmedabad, and since 1884 the subject acquired fresh importance through the writings of Mr. Malabari. Men like Sir R. C. Bhanderkar, G. G. Agarkar and D. K. Karve have also done much for the amelioration of the widows' lot and condition. Having become a widow, Mr. Karve remained a Brahman widow in 1889 and revived the Widow Marriage Association of which he remained the Secretary for seven years till 1900. In 1899 he opened a Hindu Widows' Home in Poona City which formed the nucleus for a women's university.⁷⁸ The movement for the general uplift of Hindu widows has made steady progress. Maharaja Sir Jitendra Mahan Tappa Bahadur was amongst the earliest benefactors to make a generous endowment (J. 1844) for helping Hindu widows,⁷⁹ and amongst local governments Bengal and Madras showed the way by providing stipends and scholar-

⁷⁷ *Indian Social Reformer*.

⁷⁸ *Zacharias Op. cit.*, p. 64.

⁷⁹ *Bengal Under the Lieutenant-Governor*, Vol. II, p. 404.

ships to encourage the education and training of widows.¹⁰ Pandita Ramabai's¹¹ *Sarada Sadan* (1880—1879) at Bombay, the Mahasari's School at Mysore, the Arya Samaj and the Purity Society in the Punjab and the Hindu Widow Reform League of Lucknow,¹² have done excellent work in this direction.

There has been a steady growth of education among women in modern times,¹³ they are coming more and more out of seclusion, and are displaying growing interest in social and political matters.¹⁴ The All-India Women's Conference, which met for the first time in 1936, is being utilized by women for expressing their opinions about educational and social reforms and for coordinating their attempts to effect these.¹⁵

Increasing interest is being taken in the medical training of women. The days when ladies of the Brahma Samaj, like Mrs. Gargash and Miss Sar, had to suffer adverse criticism for going in for medical training are long over. As has been already noted, the Poona Sarva Sadan¹⁶ is carrying on a great work at many centres with special reference to the training of nurses and midwives, the promotion of maternity and infant welfare, and the finding of employment for widows.¹⁷ Generous ladies like the widow of several Viceroys, following the lead of the Countess of Dufferin, have started and helped important institutions. The Lady Dufferin Fund or more properly the "National Association for Supplying Medical Aid by Women to the Women of India," has for its object "the training of women as doctors, hospital assistants, nurses and midwives, as well as the provision of dispensaries, wards and hospitals."¹⁸

¹⁰ *Sanskrit*, Op. cit., p. 15.

¹¹ *Ramabai Saradan* (1880—1900), a Protestant Convert from Hinduism and a great Sanatana Scholar.

¹² *India in 1929-30*, p. 238.

¹³ *Facts Section*, op. cit. "Education."

¹⁴ *Political India, 1880-1912*, edited by Sir John Cotton, pp. 114-15.

¹⁵ *India in 1929-30*, pp. 342-43.

¹⁶ *Report of the Indian Sanitary Commission*, Vol. I, p. 50.

¹⁷ *India in 1929-30*, p. 400.

As a branch of this organisation a special Women's Medical Service was constituted in 1914. The Lady Hasting Medical College at Delhi trains Indian women for the degree of M.B., B.S. Semi-official organisations like the Victoria Memorial Scholarship Fund and the Lady Chelmsford League as well as the Indian Red Cross Society, a purely voluntary organisation, have also done useful work in this direction; the two former organisations were amalgamated with the Special Maternity and Child Welfare Bureau of the Indian Red Cross Society on the 1st of January, 1931. At Calcutta the recently started Hospital called Chittaranjan Devi Jodan has shown what Indian ladies can do for Indian women when they have received up-to-date medical education in India and abroad.

The Women Selfage Movement has achieved much success since the All India Women's Deputation visited upon Mr. Montagu in Madras and presented an address to him on 16th December, 1917. The next two decades have seen the steady entry of women into the political field, and in the various councils and corporations women members are now taking prominent parts. The Government of India Act, 1935, have allotted them seats in the Central as well as provincial legislatures and their franchise qualifications have been liberalised. In the London politics about the new constitution women delegates took their part, and even bodies like the Modern League have now women party leaders. The example shown by Sureshambai Devi of Calcutta and a few other ladies in the eagerness in taking part in the Indian National Congress has borne fruit, and the Congress has had many prominent women leaders and workers.

The spirit of reformation has awakened the public conscience in India to the necessity of elevating the Depressed Classes comprising about 20 per cent of the whole population of India. While all the Samajes, the Hinduisthan Mission and the Christian Societies have done much valuable work for the uplift of the depressed classes, the Arya Samaj has been strikingly active in raising the social status of the 'untouchables'.

and in the work of "Sadhi" or re-Hinduising individuals and castes that had been converted to other religions, the Islam or Christianity, or Hinduising non-Hindus.³³ Useful services have also been rendered by the Depressed Classes Mission Society of India founded in 1905 in Bombay with the object of elevating "the social as well as the spiritual conditions of the depressed classes, viz., the Mahars, Chambhars, Pariahs, Nagamandras, Chonds, and all other classes treated as unapproachable in India, by (1) promoting education, (2) providing work, (3) remedying their social disabilities, (4) preaching to them principles of Liberal Religion, personal character and good citizenship."³⁴ The Hindu Mahasabha at its seventh annual session held at Benares in August 1923 passed a resolution for "admitting untouchables to ordinary Hindu privileges." Gandhi has in recent years devoted himself mainly to the work of removing untouchability and improving the lot of the 'Mahars' as he calls the depressed classes. "The philanthropic work which is now gradually being undertaken by voluntary agency for the uplift of the depressed classes, is being reinforced by organised self-assertion on the part of these classes themselves. . . . They are beginning to cast their eyes beyond the immediate surroundings of their daily toil, and

³³ Sadhi is not a new thing in India. Recently Dr. Collette Ranana, February and March, 1924) Prof. Sir Ram. Sarna, M.A. of Lahore has proved with instances from the study of original sources that "from South to Madras, from Cochin to Kashmir, from Benares to Thana, everywhere in Hindu countries signs of conversion and re-conversion seems to have been manifest all through the long pre-history of Muslim rule in India. Hindus are only welcomed their brethren back to their fold from Islam, but were prepared to admit Muslims into their fold and did admit them as a matter of fact." Before the advent of Muslims, the doctrine of Sadhi was applied by the united Deities of the Lady Middle Ages to the Huns and Guphas (being foreign conquerors and settlers and to Chonds, Bham, etc. being aboriginals, and these were the pariahs 'Apariahs' and other Depressed classes. In fact it was through Sadhi that various cultural elements have been absorbed in India as first from the Ind valley B.C., if not earlier from the 6th, or from pre-historic ages.

³⁴ Fropke, *Modern Religious Movements in India* pp. 271/2.

movement is replacing the antiquity of customs."¹² The Government also has been making systematic efforts for the spread of co-operation and education among them by granting special scholarships, allowances and other educational requirements, by making special provisions for appointments of members of their community in the services, and dealing favourably with the question of their special representation in the legislatures and public bodies (which question has produced many a hitch between them and the "caste Hindus" and has added to the list of communal tensions in India).

It may be mentioned here that the rigours of the caste system have slackened considerably in the last half century: inter-dining and intermarriages have often taken place and been encouraged in different parts of the country, and adjournments to foreign lands have long ceased to be punished by social ostracism. Civil Marriage provisions, supplementary to the Act of 1872, have in recent years made inter-caste and inter-communal marriages easier in the country.

The evils of slavery and indenture have also been removed. It is known from various sources that a profitable type of slavery was in the 18th century a long-standing institution in the country. Sir William Jones remarked to a Calcutta jury in 1785 — "Hardly a man or woman exists in a corner of this populous town who hath not at least one slave child either purchased as a trifling price or saved for a life that seldom fails of being miserable. Many of you, I presume, have seen large boats filled with such children coming down the river for open sale at Calcutta. Nor can you be ignorant that most of these were stolen from their parents or bought for perhaps a measure of rice in time of scarcity."¹³ But the spirit of reform did not leave this evil unscathed. In 1809 the British Parliament abolished slave trade; in 1811 the East India Company's Government forbade the importation of slaves from any other

¹² *Ibid.* in 1923-24.

¹³ O'Malley, *History of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa*, p. 189.

country into India, and in 1832 "the purchase and sale of slaves brought from one district to another was made a penal offence." By the Charter Act of 1853 the Governor-General was required to take steps for the abolition of slavery "as soon as contingencies should be safe and practicable." By Act V of 1843 slavery in India was rendered illegal, and maintenance of or trade in slaves became a criminal offence under the Indian Penal Code of 1860.¹⁰ But slavery has existed to our own days in places like Ceylon and North-Eastern Frontiers of Burma, to abolish which the Government of India has conducted several anti-slavery operations.¹¹ The evil of indentured labour in different parts of Western and Central India was also removed through the activities of Lord William Bentinck and other British officers such as Wilkinson, Willoughby, Erskine, Jacob, Pottinger and Melville.¹²

SECTION III

EDUCATION : LITERATURE AND ART

The education that man receives always exercises a potent influence in moulding the course of history of a country. The Indian Reformation of the nineteenth century, as we have already noted, has been intimately connected with the changes in educational ideas and institutions, the history of which may now be briefly attempted.

It is clear from a study of facts preserved in works like Buchanan's *Journals*, Bishop Heber's *Journals*, Adams' *Report and Correspondence*, etc., in vernacular literature and periodicals of the early nineteenth century and in accounts of the

¹⁰ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 128.

¹¹ *India in 1858-59*, pp. 23-25. Slavery has been abolished very recently in Nepal by a law out of the late ruler. Until recently the 'Bhamo Boys' or kidnapped girls brought down the slaves by slave trading boats to be sold as marriage or even to high caste families were a feature of Bengal Society in the riparian districts.

¹² *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 131.

early missionaries like Ward's *History of the Hindus*, that the whole country was covered with institutions for primary and higher education when the English were trying to build up their political dominion in India during the second half of the eighteenth and the early nineteenth century.¹⁰ "The English found in India," writes F. W. Thomas, "a widespread system of elementary and higher education, of which the former was mainly practical, the latter mainly literary, philosophical and religious."¹¹ Sir Thomas Munro, as Governor of Madras, came to know by enquiries in 1821, by which time the earlier tradition of national education was almost dead, that in a total provincial population of 12,690,941, there were actually 184,170 students (i.e., 1½ per cent of total and probably about 10 per cent of the population of school-going age) attending schools besides those who received instruction at home.¹²

During the early period of its administration in India, at least till 1813, the East India Company "did not recognise the primacy of education among the natives of India as part of its duty or concern."¹³ "The rulers," remarks Monier-Williams,¹⁴ "feared the evil consequences of education for the ruled, and the ruled anticipated no good results for themselves." But while this was generally true, there were, even during this period, three forces that were working for the cause of a New Education in India:—(i) the efforts of some of the civil officers of the East India Company, (ii) the activities of the

¹⁰ For indigenous education in Bengal, see an article by Dutt in *Cultural Review*, 1944; for an account of it in the Punjab, see Legner's *Papers*, 1911.

¹¹ *History and Prospects of British Education in India* (London, 1911), p. 102.

¹² *Ibid.*, pp. 1-2. It is noteworthy that a number of methods in elementary instruction developed in Europe in the early and late nineteenth century, especially those associated with the names of Bell and Lancaster and Montessori, were traditionally in use in some Indian primary education as late as the early nineteenth century.

¹³ Syed Mahmood, *A History of English Education in India*, p. 2.

¹⁴ *Modern India and the Indians*, p. 288.

missionaries and (c) the attempts of some benevolent and public-spirited Indians. Among the early efforts of English officials for the cause of education in India we may mention here that Warren Hastings founded in 1781 the Calcutta Madrasa¹²⁰ to fit Indians for "the numerous offices of the British Government,"¹²¹ and in 1791 Jonathan Duncan, Resident at Benares, opened in that holy city a Sanskrit College "designed to cultivate the laws, literature, and religion of the Hindus, and especially to supply qualified Hindu assistants to European judges." In 1805 Sir William Jones of the Calcutta Supreme Court established, with the help of Warren Hastings, the Bengal Asiatic Society, which has, through its literary collections and publications, played an important part in the cultural history of Modern India, and of the Modern World as well by making Ancient Indian thought available to Europe, and European scientific scholarship to India. Sir Charles Grant, who served in the commercial branch of the Company in Bengal from 1773-1780 and ultimately became a director of the Company, presented to the Court of Directors in 1797 a treatise written in 1792, in which he advocated the introduction of English education among the Indians.¹²²

Along the working of the other forces it should also be carefully noted in this connection that the missionaries in India, especially the Baptist missionaries like Carey and his coadjutors, Thomas, Marshman and Ward, from their safe refuge

¹²⁰ For details, reference may be made to *Bengal: Past and Present* (Calcutta), 1914.

¹²¹ *ibid.*, *Selections from Educational Records*, Vol. 1, p. 2.

¹²² It should not be concluded from the comparatively late introduction of an English system of education that there was no knowledge of English language and Western civilization among Indians in the eighteenth century. Inam-ul-De, a Bengali of Hindu descent, was well versed in English and as such found high employment in E. I. Co. and never Indian courts and he went to England in 1767 as an envoy of Shah Alam to the English court and has left an interesting account of his European experiences. There were many others like him, who acquired European education and experience, even since the Portuguese settled in India. (see *Western History of Bengali Literature*, Vol. 1, Introduction).

at Serampore, a Danish settlement sixteen miles north of Calcutta, made strong endeavours for the conversion and education of the natives in spite of the Dispatch of the Court of Directors, dated 7th December, 1800, "declaring their policy of strict neutrality in all matters religious, and in spite of the contempt thrown on their efforts at home."¹⁴¹ They started there a paper manufactory and a printing press, from which they brought out translations of the Bible in twenty-six vernaculars including Bengali, Marathi and Tamil. In 1801 Carey was appointed a Professor of Bengali in the newly-established institution in Calcutta for the training of young civil servants of the Company called the College of Fort William. Another pioneer in education was David Hare, who "spared no personal trouble, money or influence" for the cause of education in Bengal. The Bishop's College, an important missionary institution, was founded in Calcutta in 1829. Among the philanthropic Indians working for the cause of education in India about that time were Raja Rammohun Ray, Raja Rammohun Deb, Maharanja Tejowondra Rao Bahadur of Burdwan,¹⁴² and Jayrammoh Ghosal who established a school at Benares for the teaching of English, Persian, Hindi and Bengali.¹⁴³ The exertions of Raja Rammohun, Mr David Hare and Sir Hyde East (the then Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, led to the establishment in 1816 of the Hindu Mahavidyalaya or Hindu College of Calcutta, which later on developed into the Presidency College of Calcutta.¹⁴⁴ It is clear from the letter which Sir Hyde East addressed on 19th May, 1816, to his friend Mr J. Harington, a brother judge then absent in England,¹⁴⁵ that

¹⁴¹ B. H. Fraser: *A Literary History of India*, p. 280.

¹⁴² B. H. Banerjee: *Op. cit.*, Part I, p. 25.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.*, p. 22; Fraser: *Op. cit.*, p. 284.

¹⁴⁴ It is interesting to compare the Hindu Mahavidyalaya of early nineteenth century with the Hindu Universities of the early twentieth century, in origin, development and results.

¹⁴⁵ This document has been translated by Mr B. H. Banerjee, and has been published in the *Journal of Bihar and Orissa Research Society*, June 1910.

Rammohan played a "leading part in founding the Hindu College. The leading Hindus of Calcutta disliked his association with it, as he was regarded by them as a heretic and more of a Musalman than a Hindu; Rammohan, therefore, very wisely withdrew from the movement, lest the objects of the institution should be frustrated in consequence of his name appearing on the Committee of Management."¹⁰⁴ About the same time (1816-17 A.D.) Rammohan established an English school at Sulpur in Calcutta for the free instruction of Hindu boys,¹⁰⁵ and he continued to patronise modern as well as classical education in a number of ways.

In England, Grant and Wilberforce were urging the necessity of introducing English education in India; and in India Lord Minto with his colleagues, T. Munro, G. Harcourt and the famous orientalist H. T. Colclough, issued a minute on the subject of education on the 26th of March, 1811, deploring the "lamentable decay of learning" in the country and suggesting means for its improvement.¹⁰⁶ Two years later, at the time of the renewal of the East India Company's Charter, a clause was inserted in the East India Company Act of 1813 which is famous "as containing the first legislative admission of the right of education in India to participate in the public revenues,"¹⁰⁷ as it authorised the Governor-General in Council "to direct the application to education of an annual sum not less than one lakh of rupees." Lord Hastings in his Minute of 1815 recognised the pressing need for education, before his retirement in 1823 the Government distributed grants to two educational societies, the Calcutta School Book Society and the Calcutta School Society¹⁰⁸ and a Committee of Public Instruc-

¹⁰⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 145. It is difficult to predict the use of self-education as national service in the last century of Indian social and political history.

¹⁰⁵ *Ibid.*, pp. 144-145; *Rammohan Centenary Souvenir*, No. 1, pp. 44-45.

¹⁰⁶ *Shree, Op. cit.*, pp. 15-20. Long. Selections from the unpublished *Records of the Government*.

¹⁰⁷ *Shree, Op. cit.*, Part I, p. 48.

¹⁰⁸ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 304. For details, vide *Bengal, Past and Present*, 254.

son was appointed by Adams, Hastings' temporary successor from among the civil servants, with Thomas Haynes Wilson, the famous *Orientalist*, as secretary, to superintend the expenditure of the sum granted in 1815.

But a new difficulty arose regarding the medium of instruction (Sanskrit or English) and the type of education (classical oriental or modern western in content). Rammohun advocated English education and protested against "the introduction of the unlearned Sanskrit system of education," in his letter to Lord Amherst, dated 18th December, 1823.¹¹⁰ Rammohun's protest bore no immediate fruit; the Committee opened a Sanskrit College in Calcutta in 1824, and another College at Delhi in 1825 "for instruction in the three classical languages of India." For several years a fierce controversy continued between the 'Orientalists' and the 'Anglicists'. Lord Macaulay, who had been appointed Law Member of the Council of the Governor-General of India, arrived in India on 8th June, 1834, and was appointed President of the Committee of Public Instruction. He issued his well-known Minute of 2nd February, 1835,¹¹¹ in which "he adopted and defended the views of the English section in the Committee,"¹¹² and vehemently denounced Oriental Literature of which he knew nothing. He favoured introduction of the Western system and subjects of education from a point of view very different from that of the wise Rammoohan. While Lord Macaulay's championship of English education has been of profound significance in the intellectual history of Modern India, his unjust denunciation of Eastern Literature, culture and knowledge has produced undesirable results. Mr. J. Romney MacDonald aptly remarks that "to send Lord Macaulay's confused thinking in virtue and dishing English is edifying, to study it is unedu-

110 *Journal of Bihar and Orissa Research Society*, June 1939, pp. 168-70; Syed Mahmood, *Op. cit.*, pp. 262ff.

111 *ibid.*, *Op. cit.*, Part I, Chapter VI.

112 *ibid.*, *Op. cit.*, *Life of Macaulay* (1882), p. 126.

chale. It named up subjects to be taught with the language in which they ought to be taught, and it displayed no appreciation of the fact that the Indian mind was a product of history and not a blank sheet of paper upon which anything could be written by any teacher."¹⁶⁴ Lord William Bentinck had already in the month of January, 1835 appointed William Adam, the well-known ex-minister, to make enquiries into the state of indigenous education in Bengal, but after the issue of Macaulay's Minute he and his Council expressed "entire" concurrence with Macaulay's views and passed the famous Resolution of 7th March, 1835, which declared that "the great object of the British Government ought to be the promotion of European literature and science amongst the natives of India," that "all the funds appropriated for the purposes of education would be best employed on English education alone," and that "for the future all funds set apart for education should be devoted to that purpose, and no portion of them be expended on the printing of Oriental works."¹⁶⁵

Lord Auckland modified to some extent Lord William Bentinck's resolution¹⁶⁷ and a grant of 500 rupees a month was sanctioned to the Asiatic Society of Bengal with which it carried on the valuable *Edinburghian Indian series of Sanskrit, Persian, and Pehliwa texts*.¹⁶⁸

In 1842 a Council of Education superseded the Committee of Public Instruction, but its activities were limited to Bengal. James Thomason, Lieutenant-Governor of the North-West Provinces from 1845-1853, started a new experiment in the field of education by devising a halfpound (black) school system "whereby villages were grouped in circles of five, the land-holders of each group undertaking to pay for a school by a voluntary cess of 1 per cent on the land revenue. The

¹⁶⁴ *The Government of India*, p. 303.

¹⁶⁵ For details see his Minute dated 26th November 1835, printed in *State Papers*, Part I, pp. 147-49.

¹⁶⁶ *Cambridge History*, Vol. VI, p. 114.

system was in 1852-3 introduced into eight districts and was afterwards extended to other districts upon similar land-revenue settlement. The scheme, as sanctioned by the Directors, involved the levy of a rate of 1 per cent on the rent, which was deducted before the revenue was calculated, so that payment was shared for the government and the landholder.¹¹⁷ Some efforts were made by the Government also in Bombay and Madras, but "uniformity and consistency of aim were lacking in the educational policies of the various provincial governments."¹¹⁸ The most important Government institutions at that time were the Medical College started in Calcutta in 1835, the Thomason Engineering College at Rangoon in the North-Western Provinces and an Institution in Madras bearing in 1852 the title of the 'Madras University High School'. The years following 1835 were so far as education was concerned "years of varying opinion, uneven direction, and scanty expenditure."¹¹⁹

The educational despatch of 19th July, 1854, drafted by Sir Charles Wood, President of the Board of Control, and forwarded to India through the Court of Directors, forms a landmark in the history of education in Modern India by supplying the base for the present system. It recommended to the special attention of the Government of India "the improvement and far wider extension of education, both English and vernacular," and prescribed "as the means for the attainment of those objects—(1) the constitution of a separate department of the administration for education, (2) the institution of Universities at the Presidency towns, (3) the establishment of institutions for training teachers for all classes of schools, (4) the maintenance of the existing Government Colleges and High Schools, and the increase of their number when necessary, (5) the establishment of new Middle Schools, (6) increased attention to Vernacular Schools indigenous or other, for domestic

¹¹⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 176.

¹¹⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 187.

¹¹⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 180.

ary education, (7) the introduction of a system of grants-in-aid on the principle of perfect religious neutrality, (8) a comprehensive system of scholarships to be instituted, so as to connect Lower Schools with Higher, and Higher Schools with Colleges and (9) female education which was to receive the frank and cordial support of Government."¹¹⁹

In pursuance of the despatch of 1854 Educational Departments were established in each of the Presidencies and "before the end of 1856 the new system was fairly at work."¹²⁰ A Director of Public Instruction was appointed for each province with a staff of Inspectors and Assistant or Deputy Inspectors under him. Prof. Dodwell has noted the "officialised" character of the new Educational Departments thus created and has pointed out that the men in charge of these departments being "primarily administrators" education "tended to become a matter of administration and routine."¹²¹

It was during the dark days of the Marathas, in the year 1857, that Universities were significantly founded in Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, on the model of the newly developed University of London, that is, as examining bodies and not as teaching and residential institutions; subsequently one University was established for the Punjab at Lahore in 1882 and one for the North-West Provinces (modern U.P.) at Allahabad in 1887. These Universities had a Chancellor, a Vice-Chancellor and a Senate with a governing body, and controlled by their examinations the courses of study in the colleges affiliated to them. The candidates were admitted into colleges after they had passed their Entrance examinations. Colleges and Schools rapidly multiplied, and by 1882 more than two and a quarter million pupils received instruction in public institutions.

¹¹⁹ Syed Mahmood, *Op. cit.*, p. 85, note also *Report of the Indian Education Commission* (1882), pp. 22-23, and *Calcutta Review*, 1860, pp. 421-26.

¹²⁰ *Report of the Indian Education Commission* (1882), p. 23.

¹²¹ *A Sketch of the History of India*, p. 223.

In February 1882 Lord Ripon's Government appointed an Education Commission consisting of twenty-two members (English and Indians) with Sir W. W. Hunter as President, and the Commission was charged with the duty of enquiring into "the manner in which effect had been given to the principles of the despatch of 1854, and of suggesting such measures as might seem desirable in order to further carrying out of the policy therein laid down"¹²¹ The chief object of enquiry was to be the "present state of elementary education and the means by which this can everywhere be extended and improved." The Commission collected various information on the subject of Indian education and made useful recommendations advising increased reliance upon private enterprise and management. With the growth of Local Self-Government after 1882, the Municipalities and the Local Boards have been entrusted with powers for managing schools. Between 1886 and 1901 the numbers of college students throughout India went up from 11,504 to 29,049 and those in secondary schools from 629,093 to 635,738, and expenditure on education rose from 132.82 lacs of rupees in 1885 to 177.64 lacs in 1901.

Lord Curzon's viceroyalty witnessed an important change in the sphere of education as in other spheres of administration. Possessed of great energy and considerable insight into the details of administration, Lord Curzon realised the importance of reorganising and of effectively controlling the educational institutions of the country. After a preliminary enquiry the Viceroy summoned in September 1901 a conference at Simla, consisting of the principal education officers of the country "to consider the system of education in India." In January 1902 he appointed a Universities Commission "to enquire into the conditions and prospects of the Indian Universities, to report upon proposals which might improve their constitution and working, and to recommend such measures as might tend to elevate the standard of University teaching and

¹²¹ Quoted in Syed Mahmood, *Op. cit.*, p. 148.

to promote the advancement of learning."¹³⁸ The Commission was presided over by Mr. (afterwards Sir) Thomas Raleigh, legal member of the Viceroy's Executive Council, and included Sir Syed Hassan Bilgrami, a distinguished Muhammadan, who was Director of Public Instruction in the Nizam's dominions and Mr. (afterwards Sir) Charles Bannister, a judge of the Calcutta High Court. In June 1902 it presented its report and upon its recommendations was based the Universities Act of 1904. "As was to be expected under a Viceroy who was a great enthusiast with an overwhelming faith in the efficiency of Government machinery, the chief purpose of the Act of 1904 was to tighten the hold of the Government on the Universities, and in the first place on their Senates, which were still retained as the ruling bodies, by reducing their total numbers whilst increasing to an overwhelming majority the proportion of those nominated by the Chancellor and giving ex-officio seats on them to Provincial Directors of Public Instruction. In the Syndicates, which were the executive bodies, provision, at first sight objectionable, was made for a large number of college teachers, but none was eligible who was not already a member of the Senate. Increased powers of supervision over existing colleges and the imposition of more stringent conditions for the affiliation of new ones, were steps in the right direction, but they came too late and made no attempt to deal with the haphazard growths which, in so many schools, were rotting the foundations on which a sound college education could be built up. New Faculties were created to deal with the university curricula and with the methods of university examinations, but these were also to be more creations from the Senate. Practically nothing was henceforth to be done without the approval of Government."¹³⁹ Protests from different quarters were raised "against such a comprehensive scheme of centralisation."¹⁴⁰ But the Act was not

¹³⁸ *Lower House, India Under Curzon and Allen*, p. 100.

¹³⁹ *Ibid.*, *India*, p. 101.

¹⁴⁰ *Ibid.*

and the betterment of good results. Though Sir Ashutosh Mukherjee, the greatest Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University and one of the noblest sons of Bengal, opposed it vigorously, he took advantage of it to create the present teaching branch of the University of Calcutta (functioning from 1908).

In 1910 control of education was transferred from the Home Department of the Government of India to the newly created Department of Education with an Office of its own, and Resolution dated 21st February, 1913 of Sir Harcourt Butler, a Member to represent it in the Executive Council, the first education Member of the Governor General's Council, laid down certain lines for advance and recommended the establishment of teaching and residential Universities. The Dacca and Patna University Commissions were accordingly appointed, but their reports were not acted upon or unduly delayed from owing to the war and other causes. The growth of local and communal patriotism has in the last two decades led to the establishment of Universities at different centres, viz., Patna, Lucknow, Rangoon, Dacca, Delhi, Nagpur, Waltair (Visakhapatnam), Chikankaran, Bikaner, Aligarh, Hyderabad. We have already referred to the Indian Women's University at Poona; the Vijnanbhawan (The 'World and India' University) of Dr. R. N. Tagore at Shantiniketan, Bolpur, is known for its cosmopolitan cultural outlook. Lord Chelmsford (1916-21) appointed the Calcutta University Commission for enquiring into working and needs of that university with Dr. (afterwards Sir) Michael Sadler as President, though Sir Ashutosh Mukherjee was its moving spirit. The report of the Commission was published in August 1919, and in the following January the Government of India issued a Resolution drawing special attention to the following points:—(1) "High Schools fail to give that breadth of training which the developments of the country and new avenues of employment demand, (2) the Intermediate Section of University education should be recognised as part of School education and should be separated from the University organisation, (3) the defects of the present system of

affiliated colleges may be mitigated by the establishment of a strong central teaching body, the incorporation of university universities (on occasion select), a modification of the administrative machinery which will admit of fuller representation of local interests, and supervision of different classes of institutions by several appropriately constituted bodies." The recommendations of the Commission have been given effect to in some of the provinces in harmony with local conditions,¹²² but the Calcutta University itself has remained unaffected and has thus derived no benefit from the labours of the Commission. In May 1924, the Government of India summoned a Universities Conference at Delhi, where representatives from all the Indian Universities were invited. The most important resolution of the Conference was one relating to the establishment of an Inter-University Board for India, which has now been functioning for some years, rather weakly; its only important contribution so far (1934) is the introduction and discussion of the idea of 'Federal' Universities which might avoid wastage and concentrate university teaching.

Since the Reform, Education has become a transferred subject in each province under the responsibility of a Minister. The latest review of the state of education in India was made by an Auxiliary Committee of the Indian Statutory Commission in 1927. This Committee was presided over by Sir Philip Hadow and included other prominent educationists, British and Indian. In the opinion of the Hadow Committee, "so far as more quantitative increase in the numbers under instruction is concerned, there has been a phenomenal advance since the inception of the Reform. In 1917 the total school-going population of British India attending primary classes numbered 6,424,206. In 1921 it had risen to 6,897,147 and the latest figure available is 9,247,617 for the year 1927," but there have been in its evolution much of "stagnation" and "wastage" and the whole system needs "regulation." In short, education has spread

has deteriorated, more money is spent on it but less thought, so that while some political advantage has been gained, academic advance and national profit have not kept up to the expectations raised by the formation of education ministries.

Female education in modern India has been an interesting and important topic. Education of women was a characteristic feature of ancient India, and even in medieval India it was not unknown. In spite of the political changes and disorders of several centuries, the tradition survived in the early part of the nineteenth century.¹⁴¹ Instances were many of women educating themselves out of religious considerations,¹⁴² secular motives were also not entirely absent. Mr. William Adam (in 1833-4) who had been commissioned by Lord William Bentinck to survey the state of indigenous education in Bengal, writes in his second report on *Vernacular Education in Bengal* that the Zamindars in general instructed "their daughters in the elements of knowledge, although it is difficult to obtain from them an admission of this fact. They hope to marry their daughters into families of wealth and property and they perceive that without a knowledge of writing and accounts their daughters will not in the event of widowhood be competent to manage their deceased husbands' estates, and will unavoidably become a prey to the interested and unprincipled."¹⁴³ We have it on the authority of Raja Radhakanta Deb of Calcutta that most of the female members of his family were educated.¹⁴⁴ But the subject of female education received a new impetus from the spirit of renaissance which characterised the nineteenth century, and we find that long before the Government paid any attention to this subject, a few enterprising missionaries and some philanthropic individuals like Raja Radhakanta Deb,

¹⁴¹ B. N. Senapati, *Op. cit.* Part I, pp. 3-4.

¹⁴² Senapati, *Op. cit.* pp. 38-39.

¹⁴³ Reprinted in an *Ed.*, from essay on "Female Education," the publication of which is wrongly ascribed to Raja Radhakanta Deb. It was composed and published by Ganga Mohan Vidyacharya of the Calcutta School Society in 1832. *Sanskritpratyaksha Kathan*, Part III, p. 232.

Raja Bidyusath Ray of Calcutta and others took it up. We cannot enter here into the details of all these early enterprises,¹⁴² but mention may be made of the very notable attempts for the spread of female education in Calcutta and the surrounding districts since 1821 by Miss Coke (afterwards Mrs Wilson). Missionary efforts however could not influence the regulars of the higher classes who often suspected them, and pupils were then recruited mostly from the lower ranks of the society. Their efforts were attended with partial success in other parts of India as well, notably in the Bombay and Madras Presidencies.

These early endeavours received no encouragement from the Government and it was not till as late as in May, 1849, that the first school for the education of the girls of high class Hindu families was established in Calcutta under the name of the Hindu Vidya Vidyalaya through the efforts of Mr. Drinkwater Bethune, Law Member of the Governor-General's Council, and of Pandit Ishwarchandra Vidyasagar. The Hon'ble Justice Sambhansh Pantia (the first Indian Judge of the High Court of Judicature at Fort William), Babu Ram Chopal Ghose (a leading merchant of the time and the first Indian public man to make his orations in English of literary merit), and Pandit Madanmohan Tarkentonkar (a well-known Bengali author of those times) were the chief supporters of the school. The Governor-General, Lord Dalhousie, also recorded in his memoir dated 1st April, 1850, that Mr. Bethune, for doing "a great work in the successful introduction of Native Female Education in India" has "earned a right not only to the gratitude of the Government but to its thank and cordial support."¹⁴³ He also made grants of money for "aiding existing girls' schools."¹⁴⁴

¹⁴² Reference may be made to Senapati, *Op. cit.*, pp. 21-45; Mookerjee, *Modern India and the Indians* (Third Edition, London, 1919), pp. 221-24; B. N. Banerjee, *Op. cit.* Part I, pp. 9-12; many references from *Educational Records*, Part II, pp. 21-24.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.*, p. 76.

¹⁴⁴ *Memor. William, Modern India and the Indians*, p. 125.

But Sir Charles Wood's Despatch of 1854,—though it concurred concisely with Lord Dalhousie's declaration,—devoted only one paragraph out of one hundred to the subject of female education. Paragraph 83 of it runs thus:—"The importance of female education in India cannot be overrated, and we have observed with pleasure the evidence which is now afforded of an increased desire on the part of many of the natives of India to give a good education to their daughters. By this means a far greater proportional impulse is imparted to the educational and moral tone of the people than by the education of men. We have already observed that schools for females are included among those to which grants-in-aid may be given, and we cannot refrain from expressing our cordial sympathy with the efforts which are being made in this direction." But soon after the Meeting, Lord Canning's Government, anxious to avoid giving any impression of trying to revolutionise Indian Society, declared that girls' schools should be really supported by voluntary aid.¹⁴⁷ In 1862 a circular was issued to the effect that the Government could take no initiative in the case of girls' schools as it had done in the case of schools for boys, but could encourage the then existing schools by grants-in-aid. Nevertheless some action was taken. In 1870 out of £316,509 expended on education in the Bengal Presidency, 41,171 was given to Government girls' schools and £4,462 to aided girls' schools chiefly in the North-West and the Punjab. out of £195,152 spent in Bombay £4,000 was assigned to Government female schools; in Madras the Government did not maintain a single girls' school.¹⁴⁸ In 1875 there was about 1,000 Government female schools, with about 34,000 pupils, in all the eight provinces under Government, Lieutenant-Governors and Commissioners.¹⁴⁹

It was with the revival of Liberalism in the time of Ripon that the Education Commission of 1882 advised that "female

¹⁴⁷ Munier-Wikinson, *Op. cit.*, p. 325.

¹⁴⁸ *Ibid.*, pp. 324-25.

¹⁴⁹ *Ibid.*

education should receive special encouragement and be treated with special liberality.¹³⁷ Government grants thereafter began to be more freely used and Government management more freely resorted to than before, and this led to a substantial growth of female education in the succeeding decades.

Besides necessary and able efforts, the activities of the various reformed social groups and other associations such as the *Brahma Samaj*, the *Arya Samaj*, or the *Servants of India Society*, have considerably furthered the cause of women's education. Every important branch of the *Arya Samaj* has a girls' school under its control. In the *Brahma Samaj*, men like Monmohan Chaudhri Sen and Sushruti Bannerji¹³⁸ and women like Lady J. C. Bose and Mrs. P. K. Ray have rendered conspicuous services to this cause. Some members of this Samaj have also from time to time started journals for the promotion of education and culture amongst women, such as (a) the *Kamakhya*, started in 1863 and edited by the late Unnikrishna Das, (b) the *Abolitionist*, started about 1879 by the late Dinkrishna Ganguli, (c) the *Mahila* edited by the late Gopinath Das, (d) the *Aranyak* started by Sushruti Bannerji, (e) the *Bharati*, started by Divyadamanth Tagore and long edited by his accomplished sister, the novelist Sitmati Sarmadaman Ghosal and her talented daughters, (f) the *Bhramanamala*, (g) the *Sambhava*, started by two graduate sisters, Sitmati Karmadasi and Bauzati Mitra. Dr. Mrs. Ganguli of the same Samaj, the first Indian lady to become a professional physician on western lines, was also, jointly with Miss Chandramukhi Bose (a Bengali Christian, later on well-known as for long the Principal of the *Brahma College for Women*—the first Indian girl to enter the University, at Calcutta, in 1875. The *Daughters Education Society* has also made important contributions to the cause of female education, and Kurur's *Women's University*, having in 1931 twenty-four stu-

¹³⁷ *England Gazette*, Vol. IV, p. 49.

¹³⁸ *Sanskrit Tarnakhsana, Philosophy of Religion*, pp. 26-28.

religion, mainly in Gujarat and Maharashtra, affiliated to it, is the brilliant result of the untiring exertions of Dhondu Krishna Karm and Sir R. G. Bhamburkar, both connected with the Ferguson College of Poona.

Thus female education has progressed in modern times through the agency of various forces, and we have now women teachers, professors, poets and novelists, doctors and scientists, legislators and ministers of state. Among the famous women poets of the period under review the names of Tara Dutt, the "marvellous girl that perished before her prime,"¹¹ of Mrs. Swarnashuman Ghosh and Mrs. Sarala Devi Chaudhari, of Mrs. Sarojinī Nanda (née Chatterjee) and Mrs. Kamala Ray, deserve special mention, though there are many others in the field. But with all these India has as yet achieved little¹² as compared with other countries in this essential matter of national importance. The Haring Committee as well as the Indian Statutory Commission recognised the "importance of the education of the girls and women in any scheme of national organisation;" the country has not of course failed to realise this fact and now it thinks of necessary changes in the rather out of date and unsuitable system of education for women. The recent (1934) session of the Delhi Women's Conference "viewed the present system of education as injurious to the best interests of the girls and suggests the leading educationalists of the country to devise a simple and useful scheme of education for girls and further urges that every girl should be given technical education."¹³ For more than 20 years now the number of girl students reading in men's colleges has steadily increased; in fact an education in all the three grades of Ede national institutions has come to stay and is becoming an important and inevitable problem demanding careful handling.

¹¹ Macdonald, *The Making of Modern India*, p. 129.

¹² Literate females per million aged 5 and over—

1927 ————— 21

" 1931 ————— 29

Census Report, 1931, Vol. I, Part 2, p. 324.

Increasing contacts with the outside world, a revived and finer appreciation of the national culture of past ages, the urge of thorough reformation in all aspects of life and society, and the development of new situations and problems in the country, have all stimulated deep and creative thought and have inspired Literature and Art with stirring ideals and set up fresh and higher standards. The Indian genius has unfolded and is unfolding itself superbly in manifold petals, in different branches of thought and knowledge. Thus Literature has expanded in all possible channels—prose or poetry, fiction or essay, drama or epic, lyric or ballad. All the vernaculars have been, more or less, rather or later, on the path of progress. We may here review briefly the advance of the more important ones—Bengali, Hindi, Urdu, Marathi and Gujarati in the North, and Tamil, Malayalam and Telugu in the South.

As during the fourteenth, fifteenth and sixteenth centuries the impetus for the development of vernacular literatures was supplied by the religious reformation movements of the time, so also in the nineteenth century the inspiration came first from the movements for social and religious reformation, and as such the literary progress in Modern India is also an aspect of the general awakening of the age. At the same time the influence of the British connection and of Western Education on the growth of Indian Literatures has also been considerable.¹⁴³ British rule made it possible for India once more to think of literature and act in an atmosphere of peace and order after the troubled years of the eighteenth century; English education placed before her various new ideas and models,¹⁴⁴ and the rapid expansion of the Press helped the multiplication and dissemination of books. "The Hindu intellect," remarks Mr. R. C. Dutt, "came in contact with all that is noblest and most

¹⁴³ Western Influence on Bengali Literature, *Calcutta Review*, November-December, 1891.

¹⁴⁴ Sushil Kumar Datta, *History of Bengali Literature in the Nineteenth Century*, pp. 62-63.

healthy in European history and literature, and profited by it."¹⁴²

During the closing years of the eighteenth century and the beginning of the nineteenth the Serampore Missionaries, notably Carey, Marshman and Wood, and the pundits and counsellors of the Fort William College were trying to create something like a prose literature in Bengali. Whatever might have been their objects, their efforts produced good literary results.¹⁴³ But it was Raja Ram Mohan Roy who during the tens and twenties of the nineteenth century devised and used effectively a powerful prose style for his work of information, and has thus been justly regarded as being "practically the father of the modern Bengali literary prose." He was also a poet and was the first to compose dactylic hymns in Bengali. During the thirties Ishwarchandra Gupta (1807-55) enriched Bengali poetry with his easy-flowing "witty and interminable verse, displaying a capacious power of the language unimagined before."¹⁴⁴ He was succeeded in the forties by two prose-writers and essayists—writing with considerable force and grace on topics of social and educational reform, Brijur Akshay Kumar Datta (1820-84) and Pandit Ishwarchandra Vidyasagar (1820-91). A number of talented writers belonging to the Brahmo Samaj, like Nalini Debidasanthi Tagore, Raj Narayan Basu, Kanchandras Basu, Dvijendranath Tagore, and Pandit Shrinath Shastri continued the work of Akshay Kumar after his retirement from the field, and also produced a religious and philosophical literature of high merit. Vidyasagar was similarly followed by another group of literary men like Bhadrachandra Mukherjee (1823-94), Paryy Chand Mitter, Pratap Chandra Ghosh, Kalkamesvara Senha and others.¹⁴⁵ Ram Narayan Tarkavarma, produced in 1834, the first original

¹⁴² *Literature of Bengal*, p. 18.

¹⁴³ S. K. Das, *Op. cit.*, Chapters 35-36.

¹⁴⁴ R. C. Das, *Op. cit.*, p. 39.

¹⁴⁵ Many were a delightful solid tale of Bengal, 'Alder ghore dhol'. Ghosh wrote "Bengaliprasangge" and Senha translated the *Alber Marce* as *chandro prose*.

dramatic work in Bengali named *Kulina Kuladrasena*, holding up before the customs of Kulinism and polygamy "so deserved ridicule and contempt". After his friend Madhusudan Datta, whose Christian education had not enabled him to appreciate the best in ancient Indian literary tradition, produced his 'renaissance' plays the *Jarandha* in 1855 and the *Talottama* in 1860 on Senaniketan models, and in the next year brought out his monumental epic in blank verse (a new instrument in Bengali prosody), the *Meghnad-saundhya Kavya*, which has immortalised his name. The tradition thus created in the field of Bengali literature was ably maintained by writers like Harichandra Bannerjee and Mahincharan Sen flourishing during the twilight of the nineteenth century. The loss of dramatic composition, given up by Madhusudan Datta for epic poetry, was recovered by others: the most prominent being Dinabandhu Mitra, who in 1846 brought out his *Nila Deyani*, a vigorous satire on the undue phantasies of Jessore and Nadia. In subsequent years the writings of Gurah Chandra Ghosh,¹⁴⁰ Ananta Lal Basu and D. L. Roy have added to the richness, variety and power of Bengali dramatic literature. The influence of the Bengali historical, social and psychological dramas on the formation of the national and social life of not only Bengal but of all India cannot be over-estimated; from the close of the nineteenth and the beginning of the twentieth century, this stream has been broadening considerably, and we refrain from a survey thereof.

The mingling of the old with the new, a characteristic feature of nineteenth century history, is clearly illustrated in the works (1854—1856) of Bankimchandra Chatterjee, the first great novelist, and of Madhusudan Datta (1866) the first great epic writer of modern Bengal. Both of them learnt their art and manner from master writers of the West, but made the new

¹⁴⁰ *Phanas*, *Yuga*, *Bengali Sahitya*, by Dr. Subal Harichandra Bhattacha, pp. 121—32.

long their own and enriched the native literature and style thereby. "Bankimchandra is in prose what Madhusudan is in verse,—the founder of a new style the exponent of a new idea. In creative imagination, in gorgeous description, Madhusudan and Bankimchandra stand apart from the other writers of the century."¹²⁰ Bankim's earliest historical novel *Durgamondal* appeared in 1864, and was followed by other subsequently famous romances and social and political novels like *Kutabchandra*,¹²¹ *Mrinalini*,¹²² *Vivekchakra*,¹²³ *Dam Chandrodayan*,¹²⁴ *Ananda Math*¹²⁵ and *Krishnacharitra Writ*. In his later years he began to write on religious subjects and published his *Krishna Chaitra* in 1886. "Bankim Chandra Chatterjee" is the opinion of Mr. R. W. Frazer, "is the first great creative genius modern India has produced. For the western reader his novels are a revelation of the inner spirit of Indian life and thought."¹²⁶ Bankim had a worthy successor in Ramesh Chandra Dutt, who produced the following well-known novels in quick succession,—*Sange-Patra* (1874), *Rajput Anura-Sanghata* (1875), *Madhura-Kandha* (1876), *Maharashtra Anura-Prahata* (1877), *Sarmata* (1880), and *Saraja* (1893). Many other novelties of merit (including women's) have since appeared in modern Bengal, and amongst their outstanding literary productions are *Dyau-nirvan* by Sarad Svarnadrumi Ghosh, *Somvalita* by Tarak Chandra Ganguli, and *Meja Ben* by Pandit Sarnath Shastri. In subsequent years, the field of fiction has been dominated by Rabindranath Tagore and Sarat Chandra Chatterjee and their numerous followers, and quite recently a bold realistic school of fiction writers have appeared. Exemplars like Kabi Prasanna Ghosh of Dacca, the author of *Prahata-chakra* and *Mahata-chakra*, Rajkumar Bhattacharya, Chandra Nath Basu and others, have also added to the variety of Bengali literature, some notable biographical works of this period are, *Life of Ramchandra Ray* by Nagendranath Chatterjee, *Life of Abinaya*

¹²⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 281

¹²¹ *Literary History of India*, p. 428

Kumar Datta by Mahendranath Vaidya, *Life of Madhusudan Datta* by Jayendra Chandra Bora, *Life of Vidyasagar* by Chandi Charan Bhowmik, *Life of Mahatma Surendra Chandra Banerji* by Santiprasanna Chattopadhyaya, etc. High class autobiographies began with Maham Debendranath Tagore and his son the great poet, and this class of literature has grown after them. Some Bengali writers have produced stirring literary works in English also, as prose as well as in poetry. The names of Raja Ramendran Roy, of Madhusendran Datta, and of several members of the Datta family of Ramdanga including the famous Toru Datta, and of Mrs. Sarajini Nanda and her brother H. N. Chattarjee deserve mention in this connection.¹¹⁷

For close upon half a century now, Rabindranath Tagore has been mainly instrumental in shaping the literature of Bengal, and he has besides been exercising through his numerous writings in poetry and prose, his dramas, novels and stories, his lyrics and sonnets and songs, an all-Indian and an international influence of profound significance. Through his writings and the artistic activities of his pupils and followers of the Santiniketan (Bolpur), the outside world is getting an insight into the spirit of Indian civilisation, of which in its manifold aspects, he is a great revivalist and re-interpretor. "Tagore's poetry," remarks Mr. Ramsey MacDonald fairly, "is India. It is the product of his devotion to Indian culture. . . It is of the soul of a people, not merely the emotion of a man; a systematic new of life, not merely a poetic mood; a culture, not merely a man."¹¹⁸

In Hindi Literature, Lalit Lal, the author of *Pras Sagar* (1803) and *Social Hima*, the author of *Nishitaprabhakar*, began the transformation of common Hindi into a literary dialect ("High Hindi"), under the guidance of Dr. John Gilchrist at the Fort William College in Calcutta at the beginning of the nineteenth century.¹¹⁹ The work of the Serampore missionaries,

¹¹⁷ *Ibid.*, pp. 412-14.

¹¹⁸ *The Government of India*, p. 145.

¹¹⁹ E. E. Koss, *A History of Hindi Literature*, p. 42.

who translated the Christian scriptures in the dialects of Northern India, should be noted here.) the first portions of Garry's Hindi New Testament were published in 1859 and the Hindi translation of the whole Bible was completed in 1868 A.D. Printing of Hindi books had begun at the College Press at Fort William (Calcutta), but all kinds of books in Hindi began to come out since the opening of a lithographic press at Delhi in 1857, though most of these works were of little literary merit. Hindi writers continued to enjoy, as in the past, patronage of native courts such as at Patna and Charkhan in Bundelkhand, at Rewari in Baghelkhand, at Nagpur, Benares, Ayodhya, Dabhanga and other places.¹⁷²

Hindi Literature has been enriched during this period by a fairly large number of works in prose and poetry. There is a considerable output in the subject of poetry and anthologies, but on traditional Sanskritic lines. Fictions and drama, as periodical literature, have so far been mainly translations and adaptations from the neighbouring Bengali literature. But signs are not wanting in the present generation of a tendency towards setting out a line of development free from medieval tradition and imitation. Among the writers who flourished in the nineteenth century the names of the following deserve mention.—Padmashar Bhatt (1753—1833), the author of *Jagad-wind*, *Golai Naik*, who completed in 1829 the translation of the *Mahabharata* into Hindi and wrote other works as well, Chandra Sekhar Bajpai (1785—1875), the author of *Haris Hosh* and other works, Raja Shri Prasad (1812—65), and Babu Harish-chandra of Benares (1836—87) who, for his varied writings, has been often called 'Shastanda' ('The Moon of India'). Among the Bihari poets, all writing in the Magahi dialect,¹⁷³

¹⁷² Kaye, *Op. cit.*, pp. 16-17; Gossens, *Op. cit.*, pp. 62-63.

¹⁷³ It is noteworthy that in spite of the fact the Patna University has recently created the chair of Magahi to be occupied by a student of literature and languages in it along with Hindi which really does not belong to Bihar.

the names of Bhojan Nath Jha (c. 1850 A.D.) and Hridaya Nath Jha (c. 1840) deserve mention. Both of them were attached to the court of the Maharaja of Darbhanga. In the list of an *Index* the most important of all in size and contents is the *Rago-Sagorsathkhana Rago Kalpedrasara*, compiled by Krishna nanda Vyasa-datta and published in 1848.

The nineteenth century saw Urdu Poetry at the height of its popularity and also the growth of Urdu Prose. Some of the most famous Urdu poets flourished during this period at Lucknow, Delhi, Patna, Rampur, and Hyderabad; amongst them may be specially mentioned Ghali, a poet and philosopher having "originality in thought, expression, simile, metaphors, imagery, vocabulary and constructions."¹⁰⁰ Ghali's worthy pupil Zaii (1809-1893 A.D.), Azmi (1832-74 A.D.) "who was a love poet and had received poetry as inheritance" and whose *munas* or elegies are brilliant specimens of Urdu Literature, Afzal Husain Ali (1837-1914), whose *Munashid-e-Hali* or the *Ekki and Firoz of Isfah* is one of its landmarks. A new turn in Urdu (and Persian) poetry has been given in the twentieth century by the celebrated poet Muhammad Iqbal of Lahore,—the Balindaranath of the Persians,—whose poems are read and appreciated both in and out of India. Urdu prose for practical purposes came into existence at the Fort William College in Calcutta under the care of Dr. John Gilchrist, who has been "fely called the father of Urdu Prose."¹⁰¹ the Christian missionaries thus conferred an irretrievable benefit on Urdu Literature,¹⁰² but the "Zulayeh days of Urdu prose were in the latter half of the nineteenth century," when Sir Syed Ahmed and a distinguished band of scholars (Hali, Shalibi, Zakariyah, Cheng Ali, Makhsud-ulah, Nazim Ahmad, Anand, Jinnah and others)¹⁰³ gave a great impetus to the development of Urdu.¹⁰⁴ Western edu-

¹⁰⁰ *Ram Balu Sahasra, History of Urdu Literature*, p. 194.

¹⁰¹ *Ibid.*, p. 192.

¹⁰² *Ibid.*, p. 194.

¹⁰³ *Ibid.*, p. 21.

cation and contact with English literature identified thought and style in Urdu literature, and books on history, travels, fiction, on science, and journals began to appear. The Urdu drama has however so far remained a 'local product' without any appreciable external influence, and still awaits the touch of the general renaissance.

Western and Southern India also have showed examples of the modern literary renaissance. In Maharashtra, Vajasa Shastri laid the foundations of Marathi prose literature and Anantash Keshkar of the Marathi drama. In Gujarat, Dnyanoo (1817-1882) produced love lyrics of excellent quality, and Bahadurji Mahabari, the great Parsi reformer, was also an author of note having equal command over Gujarati and English.¹⁴¹ Justice Kashinath Tribhub Talav (b. 1850 A.D.), with his profound scholarship in Sanskrit and Marathi, exercised a stimulating influence on the Marathi literary circles. Both Gujarati and Marathi have profited appreciably by the model set up by the Bengal literary revival, and many standard Bengali works were translated into these West Indian vernaculars. In South India, Mr. Chanda Menon wrote in 1889 a novel of great interest entitled 'Indralakshmi' in the vernacular language of the Malabar coast, Travancore and Cochin.¹⁴² In Madras, Mrs. S. Subramaniam wrote her two well-known novels, 'Sargam' and 'Kamala'.¹⁴³ Odia life also has revived and grown in the period under review very largely under the influence of the Bengal renaissance. The new Odia literature began with the writings of three notable authors,—Radhanath Ray, Madhuvandhan Rao, and Fakir Mohan Senapati,¹⁴⁴ and gradually this literature has asserted its own position.

Thus there has been an almost general awakening of the Indian mind leading in most cases to a revival and adaptation

¹⁴¹ Keshari, *Op. cit.*, Chapter IV-VI.

¹⁴² Fraser, *Op. cit.*, p. 494.

¹⁴³ *Ibid.*, p. 499.

¹⁴⁴ E. C. Alexander, *Typical Selections from Odia Literature*, Vol. II Introduction, p. xxi.

of the past literary traditions of India, which have been and are being harmonised with all that the West and the wide world has brought and is still bringing to the doors of India. As Mr. R. W. Froom has remarked: "Men such as Ram Mohan Roy, Keshab Chandra Sen, Michael Madhusudan Datta, Bankim Chandra Chatterji, Keshavnath Tirthabai Talwar, are no biased handlings of a Western education; they were creative geniuses worthy to be reckoned in the history of India with such men of old as Kalidasa, Chaitanya, Jyotsna, Tulsi-das, and Surdasacharya, and destined in the future to shine clear as the first glowing sparks sent out in the fiery furnace where new and old were fusing."¹⁰⁰

This cultural renaissance has also necessarily created in Modern India a spirit of enquiry into the past history and antiquities of the country. The foundation of the Asiatic Society at Bengal in 1784 was a landmark in the history of India from this standpoint (marking as it does the beginning of the cultural fusion of East and West in world history as well), and since then the researches of a number of prominent European scholars (like Sir Charles Wilkins, Sir William Jones, Henry Thomas Colebrooke, Alexander Hamilton, Friedrich Schlegel, Franz Bopp, F. Rosen, Rudolf Roth, F. Max Müller, Theodor Aufrecht, Bernouf, Lassen, T. W. Rhys Davids, George Bühler, A. A. MacDonell, Keith, Jolly, M. Winternitz, and Tiele) have unfolded India's intellectual past in its manifold aspects.¹⁰¹ No less important work in this field has been done by a galaxy of prominent Indian scholars—Raja Ram-mohan Roy, Dr. K. M. Banerjee, Rajendra Lal Mitra, Bhagvan Lal Indrap, Sir R. G. Bhandarkar, Mr. R. C. Dutt, M. C. Ranade, Mahanathopadhyaya, Harprasad Shastri, U. C. Banerjee, Balakrishna Bhargava, and many others down to our own day. The University of Calcutta, the Varadharath of

¹⁰⁰ *Op. cit.*, pp. 46-47.

¹⁰¹ A. A. MacDonell, *India's Past*, pp. 28-47; M. Winternitz, *A History of Indian Literature*, Vol. I, pp. 2-23.

Rabindranath Tagore, the Oriental Institute at Lahore, the Bharadwaj Oriental Institute at Poona and the Asiatic Society of Bengal are giving considerable impetus towards the study of Indian antiquities. The Government of India Archaeological Department has also been rendering highly useful services in this matter by exploration and preservation, as is clear from the valuable serial "Reports" and specially from the recent excavations at Mohenjodaro (in Sindh), Harappa (in the Punjab), Taxila, Nalanda, Palanpur and Mahasthar (in Bengal), and Nagasarkanda (in the Madras Presidency), which have disclosed immensely important facts about the past history of India and have revolutionised current notions about things Indian. The Ancient Monuments Preservation Act passed during the administration of Lord Curzon has safeguarded and preserved many of the past relics of India's history and culture, and most native states are now enthusiastically preserving and studying antiquities within their limits, through their own archaeological departments.

In the study of philosophy and science also Indian intellect has made considerable progress in modern times. Eminent philosophers like Dr. Sri Balarama Natch Sastri, Dr. Sri Rabendranath Tagore, Dnyandevanath Tagore, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan, Dr. S. N. Das Gupta and others have produced works of everlasting value, re-interpreting and re-creating Indian philosophy; while in scientific studies and discoveries scholars like Dr. Sir J. C. Bose, Dr. P. C. Ray, Sir C. V. Raman, and Dr. Meghnad Saha have gained international fame; even in the domain of the younger sciences, the patient researches of Rai Bahadur Sarachandras Ray among Chota Nagpur tribes have immensely added to the knowledge of anthropology in India. The various scientific surveys, like the Geological Survey, the Zoological Survey, the Botanical Survey, etc., conducted under Government guidance, have trained up a fair number of Indian practical scientists and brought out valuable materials for study and economic utilisation. The scientists of India, who have for many years been meeting annually in a Science Congress

have recently been considering the creation of an *Academy of Sciences for India*, but unfortunately a split occurred between North and South Indian workers, and Sir C. V. Raman started in 1934 an Academy of Science at Bangalore without the 'authorities'. But his administration of the Academy roused discontent and within 3 years he retired from its Directorship.

Indian art has also recovered in comparatively recent times from the decadence which had overtaken it during the period of political disorder following the gradual dismemberment of the Mughal Empire from the latter half of the seventeenth century. Real art must be nourished by high inspirations and sentiments under conditions of peace and order, cultural progress and national regeneration, but the eighteenth century in India being a period of transition, saw a regrettable deterioration of creative genius and artistic standards, and a decline in the capacity of producing and appreciating true art. Artists in the real sense of the term ceased to appear and the old styles remained for sometime in unaltered condition in the old cities like Delhi, Jaipur, Lucknow, Hyderabad and Mysore. The descendants of the old architects, craftsmen and painters displayed a varied taste during the first half of the nineteenth century and became bad imitators of Western styles. The Qutab Bag of Wajid Ali Shah at Lucknow and the Chattri Mausol of Nuroddin Haider, constructed of brick and plaster and the huge stylised buildings set up by the rich men of our country and also by the Public Works Department of the British Indian Government are examples of this debased taste and a meaningless imitation. Gradually, however, there has been a desire to give up this imitation and jumble of things foreign and to introduce a new style which though not exactly a revival of the medieval Rajput and Mughal styles, is however marked by a mingling of Western and Eastern ideas and models of buildings, and based upon the adaptation of modern manner and examples to the Indian conditions and needs. In this new architecture a clear lead has been given by the New Delhi and the London India

Hindu workers including Rajput and Moghul, Bombay and Bengali artists, and by Calcutta civil engineers, who in the course of the last few years have turned out building plans and architectural motifs of a distinctly Indian type while they are not slavish revivals.

Painting has been rescued from the most deplorable condition, and has manifested a return to Indian inspiration and ideals, through the genius and efforts of a group of Bengali artists, chiefly the Tagores and the Gangulis and their disciples.¹ The revival of Persian, Mogul and Hindu art, though in inferior hands it is copying in others, like the Tagores and Gangulis, it is a spirit and a genius.² Dr. Abanindranath Tagore has been the guru of this group of artists who have been working with vigour and faith; among the younger artists the names of Mr. Nandalal Bose of Bengal and of Akbar Rahman Chaghtai of the Punjab deserve special mention; and in Bombay the pupils of Dr. Solomon are trying to evolve a new school of painting which seeks to apply Western methods to actual modern Indian conditions and to free artists from the leading strings of medieval and ancient tradition. Mr. E. B. Havell, who was for several years Principal of the Government School of Art in Calcutta, was a genuine worker of this art renaissance in Bengal, and Dr. A. K. Coomaraswamy has been for several years trying to connect the outside world of the majesty and spiritual qualities of Indian art. Like painting, sculpture has also revived on similar basis, the centres of renaissance being the Calcutta and Bombay Art Schools. The growth of new art schools in the other provinces, as at Lahore, Jaipur, Lucknow, etc., has helped and been helped by the Bengal and Bombay Art Renaissance: a good deal and promises to produce an India-wide Art Movement in the near future.

Indian Music again has been similarly rescued from neglect and the mass of medieval social stigma by Bengal in

¹ Cf. MacDonald, *The Government of India*, p. 28.

the nineteenth century, and the study and cultivation of it grew rapidly with the rise of the modern Bengali drama and the stage—in which connection the services of these Tagoras Raja Ramendra Mohan, Maharaja Jyotindra Mohan and Jyotireshwar (a brother of the great poet), are to be noted. Music was given its proper place in even eastern religious exercises by the Brahmas Samaj under Ramendran Ray and Debendranath Tagore. In fact the Tagoras have performed the 'Suddhi' of Indian Music, restored it to respectable men and women alike, and reborn it into the ways of the modern world of culture for appreciation in India as well as abroad. Subsequently, in Calcutta, Bombay, Poona, Banarasi and other places, schools and Academies of Music for scientific study and practice of Indian music and musical instruments and its application in cultured society, have grown up. One of the latest and most important developments in Indian music is the application by Bengali artists of Western musical science and methods in a subtle way to the traditional Indian forms, so as to enrich its 'melody' with 'harmony' without departing from its essential characteristics. Another important allied development is the rapid growth of a revived school of Indian dancing based on classical and folk traditions and popularised by Western teachers, which is enthusiastically supported by the cultured sections of Indian Society following the lead of Calcutta, and which has already evoked critical appreciation even in foreign countries. Progress and new creation in these fine arts has enabled India to take to cinema production with considerable success in the course of the last few years; and a number of educated men and women of the upper classes have begun to enter this new field of activity.

REFERENCE

Vol. II: Part II

Course I

General:

1. Dadwell: *A Sketch of the History of India* (1916-1918)
2. Palanda: *Indian Administration*.
3. Saper: *Indian Administration*.
4. Dutt: *Historical Introduction*.
5. Thakore: *Indian Administration*.
6. J. Ranney MacDonald: *The Government of India*.
7. D. N. Sanyal: *The Indian Constitution and its Actual Working*.
8. *Cambridge History of India*, Vols. V and VI.
9. Kaula Patra: *The Working of Dynasty in India*.

Advanced:

1. Montagu-Chelmsford Report.
2. Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vols. I and II.
3. Report of the Reform Enquiry Committee.
4. The Indian Year Book, from Year to Year (all 1933)
5. Report of the Indian Central Committee.
6. India in 1913-18 to 1931-32.
7. Reports of the Round Table Conferences.
8. Moral and Material Progress Reports from Year to Year.
9. The White Paper.
10. The Government of India Act, 1935.

For Section I.

1. MBI: *History of British India*, Vol. V
2. Aspinall: *Correspondence in Bengal*
3. "Fifth Report," edited by Farnham
4. R. C. Dutt: *India Under Early British Rule*
5. Forrest: *Selections from State Papers, etc., relating to*
Correspondence, Vols. I and II.
6. J. C. Saha: *Economic Annals of Bengal*
7. Baden-Powell: *Land Systems of British India*, Vols. I
and II.
8. R. C. Dutt: *India in the Victorian Age*
9. S. C. Ray: *Land Revenue Administration*
10. *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, Chapter VII

For Section II.

1. "Fifth Report," edited by Farnham
2. Forrest: *Op. cit.*
3. Aspinall: *Op. cit.*
4. Sir John Strachey: *India: Its Administration and Pro-*
gress (1903), Chapter VII.
5. P. Mukherjee: *Indian Constitutional Documents*
Vol. I.
6. Trevelyan: *Life and Letters of Lord Macaulay*
Chapter II
7. *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, Chapter V

For Section III.

1. Aspinall: *Op. cit.*
2. Fifth Report
3. J. C. Saha: *Op. cit.*
4. *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, Chapter XII
5. D. J. McNicoll: *Report on the Village Watch in the*
Lower Provinces of Bengal

For Section IV

1. Cambridge History of India, Vol. VI Chapters 2, 3, 4
5, 13, 14, 15, 16
2. Sir W. Hunter - The Indian Empire.
3. Kale : Indian Administration, Chapter XI
4. Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, Chapter II
5. Montgomery Martin, Eastern India, Vols. I-III 1838
6. Barfield - Bengal Under the Lieutenant Governor,
Vols I and II 1901
7. Sir John Kaye, The Administration of the East India
Company 1853
8. L. S. S. O'Malley : History of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa
Under British Rule. 1915
9. District Gazetteers.
10. District Records (Midnapur, Chittagong, Rangpur).
11. J. Nathai : Village Government in British India.

For Section V

1. Sir S. N. Banerjee : A Nation in the Making
2. Strachey - Op. cit., Chapter VI.
3. George Chetty : Indian Policy, Chapter IX. 1868
4. Sir Valentine Chirol : Indian Unrest. 1918.
5. T. Raleigh : Lord Curzon in India. 1906.
6. Lewis Fraser : India under Curzon and After. 1911.
7. L. S. S. O'Malley : The Indian Civil Service, 1601-
1850-1881.

For Section VI

1. Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, Chapter XI.
 2. T. Raleigh - Op. cit. Introduction
 3. Captain Arthur Browne : History of the Rise and Pro-
gress of the Bengal Army 1858
 4. Sir P. C. Senaratne Agre : Indian Constitutional
Problems, Chapter II
 5. Sir George Chetty : Indian Policy, Chapters XIII-XVI.
- P. 35

For Section VII:

1. V. G. Kale: *Indian Administration*, Chapter XXI.
2. Hunter: *The Indian Empire*, Pp. 626-643.
3. Sir George Curzon: *Indian Policy*, Chapter XVII.
4. *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, Chapter X.
5. Sir John and Sir Richard Strachey: *Finances and Public Works of India*.
6. G. W. MacGeorge: *Ways and Works in India*.
7. N. B. Malabar: *Indian Railways*.
8. Rai Sahib Chandra Prasad Tewari: *The Indian Railway*.

CHAPTER II

General:

(For portion marked * A.)

Same as for Chapter I

Advanced:

Same as for Chapter I

For Section I

1. P. Mukherjee: Indian Constitutional Documents, Vols. I and II.
2. Sir John Strachey: *Op. cit.*, Chapter V.
3. Sir George Chesney: *Op. cit.*, Chapter I.
4. Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, Chapter I.
5. L. J. Titterton: History of India under Queen Victoria. Two volumes. 1844.
6. Sir William Lee-Warner: Life of the Marquis of Dalhousie. Two volumes. 1854.
7. Lewis Fraser: India under Curzon.
8. Lord Morley: Recollections. Two volumes. 1917.
9. Sir A. Lyall: Life of Lord Dufferin. Two volumes. 1885.
10. Lord Curzon: A Selection from his Speeches as Viceroy. 1906.

For Section II

Same as in Section I

For Section III

1. Sir John Strachey: *Op. cit.*, Chapter IV.
2. Sir George Chesney: *Op. cit.*, Chapters II-IV.
3. Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. IV, Chapters II and IX.
4. Sir Valentine Chirol: India, Chapters XIII, XVII, XIX.
5. P. Mukherjee: Indian Constitutional Documents.

244 TEXTBOOK OF MODERN INDIAN HISTORY

(For portion marked 'B')

General:

Same as in Chapter I

Add G. N. Singh: *Landmarks in Indian Constitutional and National Development* 1935

Advanced:

- 1 Lord Ronaldshay: *The Heart of Ayazma*, 1925.
- 2 Sir Valentine Chet: *India* 1929.
- 3 Sir Valentine Chet: *Indian Unrest* 1930.
- 4 Sir Valentine Chet: *India, Old and New* 1931.
- 5, G. M. Chesney: *India under Experiment*, 1918.
- 6 J. Chaffey: *Administrative Problems of British India* To Meyer, 1930.
- 7 Sir Surendranath Banerjee: *A Nation in Making* 1929.
- 8 Sayyid Ahmad Khan: *The Truth About the Khilafat* 1916.
- 9 Sayyid Ahmad Khan: *The Present State of Indian Politics*, Allahabad, 1908.
- 10 The Aga Khan: *India in Transition* 1918.
- 11 G. K. Gokhale: *Speeches*, Madras, 1909.
- 12 B. C. Tiak: *Writings and Speeches*, Madras 1921.
- 13 A. C. Mazumdar: *Indian National Evolution*.
- 14 B. B. Mazumdar: *History of Political Thought in India: Ramachandra to Dayananda* (published by the University of Calcutta).
- 15 Maumand: *The Making of Modern India* 1904.
- 16 Sir Vansay Lever: *History of the Indian Nationalist Movement* 1919.
- 17 G. T. Garra: *An Indian Commentary* 1926.
- 18 W. S. Eliot: *Idea About India* 1905.
- 19 W. S. Eliot: *India under Ropes* 1909.
- 20 Morley: *Speeches on Indian Affairs* 1909.
- 21 Morley: *Recollections* Two volumes 1917.
- 22 Sir William Wedderburn: *After Ourselves Here*, 1913.

23. Annie Besant: *India a Nation*. 1915.
24. Annie Besant: *How India Wrought Her Freedom*, 1915.
25. Sir Michael O'Dwyer: *India As I Know It*. 1921.
26. Lord Ronaldshay: *Life of Lord Curzon*. 1928.
27. E. S. Maswaga: *An Indian Diary*. 1930.
28. H. C. E. Zacharias: *Remnant India*. 1931.
29. *Political India*, edited by Sir John Compton. 1932.
30. Graham Pole: *India in Transition*.

CHAPTER III

General:

1. H. C. E. Zacharias, *Resurgent India*, Chapter I.
2. Ramsay MacDonald, *The Government of India*, Pp. 1-27 and 234-263.
3. R. W. Fraser, *Literary History of India*, Chapter XV.
4. J. N. Farquhar, *Modern Religious Movements in India*, Pp. 387-430.
5. *Cambridge History of India*, Vol. VI, Chapters VI, VII and XIX.
6. Sir Valentine Chirol: *India*.
7. Menckel: *The Making of Modern India*.
8. Duttell: *A Sketch of the History of India*, Book II.
9. *Imperial Gazetteer*, Vol. IV, Chapter XIX.
10. Syed Mahmood, *A History of English Education in India*, 1905.

Advanced:

1. Somnath Sastri: *History of the British Service*. Two volumes. Calcutta, 1911.
2. J. Chadley: *Administrative Problems of British India*. Translated by Sir William Napier. 1910.
3. Sir T. Raleigh: *Lord Curzon in India*. 1906.
4. Lorant Fraser: *India Under Curzon and After*.
5. Sir John Strachey: *India: Its Administration and Progress*.
6. Sir John Kaye: *Administration of the East India Company*. 1853.
7. Sir John Kaye: *Memorials of Indian Government*. 1853.
8. Motest Williams: *Modern India and the Indians*.
9. Sir John Kaye: *Christianity in India*. 1853.
10. A. Nephew: *Christianity and the Government of India*. 1919.
11. J. C. Marshman: Carey, Marshman and Ward. 1864.

12. Martin : *Eastern India*.
13. Martin : *The Indian Empire*.
14. Ward : *History of the Hindus*. 1916.
15. Alexander Duff : *India and Indian Missions*.
16. M. A. Sherring : *History of Protestant Missions in India*. 1875.
17. Huber : *Narrative of a Journey through the Upper Provinces of India*. Three volumes.
18. G. R. Glegg : *Life of St Thomas Mount*.
19. Sir T. E. Colcbrooke : *Life of Henry Thomas Colcbrooke*. 1875.
20. Sir G. O. Tavelyan : *Life and Letters of Lord Macaulay*. 1876.
21. Mary Carpenter : *The Last Days in England of Ram-mohan Ray*. London. 1866 (reprinted by the Ram-mohan Library, Calcutta, 1918).
22. *English Works of Raja Ram-mohan Ray*, edited by J. C. Ghose. Two volumes. Calcutta, 1867.
23. *A Lecture on the Life and Labours of Raja Ram-mohan Ray* (delivered in Boston, U.S.A., 1868), by William Adams : edited by Rishabdas Haldar, Calcutta, 1879.
24. Rev. K. S. MacDonald : *Ram-mohan Ray, the Bengali Religious Reformer*. Calcutta, 1879.
25. Nagendranath Chattopadhyay : *Life of Ram-mohan Ray in Bengali*. Calcutta, 1881.
26. Sophia Dolson Collier : *Life and Letters of Raja Ram-mohan Ray*. London, 1889. Edited by Hem-chandra Saha with an Introduction. Calcutta, 1913.
27. Titus : *Indian Islam*. 1900.
28. H. A. Walter : *The Ahmadiyah Movement*. 1906.
29. Ram-mohan Chatterjee : *Ram-mohan Ray and Modern India*. Calcutta, 1918.
30. Sarwat Prasad Saha : *Katha (A History of Old Durr-ajay Bangla Newspaper)*. Compiled and edited

- in Bengal by Benares Nath Banerji, published by the Bangiya Sahitya Parishad, 1903.
- 31 Shantakrishna Tattvabodhan: Social Reform in Bengal.
- 32 B. M. Malabar: Infant Marriage and Enforced Widowhood in India. Bombay 1877.
- 33 M. G. Parashar: Religious and Social Reform. Bombay, 1902.
- 34 The Speeches and Writings of Sir N. G. Chandravanshi. Bombay, 1911.
- 35 R. P. Karbaria: India—Forty Years of Progress and Reform. 1906.
- 36 Chandrabhawan Bandopadhyaya: Life of Vidyasagar (in Bengali) 1909.
- 37 Sir S. N. Banerjee: A Nation in Making.
- 38 Lord Rosalind: The Heart of Aryavata.
- 39 L. S. S. O'Malley: History of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa Under British Rule. 1925.
- 40 Meadows Taylor: Confessions of a Thief.
- 41 "Suttee" in Calcutta Review, 1867.
- 42 C. F. Andrews: Indian Renaissance.
- 43 Manohar C. Parikh: The Brahma Samaj. 1929.
- 44 Byju Chandra Pal: Mrs. Besant—A Psychological Study. Madras, 1903.
- 45 Dhanoo Kishore Kar: My Twenty Years in the Cause of Indian Women. Poona, second edition 1913.
- 46 A. Howell: Education in India, prior to 1854 and 1870-71, Calcutta, 1872.
- 47 Alexander Duff: State of Indigenous Education in Bengal and Bihar,—published in Calcutta Review, 1844.
- 48 F. W. Thacker: The History and Prospects of British Education in India. 1891.
- 49 C. F. Tansley: On the Education of the People of India. 1838.
- 50 Arthur Mapham: The Education of India. 1906.

51. R. C. Dutt: *The Literature of Bengal*. 1886.
52. D. C. Sen: *History of Bengali Language and Literature*.
53. S. K. De: *History of Bengali Literature in the Nineteenth Century*. 1918.
54. Harindranath Chakraverty: *Victims Yaga Bengali Sahitya*.
55. A. A. MacDonell: *India's Past*. 1927.
56. M. Winternitz: *A History of Indian Literature*. Vol. I (Published by the University of Calcutta, 1927).
57. *Typical Selections from Orya Literature*. Three volumes. Edited by B. C. Mazumdar and published by C. U. in 1925.
58. F. E. Knap: *A History of Hindi Literature*. 1920.
59. Babu Ram Srinivasa: *A History of Urdu Literature*. Aligarh, 1927.
60. Grierson: *Modern Vernacular Literature of Hindustan*.
61. E. B. Havell: *Indian Sculpture and Painting*.
62. E. B. Havell: *Essays on Indian Art, Industry and Education*.
63. A. K. Coomaraswamy: *Essays on Indian Metaphysics*.
64. *Journal of the Indian Society of Oriental Art*.
65. *Mass and Material Progress Reports*.
66. *India Year by Year*, from 1917-32.
67. *Indian Social Reformers, containing Proceedings of different National Social Conferences*.
68. K. M. Munshi: *The Theory and Practice of Social Service in India*.
69. *Speeches of Swami Vivekananda*.
70. *Works of Bankim Chandra Chatterjee*.
71. *Works of Dr. Rabindranath Tagore*.